

Thank you for this opportunity to submit written testimony on SB 582. My company, Pioneer Recycling Services, operates a full-service comingled recyclables processing facility (MRF) in Clackamas, Oregon.

While we are generally supportive of SB 582, we do take issue is with Section 25 B2d page 50 line 3 &4 where it says "... average compensation paid..... shall exceed equal or exceed a target price of \$10/Ton." The wording creates an expectation that monthly pricing will always average at least \$10/ton. I can think of at least five different reasons why that may not be the case including index risk, yield variation, timing of orders, cost change risk and the unknown reaction of MRF's to the new pricing environment. Given these variables are constantly changing, I believe that while pricing will approximate a target of \$10/ton over time that outcome is unlikely to be the case in any given month.

Given this, it's important to choose wording that accurately portrays the likely outcome. My suggestion for improving SB582 is to change the wording to "average compensation paid... shall approximate a target price of \$10/ton". I believe this wording is more accurate and more clearly describes the intent of the Recycling Steering Committee which was a target of \$10/Ton rather than an absolute.