

This bill would infringe on law abiding citizens' right to protect themselves, it also is a blatant infringement of the 2nd Amendment which you swore to uphold in your oath of office when you swore to protect and defend the Constitution. That oath is the same oath I have taken in the service of my country as an enlisted man, an Army Civilian, and a member of the city planning commission. The last sentence in the 2nd Amendment says it all "Shall not be infringed".

This bill should it be turned into law would then be repugnant to the Constitution which would make the new law null and void even before it was signed per SCOTUS decision Marbury v Madison (1803).

Anybody enforcing this bill in any way shape or form would be personally liable as would his department, agency, up to and including the state as it is also a direct violation of 18 USC section 242.

We as a people need no new laws regarding firearms; none whatsoever, but we do need our current laws to be enforced so that if a felon is caught in possession of a firearm he faces those charges as well as any other charges he may face.

If you look at every mass shooting since the 1980s they have all been in Gun Free Zones (AKA victim rich or target rich environments). Remember it was a good guy with a gun a few years ago who stopped the Washington Square shooter, it was a good guy with a gun who stopped the shooter in a Texas church. Law enforcement can not be everywhere, and their primary task anymore is to write reports after a crime has been committed as most of the time they are not at the crime scene as the crime is being committed.

This state has thousands upon thousands of people who are trained and licensed to carry a firearm, and passing this bill would be a spit in our faces as we had to not only pay for our Concealed Handgun License, but also take a handgun safety class before being granted said license (even though making a citizen pay to exercise a right is a crime in and of itself and as such we should follow suit of the states which are granting Constitutional Carry).

Instead of violating mine and the rights of thousands upon thousands of citizens we need to punish the criminals who commit murder, armed robbery, are prohibited persons in possession of firearms, etc.... Murder is already a crime why make a criminal out of a law abiding citizen merely for possessing a tool which may save his or someone else's life?

My profession from Oct 1997 until the end of May 2002 was protecting our nation's stockpile of chemical weapons that were stored in NE Oregon, while I was employed in that position I also changed my job in the Navy Reserve to become a Master At Arms (Navy Police).

If this bill were to become law I would have to in good conscience ignore it as the concept of this bill would be unlawful should it be turned into law. Free citizens have the inherent right to protect ourselves, our families, and our property as well as a duty to help protect others.

As a citizen should I see one of our law enforcement officers in a struggle with a suspect it is my duty to assist that officer.

My Oath of enlistment (which I have taken multiple times), and my oath of appointment (which I also have taken many times) have no expiration date, and they have an order of operations. First to the Constitution, then to the President, and finally to those placed above me in positions of authority (this does not include members of congress at the state or national level). If the President issues an unlawful order be it an executive order (such as masking on federal property which he violated along with his family shortly after signing) then I have a duty to not follow that order... Marbury v Madison, 1965 Civil Rights Act, 1990 Americans with Disabilities Act, the 4th Amendment make that executive order unlawful and unenforceable.

Remember you are our employees as we elected you and pay your salary for your positions in our Oregon Congress, and we can vote you out.