SB 755-2 (LC 3429) 3/1/21 (JLM/ps)

Requested by SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY AND BALLOT MEASURE 110 IMPLE-MENTATION (at the request of Senator Floyd Prozanski)

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO SENATE BILL 755

1 On page 1 of the printed bill, line 2, after "423.478," insert "475.235,".

2 On page 10, after line 2, insert:

3 **"SECTION 12a.** ORS 475.235 is amended to read:

"475.235. (1) It is not necessary for the state to negate any exemption or
exception in ORS 475.005 to 475.285 and 475.752 to 475.980 in any complaint,
information, indictment or other pleading or in any trial, hearing or other
proceeding under ORS 475.005 to 475.285 and 475.752 to 475.980. The burden
of proof of any exemption or exception is upon the person claiming it.

9 "(2) In the absence of proof that a person is the duly authorized holder 10 of an appropriate registration or order form issued under ORS 475.005 to 11 475.285 and 475.752 to 475.980, the person is presumed not to be the holder 12 of the registration or form. The burden of proof is upon the person to rebut 13 the presumption.

"(3)(a) When a controlled substance is at issue in a criminal proceeding before a grand jury, at a preliminary hearing, in a proceeding on a district attorney's information, **during a proceeding on a Class E violation** or for purposes of an early disposition program, it is prima facie evidence of the identity of the controlled substance if:

"(A) A sample of the controlled substance is tested using a presumptive
 test for controlled substances;

21 "(B) The test is conducted by a law enforcement officer trained to use the

1 test or by a forensic scientist; and

2 "(C) The test is positive for the particular controlled substance.

"(b) When the identity of a controlled substance is established using a 3 presumptive test for purposes of a criminal proceeding before a grand jury, 4 a preliminary hearing, a proceeding on a district attorney's information or $\mathbf{5}$ an early disposition program, the defendant, upon notice to the district at-6 torney, may request that the controlled substance be sent to a state police 7 forensic laboratory for analysis. The defendant may not make a request 8 under this paragraph concerning a controlled substance at issue in a 9 proceeding on a Class E violation. 10

"(4) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in all prosecutions in which an analysis of a controlled substance or sample was conducted, a certified copy of the analytical report signed by the director of a state police forensic laboratory or the analyst or forensic scientist conducting the analysis shall be admitted as prima facie evidence of the results of the analytical findings unless the defendant has provided notice of an objection in accordance with subsection (5) of this section.

"(5) If the defendant intends to object at trial to the admission of a certified copy of an analytical report as provided in subsection (4) of this section, not less than 15 days prior to trial the defendant shall file written notice of the objection with the court and serve a copy on the district attorney.

23 "(6) As used in this section:

"(a) 'Analyst' means a person employed by the Department of State Police
to conduct analysis in forensic laboratories established by the department
under ORS 181A.150.

"(b) 'Presumptive test' includes, but is not limited to, chemical tests using
Marquis reagent, Duquenois-Levine reagent, Scott reagent system or modified Chen's reagent.".

30