

I am writing as an individual and small business owner to oppose HB 2680.

- 1) There is effectively no limit on contributions to/from political parties or caucus committees, particularly since there is no limit to the number of committees that can be created
- 2) There is no limit from candidate committees to/from caucus or party committees. Because a single donor can donate to many candidates or caucuses, those funds can then be transferred to a particular caucus or committee.
- 3) The local veto of contribution limits on local candidates clearly runs contrary to the mandate expressed by Oregonians, when 78% of us voted in favor of campaign finance reform.
- 4) Limiting contributions to a political party committees only "for the purpose of" specified electoral activity is no limit at all. Large contributions would be allowed if not earmarked
- 5) We need to limit donations from membership organizations to donations from residents in Oregon; and to bona fide organizations. There needs to be a cap also on in-kind contributions from SDC.
- 7) Allowable contributions to and from some entities are abnormally and unjustifiably large; particularly caucus committees and Small Donor Committees
- 8) Enforcement is limited only to the Secretary of State or sometimes the Attorney General. With 78% approval for Measure 107, we demand the right to enforce campaign finance limits. Campaign finance regulations that depend on partisan elected officials enforcement is an invitation for bias or selective enforcement.
- 8) There must be a limit to self funding by candidates, especially at the end of a campaign
- 9) There must be very explicit disclosures and tag lines to campaign advertisements, clearly identifying top donors and the source of funding used for the ads. Individual large contributors to a candidate of campaign must clearly be identified.