I am opposed to denying the opportunity to require individuals who either fail to comply with a removal/fill permit or fail to obtain one to restore and improve wetland in the event they are caught.

- 1. There is no deterrant effect when the worst that will happen is if a person gets caught, they will only have to return the area to the condition it was in prior to their actions.
- 2. Wetlands are considered the most important habitat in the state according to the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.
- Over 2/3 of Oregon wildlife rely upon these areas at least part of the time.
 Wetlands perform multiple functions including screening polutants out of water prior to it entering a waterway, and serving as a reservoir which store water and release it into streams and springs during times of droubt.
- 5. It takes time to restore wetlands that are damaged through irresponsible actions of individuals removing or placing soils into the

It is good public policy to require a net improvement of damaged areas. Irresponsible removal or fill actions reduce or eliminate the benefits of the wetlands during the time they are recovering. Requiring wetland enhacement provides a reasonable amount of mitigation to compensate for the periods when the benefits of the wetlands are compromised.