Executive Sponsor: Kevin Olineck
Program Manager: Christa Harrison

## Program information:

Program start: July 1, 2019 | Program end: April 30, 2024

## Subprojects:

Project 1: Employer Programs

- Project start: July 1, 2019 | Project end: July 16, 2021
- Project status: Yellow

Project 2: Work After Retirement (WAR)

- Project start: July 1, 2019 | Project end: July 27, 2021
- Project status: Red

Project 3: Salary Limit

- Project start: July 1, 2019 | Project end: May 28, 2021
- Project status: Green


## Program statement:

SB 1049 is comprehensive legislation intended to address the increasing cost of funding Oregon's Public Employees Retirement System (PERS), reduce system Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) obligations, and provide relief to escalating contribution rate increases for public employers. Implementation will occur across five subprojects.

Project 4: Member Redirect

- Project start: July 1, 2019 | Project end: April 24, 2024
- Project status: Green

Project 5: Member Choice

- Project start: October 23, 2019 | Project end: August 4, 2021
- Project status: Yellow

For details regarding individual project status, please refer to the respective project section(s) below.

## Overall program status: Red

The program status continues to be red. Member Redirect and WAR have long-term activities scheduled beyond the 2019-21 biennium.
The effort to complete and validate the conversion of duration-based schedules to work-effort based schedules is nearly complete. The results of the schedule validation show that our projects are correctly resourced and the reported end dates are realistic and valid. All schedules are in final Quality Check Point review and will be rebaselined once approved.

A WAR change request was approved by Steering Team that will extend the WAR project end date, turning this project red. Detailed planning is in process, and details can be found in the WAR section of this report.

Of the remaining projects, two are green and two are yellow: Employer Programs, Member Choice. Employer Programs is refining the activities in the schedule related to WP2 Azure cloud hosted environment to validate that it can be delivered within the current schedule. Member Choice is validating that WP3 Earnings Rates and Validations Updates in jClarety can be delivered within the current schedule.
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## Budget health: Red

Member Redirect and WAR activities are planned beyond 2019-21 biennium, but 2021-2023 budget has not yet been approved. The chart below does not yet reflect the WAR change request.

| 29560-SB 1049 Implementation Program by Project |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Funds Lmt | 19-21 Budget |  | Actual to Date |  | Projections |  | 19-21 Total |  | Variance |  | 21-23 Policy Package |  | Program Cost |  |
| Salary Limit Project | \$ | 1,422,027 | \$ | 755,778 | \$ | 630,189 | \$ | 1,385,967 | \$ | 36,060 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,385,967 |
| Work After Retirement Project | \$ | 2,182,839 | \$ | 1,476,631 | \$ | 597,337 | \$ | 2,073,968 | \$ | 108,871 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,073,968 |
| Member Redirect Project | \$ | 21,040,133 | \$ | 14,562,905 | \$ | 4,147,254 | \$ | 18,710,159 | \$ | 2,329,974 | \$ | 22,477,797 | \$ | 41,187,956 |
| Employer Programs Project | \$ | 2,051,084 | \$ | 859,933 | \$ | 1,142,396 | \$ | 2,002,329 | \$ | 48,755 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,002,329 |
| Member Choice Project | \$ | 2,337,814 | \$ | 1,479,432 | \$ | 802,913 | \$ | 2,282,345 | \$ | 55,469 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,282,345 |
| Total | \$ | 29,033,897 | \$ | 19,134,678 | \$ | 7,320,089 | \$ | 26,454,767 | \$ | 2,579,130 | \$ | 22,477,797 | \$ | 48,932,564 |


|  |
| :---: |
| AFN |
| $\$ \quad 19,898,667$ |

## Schedule health: Red

Member Redirect and WAR have long-term work package implementation activities are scheduled beyond the 2019-21 biennium.
The Program and all projects have converted from duration-based schedules to work effort-based schedules with validated resource allocations. Quality Check Point reviews of the schedules are in process, and once approved the schedules will be rebaselined.

## Scope health: Green

The program and project scope is understood and has been incorporated into program-level plans and schedules.
Quality Assurance activities:

- iQMS Deliverable 4.1.4 Quarterly QA Status and Improvement Report started on 12/9/2020 and completed on 2/8/2021.
- iQMS Deliverable 3.3.4 Periodic Quality Status Report started on 12/31/2020, due 3/16/2021.
- Work Effort-based schedules are in Quality Check Point review, due 2/25/2021.

Emerging concerns/needs/impacts:

- Resource constraints -Many SB 1049 resources appeared over-allocated in previous program and project schedules. This concern has decreased as a result of to the data reflected in our new work-effort based schedules, and the associated risks will be downgraded once the schedules are re-baselined.
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## Program Risks and Mitigation

Listed below are the most critical risks for this project.
For the complete Risk Log, please see the Risk Log tab in the most recent weekly status report: SB 1049 Program Weekly Status Report

| \# | Risk Description | Mitigation and/or Contingency Plan | Notes |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | Internal Staffing: Resource shifting, <br> competing priorities, or over allocation <br> impact the availability of dedicated <br> project resources, or a key resource leaves <br> PERS or is out for an extended period, <br> and/or staff experience levels are <br> inadequate. (Cross Project Effort) | $>$Monitor work assignments for SB <br> 1049 activities. Ensure on-time <br> completion and address/escalate if <br> being impacted by external duties. <br> Proactively negotiate time for critical <br> resources during specific SB 1049 <br> activities | Schedules have been updated to show true <br> work effort for resources. This risk will be <br> downgraded once the new work-effort based <br> schedules are baselined. |
| 38 | Schedule impact due to competing <br> resources: Critical resources are involved <br> in multiple concurrent SB 1049 projects, <br> limiting availability for individual projects | $>$Coordinated schedule planning and <br> priorities within the Program and all <br> projects; reassign other staff to help <br> cover absences or help offset extreme <br> workload periods. | Schedules have been updated to show true <br> work effort for resources. This risk will be <br> downgraded once the new work-effort based <br> schedules are baselined. |
| 98 | Project Interdependencies: Project/Section <br> interdependencies can cause schedule <br> slippage, requirement adjustments, <br> and/or development rework. | $>$Maintain clear and regular <br> communication between dependent <br> projects <br> Link dependencies together within <br> the project schedules | Example inter-dependencies include the <br> STRUTS upgrade with Member Redirect, <br> Member Choice, and Salary Limit. Most cross- <br> project work packages are dependent on <br> specific SB 1049 jClarety production <br> implementations. |

## Program Issues and Action Plans

Listed below are the most critical issues for this project.
For the complete Issue Log, please see the Issue Log tab in the most recent weekly status report: SB 1049 Program Weekly Status Report

| No |  |  | Estimated |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Resolution Date |  |  |  |
|  | No current issues |  |  |

Executive Sponsor: Kevin Olineck
Program Manager: Christa Harrison

## Program information: Cross Project Effort

Start: May 28, 2020 I End: TBD
Project Manager: Susan K. Mundell

## Overall status: Green

Narrative: Business Requirements Documents have been completed for all work packages. Work Package 2.1: Individual Account Program (IAP) Payment Recon was successfully deployed on $1 / 26 / 2021$. The Effort Based project schedule is in Quality Check Point review. There are still two outstanding work packages not fully scheduled. These are WP5: IAP Account Balance Comparison tool which is holding for approval from Enterprise Information Services regarding the planned cloud based functionality and WP7.3 Payout Actuarial Extract which cannot be developed before Member Redirect releases 10 and 11 are elaborated. A Change Request has been developed to remove WP8: Operations Technical Support Tool SQL Upgrade from the SB 1049 scope as this work is more normal operations upgrades and not strictly related to SB 1049 work.

Schedule Deliverables and Milestones

| Milestone | Percent <br> Complete | Actual/ <br> Baseline <br> Finish Date | Forecast Finish <br> Date* |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Status/ Notes |  |  |  |

*Finish Date Color: Green = on Schedule, Yellow = in Jeopardy, Red = Late
Note: Future milestones exist and will be rotated in as the timing becomes more relevant.
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2021-2023 Biennium
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## Project information: Employer Programs

Project start: July 1, 2019 | Project end: July 16, 2021
Project Manager: Joli Whitney

## Overall project status: Yellow

Project Narrative: The technical team has completed construction of the first work package (WP1 Migrate and Adapt ERPT), converting the acquired Employer Rate Projection Tool (ERPT) from operating on an Oracle platform to the PERS standard SQL. Once this WP has been tested and accepted, new business requirements will be implemented. Work is still in process to update the project schedule to reflect the project change request (CR) approved by the SB 1049 Steering Team on 1/12/2021. The CR clearly defines the business requirements to be delivered within the scope of the project and clarifies what work will occur in each of the two work packages.
The second work package (WP2) will move the new ERPT from the internal datacenter to an Azure cloud hosted environment. The team has been in close contact with Microsoft to identify tasks and activities to achieve this new-to-PERS technology. Converting to this new platform has been challenging to plan, but the path forward is becoming clearer.
The project health is anticipated to return to green status once the schedule updates to work package 2 have been completed and we validate the new activities do not exceed the project's baselined end date.

## Employer Rate Projection Tool Work Packages <br> WP1 Migrate and Adapt ERPT

- Acceptance Quality Gate: 3/30/2021 (this WP will not be released to production)
- Convert tool to use PERS standard platform

> - Move from Oracle to SQL

- Enhance tool to meet PERS branding standards and add disclaimer language


## Project objective:

The Employer Programs section of SB 1049 expands the requirements for the Employer Incentive Fund (EIF); and appropriates $\$ 100$ million from the General Fund to the Employer Incentive Fund; directs net proceeds from Oregon Lottery Sports betting to the Employer Incentive Fund; allows participating public employers who make larger than $\$ 10$ million deposits to side accounts to determine when they wish to have these funds included in their employer rate assessment; and requires all public employers to participate in the Unfunded Actuarial Liability Resolution Program (UALRP).
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## Budget health: Green

Employer Programs is currently within the budget allocated by the Legislature.

| 29560 SB1049 - Employer Programs Project |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenses | Budget |  | Actual to Date |  | Projections |  | Total |  | Variance |  |
| *Personal Services - PERS | \$ | 900,000 | \$ | 793,919 | \$ | 105,416 | \$ | 899,335 | \$ | 665 |
| General Overhead Allocation | \$ | 328,438 | \$ | 199,270 | \$ | 117,447 | \$ | 316,717 | \$ | 11,721 |
| Personal Services - SB1049 | \$ | 230,811 | \$ | 147,423 | \$ | 59,002 | \$ | 206,425 | \$ | 24,386 |
| Office Expenses | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 77 | \$ | 4,000 | \$ | 4,077 | \$ | 923 |
| IT Professional Services | \$ | 1,306,083 | \$ | 507,280 | \$ | 787,195 | \$ | 1,294,475 | \$ | 11,608 |
| Professional Services | \$ | 170,752 | \$ | - | \$ | 170,752 | \$ | 170,752 | \$ | - |
| IT Expendable Prop | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 5,883 | \$ | 4,000 | \$ | 9,883 | \$ | 117 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB1049 Expenses | \$ | 2,051,084 | \$ | 859,933 | \$ | 1,142,396 | \$ | 2,002,329 | \$ | 48,755 |
| Project Total | \$ | 2,951,084 | \$ | 1,653,852 | \$ | 1,247,812 | \$ | 2,901,664 | \$ | 49,420 |
| Average Monthly Spend (Burn Rate) |  |  | \$ | 45,259.62 | \$ | 228,479.20 |  |  |  |  |
| *Not included in SB1049 Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Schedule health: Yellow

The updates to the project schedule to plan and estimate effort of the cloud deployment in WP2 are still in process. Also the updates to shift from a duration to effort-based schedule are in final review. Once the WP2 plan is validated to be feasible within the baselined project end date, and the quality check point of the effort based schedule is completed, the schedule health should return to green.

## Scope health: Green

The scope of the project is well understood.

## Quality Assurance activities:

- Quality Check Point of the Draft Work Package Development documentation completed on 2/10/2021.
- Quality Check Point of the Effort Based Project Schedule will be completed on 2/25/2021.
- Quality Check Point of the WP2 Functional Design Specification document will begin on 2/26/2021.


## Emerging concerns/needs/impacts:

- This project represents the first time PERS has deployed an application into a cloud hosted environment. As with all new processes, there may be unanticipated obstacles or challenges to provide the tool in this new manner.
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High Level Project Risks and Mitigation
Listed below are the most critical risks for this project.
For the complete Risk Log, please see the Risk Log tab in the most recent weekly status report: Employer Programs Weekly Status Report

| \# | Risk Description | Mitigation and/or Contingency Plan | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | Level of Effort- The forecasted amount of work, timing, and complexity is inaccurate or assumptions made that are off base. | Request more resources from executive sponsor or request scope change | This risk will be re-evaluated once the activities and tasks associated with the cloud hosting of WP2 are better understood |
| 32 | Ability to Deliver Solution within the 19-21 biennium- The employer rate projection tool solution selected by the team may not be fully delivered within the 19-21 biennium. | Control scope of tool, create baseline schedule with new activities | This risk will also be re-evaluated once the activities and tasks associated with the cloud hosting of WP2 are better understood |

## Project Issues and Action Plans

Listed below are the most critical issues for this project.
For the complete Issue Log, please see the Issue Log tab in the most recent weekly status report: Employer Programs Weekly Status Report

| No |  |  | Estimated |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | No current issues |  |  |
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## Project Schedule Deliverables and Milestones

| Milestones Schedule |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Milestone | Percent <br> Complete | Baseline <br> Finish Date | Actual / <br> Forecast <br> Finish Date* |  |
| Rebaseline Project Schedule | $100 \%$ | $9 / 29 / 2020$ | $9 / 29 / 2020$ |  |
| Receive EIS Endorsement Memo | $100 \%$ | $9 / 30 / 2020$ | $9 / 30 / 2020$ |  |
| EIF Application Closes (Window \#2) | $100 \%$ | $12 / 1 / 2020$ | $12 / 1 / 2020$ |  |
| WP1 Development Complete | $100 \%$ | $1 / 7 / 2021$ | $1 / 29 / 2021$ |  |
| WP1 Business Functional Testing Complete | $0 \%$ | $1 / 28 / 2021$ | $2 / 26 / 2021$ |  |
| WP1 User Testing Complete | $0 \%$ | $3 / 4 / 2021$ | $3 / 24 / 2021$ |  |
| WP1 Final Acceptance Quality Gate | $0 \%$ | $3 / 18 / 2021$ | $3 / 30 / 2021$ |  |
| WP2 Development Complete | $0 \%$ | $4 / 8 / 2021$ | $4 / 8 / 2021$ |  |
| WP2 Business Functional Testing Complete | $0 \%$ | $4 / 28 / 2021$ | $4 / 28 / 2021$ |  |
| WP2 User Acceptance Testing Complete | $0 \%$ | $6 / 7 / 2021$ | $6 / 7 / 2021$ |  |
| WP2 Deployment Complete (ERPT Complete) | $0 \%$ | $6 / 17 / 2021$ | $6 / 17 / 2021$ |  |
| Launch UALRP | $0 \%$ | $6 / 17 / 2021$ | $6 / 17 / 2021$ |  |
| Project End | $0 \%$ | $7 / 16 / 2021$ | $7 / 16 / 2021$ |  |

*Finish Date Color: Green = on Schedule, Yellow = in Jeopardy, Red = Late

Executive Sponsor: Kevin Olineck
Program Manager: Christa Harrison

Project information: Work After Retirement (WAR)
Project start: July 1, 2019 | Project end: 7/27/2021
Project Manager: Susan K. Mundell

## Overall project status: RED

Project Narrative: WAR Work Package 3 (WP3): Retro Rate Change is nearly through development with the final Functional Validation Testing steps being completed. Business Functional Testing (BFT) is scheduled to begin on 2/22/2021.
Transition of the WAR Work Package 1 suspended retiree records in the system from January 1, 2021 through to WAR Work Package 2 deployment on 11/19/2020 is nearly complete. There are 76 of the original 74,000 plus records left to adjust and move to the new wage code, which puts this workload on track for the 2/26/2021 deadline.
Project Management focus has been to incorporate Deloitte D2.2.1 Project Management Plan recommendations and prepare schedule for second baseline. The Effort Based schedule is in Quality Check Point review and will be rebaselined upon approval.
A change request was approved by the SB 1049 Steering Team and added Work Package 4 to the WAR project. This functionality is critical to employer invoicing and was missed due to the Technical Debt issue of a lack of adequate general ledger technical documentation and a loss of institutional knowledge. The narrow scope of WAR Work Package 4: Side Account Credit Allocation will be to have WAR receivables credit Side Accounts in the same manner as active member receivables currently work.
WAR is in a red status due to the extension of the project with WAR Work Package 4: Side Account Credit Allocation. It will stay red until the scheduled is rebaselined and the budget is finalized for this additional workload.

## Work Packages:

Work Package 1: Suspend DTL2-07 Retiree Wage Codes - Short-term

- Production Deployment Date: 12/19/2019 (Complete)


## Work Package 2: New Wage Codes with General Ledger Integration -Long-term

- Production Deployment Date: 11/19/2020 (Complete)


## Work Package 3: Retro Rate Change - Long-term

- Production Deployment Date: 5/13/2021
- Initial Development and Unit Testing completed 1/29/2021
- Functional Validation Testing will be completed on $2 / 19 / 2021$
- Business Functional Testing is scheduled to begin on 2/22/2021
- User Acceptance Testing is scheduled to begin on 3/8/2021


## Work Package 4: Side Account Credit Allocation

- New work packaged added from CR29560 WAR-05
- Schedule, Budget and Resources are being determined


## OREGON

## SB 1049 Implementation Program

Status Report for February 19, 2021

Executive Sponsor: Kevin Olineck
Program Manager: Christa Harrison

## Budget health: RED

The additional budget needed for WAR work package 4 is not yet reflected in this chart.

| 29560 SB1049 - Work After Retirement (WAR) Project |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenses | Budget |  | Actual to Date |  | Projections |  | Total |  | Variance |  |
| *Personal Services - PERS | \$ | 1,214,174 | \$ | 1,158,908 | \$ | 52,184 | \$ | 1,211,092 | \$ | 3,082 |
| General Overhead Allocation | \$ | 637,166 | \$ | 398,540 | \$ | 238,544 | \$ | 637,084 | \$ | 82 |
| Personal Services - SB1049 | \$ | 462,087 | \$ | 295,671 | \$ | 118,827 | \$ | 414,498 | \$ | 47,589 |
| Office Expenses | \$ | 2,194 | \$ | 97 | \$ | 500 | \$ | 597 | \$ | 1,597 |
| Professional Services | \$ | 140,000 | \$ | 131,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 131,000 | \$ | 9,000 |
| IT Professional Services | \$ | 931,392 | \$ | 645,787 | \$ | 236,466 | \$ | 882,253 | \$ | 49,139 |
| IT Expendable Property | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 5,536 | \$ | 3,000 | \$ | 8,536 | \$ | 1,464 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB 1049 Total Expenses | \$ | 2,182,839 | \$ | 1,476,631 | \$ | 597,337 | \$ | 2,073,968 | \$ | 108,871 |
| Project Total | \$ | 3,397,013 | \$ | 2,635,539 | \$ | 649,521 | \$ | 3,285,060 | \$ | 111,953 |
| Average Monthly Spend (Burn Rate) |  |  | \$ | 82,035.04 | \$ | 99,556.17 |  |  |  |  |
| *Not included in SB1049 expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Schedule Health: RED

The schedule for WAR Work Package 4: Side Account Credit Allocation is under construction. The effort based schedule tells us that the WAR Work Package 4 cannot begin before July 2021 based on the allocation of the resources, which will extend the current project end date.

## Scope health: RED

The project scope is expanding due to the change request that created Work Package 4: Side Account Credit Allocation. Detailed planning is in process.

Quality Assurance activities:

- A Quality Check Point review was completed on $1 / 29 / 2021$ for contractual deliverable D1.0.17 Contractor Support Log.
- A Punch List review was completed on 2/8/2021 for the Effort Based WAR Project Schedule.
- A Quality Check Point review is in progress for the Effort Based WAR Project Schedule. This is due for completion on 2/25/2021.


## Emerging concerns/needs/impacts:

- Increased Scope for WAR Work Package 4: Side Account Credit Allocations will extend the WAR schedule beyond the end of the 2019 / 2021 biennium. Competing priorities among the projects will make it difficult to fit in this new workload without creating conflict between projects and releases. The effort based program schedule shows that there is bandwidth starting in July to manage this additional scope.

Executive Sponsor: Kevin Olineck
Program Manager: Christa Harrison

## High Level Project Risks and Mitigation

Listed below are the most critical risks for this project.
For the complete Risk Log, please see the Risk Log tab in the most recent weekly status report: WAR Weekly Status Report

|  | Risk Description | Mitigation and/or Contingency Plan | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Complexity of Effort: The forecasted <br> amount of work, timing, available <br> documentation and complexity i <br> inaccurate or assumptions made that <br> are off base. | $>$ Schedule additional time to verify complex code. | jClarety general ledger processes are <br> extremely complex and difficult to code <br> within. Side Account Credit Allocation |
| will be coding within jClarety general |  |  |  |
| ledger. |  |  |  |

## Project Issues and Action Plans

Listed below are the most critical issues for this project.
For the complete Issue Log, please see the Issue Log tab in the most recent weekly status report: WAR Weekly Status Report

|  | Risk Description | Mitigation and/or Contingency Plan | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | Realized Risk \#8: Schedule Delay or Re- <br> Baseline Planning: The project schedule <br> does not have capacity for all scope needed <br> and is delayed or requires a re-baseline. | $>$Close partnership with Release Manager to <br> ensure continued measurable progress fits <br> within schedule perimeters. <br> Additional planning and regular forward-looking <br> schedule review. | Change request CR29560 WAR -05 has <br> been approved by the SB 1049 Steering <br> Team. This CR created additional scope <br> and is now WAR WP4: Side Account <br> Credit Allocation. The project will be red <br> until the schedule for this workload is <br> developed and rebaselined. |
| 9 | Realized Risk \#9: Project Budget Not <br> Sufficient: The approved project budget is <br> not sufficient to cover all required <br> expenses. | $>$ Plan to request additional funds. |  |
| 10 | Look for cost savings to fund additional <br> workload. | The same CR as listed in Risk 1s being <br> developed to fix the side account for <br> retired members to work as it does for <br> Active members. |  |
| Realized Risk \#84: Project Scope: Change <br> Requests adding additional scope to project <br> resulting in requiring additional effort <br> from resources, changes to the baselined <br> schedule and/or additional required <br> funding. | $>$Closely follow the documented CR process to <br> ensure any additional scope is necessary for the <br> success of the project. <br> $\square$ | Change request CR29560 WAR -05 has <br> been approved by the SB 1049 Steering <br> Team adding additional scope to the WAR |  |
| Project. |  |  |  |
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## Project Schedule Deliverables and Milestones

| Milestones Schedule |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Milestone | Percent <br> Complete | Actual / <br> Finish Date | Forecast <br> Finish Date* |  |
| WP1 - Phase Closure Complete | $100 \%$ | $2 / 13 / 2020$ | $2 / 13 / 2020$ |  |
| WP3 - Initial Development Began | $100 \%$ | $11 / 13 / 2020$ | $11 / 13 / 2020$ |  |
| WP2 - Deployment Complete | $100 \%$ | $10 / 22 / 2020$ | $11 / 19 / 2020$ |  |
| WP3 - Development Complete | $0 \%$ | $2 / 3 / 2021$ | $2 / 19 / 2021$ |  |
| WAR - Re-baselined Project Schedule | $0 \%$ |  | $3 / 4 / 2021$ |  |
| WP3 - Business Functional Testing (BFT) Complete | $0 \%$ | $3 / 11 / 2021$ | $3 / 22 / 2021$ |  |
| WP3 - Ready for User Acceptance Testing (UAT) Quality Gate | $0 \%$ | $4 / 1 / 2021$ | $3 / 29 / 2021$ |  |
| WP3 - User Acceptance Testing Complete | $0 \%$ | $4 / 1 / 2021$ | $5 / 10 / 2021$ |  |
| WP3 - Quality Assurance Testing Complete | $0 \%$ | $4 / 1 / 2021$ | $5 / 10 / 2021$ |  |
| WP3 - Move to Production Quality Gate Complete | $0 \%$ | $4 / 16 / 2021$ | $5 / 12 / 2021$ |  |
| WP3 - Deployment Complete | $0 \%$ | $4 / 22 / 2021$ | $5 / 13 / 2021$ |  |
| WP3 - Phase Closure Complete | $0 \%$ | $6 / 4 / 2021$ | $6 / 30 / 2021$ |  |
| WP4 - Milestones TBD | $0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| WAR Project Complete | $0 \%$ | $8 / 6 / 2021$ | $7 / 27 / 2021$ | New scope will extend this date. |

*Finish Date Color: Green = on Schedule, Yellow = in Jeopardy, Red = Late
The SB 1049 Steering Team has approved the schedule changes above. Conversion from a duration-based schedule to an effort-based schedule is complete. This schedule is in Quality Check Point review and, once approved, will be re-baselined returning the WP3 milestones to green. WP4 milestones will be added once the detailed schedule is developed.

Executive Sponsor: Kevin Olineck
Program Manager: Christa Harrison

## Project information: Salary Limit

Project start: 7/01/ 2019 | Project end: 5/28/2021
Project Manager: Bruce Rosenblatt

## Overall project status: Green

Project Narrative: The 2020 Salary Limit Project is comprised of four Work Packages. Work Package 1 (WP1) delivered a short-term solution in 2019, delivering new reports so PERS can manually report on impacted members and their employers for Tier 1/Tier 2, and Oregon Public Service Retirement Plan. Work Package 2 (WP2) implemented a Data Change Request on 1/23/2020 to post the 2020 limit. Work Package 3 (WP3) provided entry screens, and approval roles, to record annual salary limit changes and effective dates for all plans. Work Package 4 (WP4) addresses the proration processes for partial year activities, and introduces Calculation Alert Messages on application screens.

## Work Packages:

Work Package 3: User screens to record annual salary limit, adding Tier1 to messages for Salary Limit, similar to Tier2 and Oregon Public Service Retirement Plan - Long-term

- Production Deployment Date: 11/19/2020 (Complete)
- Completed the annual salary limit change, to reflect the Consumer Price Index adjustment to the salary limit
- The change was posted on $1 / 04 / 2021$, using the new screens and security roles delivered with WP3
- The Communications Team published revisions to websites and sent GovDelivery emails to 6,000 recipients, as formal notice to employers that the salary limit was changing

Work Package 4 - Enhances proration work processes when partial year calculations may apply - Long-term

- Production Deployment Date: 5/13/2021
- Reviewed Centralized Data Management reports, and continued User Acceptance Testing for key selected reports
- Will begin WP4 Business Functional Testing on 2/22/2021, and provide test scenarios to business users for review
- WP4 User Acceptance Testing will start on 3/26/2021


## OREGON

## SB 1049 Implementation Program

Status Report for February 19, 2021

Executive Sponsor: Kevin Olineck
Program Manager: Christa Harrison

## Budget Health: Green

Salary Limit Project budget projections are currently lower than the budget allocated by the Legislature.

| 29560 SB1049 - Salary Limit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenses | Budget |  | Actual to Date |  | Projections |  | Total |  | Variance |  |
| *Personal Services - PERS | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ | 971,401 | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 996,401 | \$ | 3,599 |
| General Overhead Allocation | \$ | 318,583 | \$ | 199,270 | \$ | 119,233 | \$ | 318,503 | \$ | 80 |
| Personal Services - SB1049 | \$ | 232,356 | \$ | 147,423 | \$ | 58,999 | \$ | 206,422 | \$ | 25,934 |
| Services and Supplies | \$ | 147,088 | \$ | 131,240 | \$ | 15,500 | \$ | 146,740 | \$ | 348 |
| IT Professional Services | \$ | 714,000 | \$ | 272,309 | \$ | 432,457 | \$ | 704,766 | \$ | 9,234 |
| IT Expendable Prop | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 5,536 | \$ | 4,000 | \$ | 9,536 | \$ | 464 |
| SB1049 Total Expenses | \$ | 1,422,027 | \$ | 755,778 | \$ | 630,189 | \$ | 1,385,967 | \$ | 36,060 |
| Project Total | \$ | 2,422,027 | \$ | 1,727,179 | \$ | 655,189 | \$ | 2,382,368 | \$ | 39,659 |
| Average Monthly Spend (Burn Rate) |  |  | \$ | 44,457.52 | \$ | 90,027.00 |  |  |  |  |
| *Not included in SB1049 Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Schedule health: Green

The project is proceeding per the schedule approved by the SB 1049 Steering Team. Conversion from a duration-base schedule to an effort-based schedule is complete. This revised schedule is completing Quality Check Point review, and will be re-baselined immediately after approval.

## Scope health: Green

The project scope is understood for all releases.

## Quality Assurance activities:

- The Salary Limit Project Schedule was submitted for Quality Check Point on 2/17/2021, due 2/25/2021.
- The Functional Design Specification document is scheduled for formal approval by the end of Business Function Testing (BFT) on 3/19/2021


## Emerging concerns/needs/impacts:

- No emerging concerns


## SB 1049 Implementation Program

## Status Report for February 19, 2021

Executive Sponsor: Kevin Olineck
Program Manager: Christa Harrison

High Level Project Risks and Mitigation
Listed below are the most critical risks for this project.
For the complete Risk Log, please see the Risk Log tab in the most recent weekly status report: Salary Limit Weekly Status Report

| $\#$ | Risk Description | Mitigation and/or Contingency Plan | Notes |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 87 | Lack of Backup for Associate <br> Product Owners' role, to support SB <br> 1049 and Production | Managers will train additional subject <br> matter experts for regression testing, so that <br> potential operations activities do not impact <br> the User Acceptance Testing schedule | Subject matter experts have the business <br> experience, and can be introduced to the <br> testing tools and procedures, so they can use <br> their business experience to participate in <br> testing activities as required |

## Project Issues and Action Plans

Listed below are the most critical issues for this project.
For the complete Issue Log, please see the Issue Log tab in the most recent weekly status report: Salary Limit Weekly Status Report

| No |  |  | Estimated |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Resolution / Notes | Resution Date |  |  |

Executive Sponsor: Kevin Olineck
Program Manager: Christa Harrison

## Project Schedule Deliverables and Milestones

| Milestones Schedule |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Milestone | Percent <br> Complete | Baseline Finish <br> Date | Actual / <br> Forecast <br> Finish Date* |  |
|  | $100 \%$ | $1 / 23 / 2020$ | $1 / 23 / 2020$ |  |
| WP2 - Post New Salary Limit - Release to Production | $100 \%$ | $3 / 13 / 2020$ | $3 / 13 / 2020$ |  |
| WP3 - Requirements Complete | $100 \%$ | $5 / 15 / 2020$ | $5 / 15 / 2020$ |  |
| Change Request Approval (SL_01) | $100 \%$ | $6 / 17 / 2020$ | $6 / 17 / 2020$ |  |
| Baseline the project schedule | $100 \%$ | $7 / 14 / 2020$ | $7 / 14 / 2020$ |  |
| WP4 - Requirements Complete | $100 \%$ | $8 / 14 / 2020$ | $8 / 14 / 2020$ |  |
| WP3 - Development Complete | $100 \%$ | $9 / 04 / 2020$ | $9 / 02 / 2020$ |  |
| WP3 - Business Function Testing (BFT) Complete | $100 \%$ | $9 / 04 / 2020$ | $11 / 13 / 2020$ |  |
| WP3 - User Acceptance Testing (UAT) Complete | $100 \%$ | $10 / 22 / 2020$ | $11 / 19 / 2020$ |  |
| WP3 - Deployment Complete | $100 \%$ | $8 / 18 / 2020$ | $11 / 17 / 2020$ |  |
| WP4 - Signoff User Stories \& Acceptance Criteria Complete | $100 \%$ | $1 / 19 / 2021$ | $2 / 19 / 2021$ |  |
| WP4 - Development Complete | $0 \%$ | $2 / 09 / 2021$ | $3 / 19 / 2021$ |  |
| WP4 - Business Function Testing (BFT) Complete | $0 \%$ | $3 / 23 / 2021$ | $5 / 07 / 2021$ |  |
| WP4 - User Acceptance Testing (UAT) Complete | $0 \%$ | $4 / 01 / 2021$ | $5 / 13 / 2021$ |  |
| WP4 - Deployment Complete | $0 \%$ | $4 / 15 / 2021$ | $5 / 28 / 2021$ |  |
| Project Complete |  |  |  |  |

*Finish Date Color: Green = on Schedule, Yellow = in Jeopardy, Red = Late
The SB 1049 Steering Team has approved the schedule changes above. Conversion from a duration-based schedule to an effort-based schedule is complete. This schedule is in Quality Check Point review and, once approved, will be re-baselined returning these milestones to green.

Executive Sponsor: Kevin Olineck
Program Manager: Christa Harrison

## Project information: Member Redirect

Project start: July 1, 2019 | Project end: April 24, 2024
Project Manager: Chris Yu

## Project objective:

Effective July 1, 2020 this section of the bill redirects a portion of member contributions to a new Employee Pension Stability Account (EPSA) when the funded status of the plan is below $90 \%$ and the member's monthly salary is more than $\$ 2,500$.

## Overall project status: Green

Project Narrative: The overall project health is green and progressing on schedule. The current priority is to conduct User Acceptance Testing for work package 3.1 (EPSA Earnings) and work package 3.2 (Member Annual Statement Extract), which began on 2/19/2021. Two fast track releases were created to address defects from WP5.2 (Online Member Services User Interface). One was deployed 2/11/2021, and one will be deployed 2/25/2021.

In addition, a change request was approved to move the deployment date of work package 12 (Full EPSA Screen Setup) from 12/23/2022 to 6/24/2021.

Conversion from a duration-base schedule to an effort-based schedule is complete. This schedule was submitted for Quality Check Point review on $2 / 17 / 2021$ will be re-baselined immediately afterwards.

## Work Packages:

## Work Package 3.1 EPSA Earnings - Long-Term

- Production Deployment Date: 3/23/2021
- Business Functional Testing was completed on 2/5/2021
- User Acceptance Testing began on 2/19/2021 and will be completed by 3/19/2021


## Work Package 4.2 EPSA Earnings - Long-Term

- Production Deployment Date: 6/24/2021
- Business Requirements Document completed on 12/30/2020
- Construction will begin on $3 / 3 / 2021$ and continue towards the completion date of 4/15/2021

Work Package 3.2 Member Annual Statement Extract- Long-Term

- Production Deployment Date: 3/23/2021
- Business Functional Testing was completed on 2/5/2021
- User Acceptance Testing began on 2/19/2021 and will be completed by 3/19/2021


## Work Package 12 EPSA Earnings - Long-Term

- Production Deployment Date: 6/24/2021
- Business Requirements Document was completed on 2/8/2021
- Construction will begin on 3/3/2021 and continue towards the completion date of 4/15/2021

Additional long-term work packages exist. See the Milestones Schedule for a complete list of work packages

## OREGON

## SB 1049 Implementation Program

Status Report for February 19, 2021

Executive Sponsor: Kevin Olineck
Program Manager: Christa Harrison

Budget health: Green The project is below budget for the current biennium. The issue of additional budget needed for work beyond the current biennium is being tracked at the program level.

| 29560 SB1049 - Member Redirect Project |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenses | Budget |  | Actual to Date |  | Projections |  | Total |  | Variance |  |
| *Personal Services - PERS | \$ | 1,370,000 | \$ | 1,342,992 | \$ | 27,008 | \$ | 1,370,000 | \$ | - |
| General Overhead Allocation | \$ | 4,778,742 | \$ | 2,989,051 | \$ | 1,788,471 | \$ | 4,777,522 | \$ | 1,220 |
| Personal Services - SB1049 | \$ | 4,111,180 | \$ | 2,212,473 | \$ | 666,322 | \$ | 2,878,795 | \$ | 1,232,385 |
| Services and Supplies | \$ | 826,890 | \$ | 463,673 | \$ | 316,458 | \$ | 780,131 | \$ | 46,759 |
| IT Professional Services | \$ | 10,923,321 | \$ | 8,615,336 | \$ | 1,260,003 | \$ | 9,875,339 | \$ | 1,047,982 |
| IT Expendable Property | \$ | 200,000 | \$ | 88,573 | \$ | 110,000 | \$ | 198,573 | \$ | 1,427 |
| Data Processing Hardware | \$ | 200,000 | \$ | 193,798 | \$ | 6,000 | \$ | 199,798 | \$ | 202 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB1049 Total Expenses | \$ | 21,040,133 | \$ | 14,562,904 | \$ | 4,147,254 | \$ | 18,710,158 | \$ | 2,329,975 |
| Project Total | \$ | 22,410,133 | \$ | 15,905,896 | \$ | 4,174,262 | \$ | 20,080,158 | \$ | 2,329,975 |
| Average Monthly Spend (Burn Rate) |  |  | \$ | 809,050.24 | \$ | 691,209.00 |  |  |  |  |
| *Not included in SB1049 Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Schedule Health: Green

The current project tasks are on schedule for all current milestone dates.
The issue of long-term work packages scheduled beyond the 2019-21 biennium are being tracked at the program level.

## Scope health: Green

The project scope is understood for all releases.
Quality Assurance activities:

- The Quality Gate Meeting for Fast Track 9.6.1 (Online Member Services User Interface) was completed on 2/10/2021.
- The Member Redirect Project Schedule was submitted for Quality Check Point on 2/17/2021, due 2/25/2021.


## Emerging concerns/needs/impacts:

- 9.7.0 Release on $3 / 23 / 2021$ is a combined release with Member Choice. Both project teams are monitoring issues and milestones that could have an impact to work package 3.1 (EPSA Earnings) and work package 3.2 (Member Annual Statement Extract).


## SB 1049 Implementation Program

Status Report for February 19, 2021

Executive Sponsor: Kevin Olineck
Program Manager: Christa Harrison

High Level Project Risks and Mitigation
Listed below are the most critical risks for this project.
For the complete Risk Log, please see the Risk Log tab in the most recent weekly status report: Member Redirect Weekly Status Report

| $\#$ | Risk Description | Mitigation and/or Contingency Plan | Notes |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 66 | WP5.2 Quality Risk: Due to multiple <br> defects, there is a quality risk that <br> can impact external users on Online <br> Member Services | $>$Continue to monitor and resolve any <br> identified critical defects | The deployment was completed on schedule <br> for 1/21/2021. Fast track release 9.6.0FT2 is <br> currently in UAT and will deploy on <br> $2 / 25 / 2021$ to resolve defects from this <br> deployment |
| 67 | Release 9.7.0 Environment Risk: The <br> UAT for WP3.1 and 3.2 will require <br> multiple environments | $>$The project manager and release manager <br> will identify and mitigate any <br> environment issues with the Systems <br> Analyst | UAT is scheduled to begin on 2/19/2021 and <br> be completed on 3/19/2021 |

## Project Issues and Action Plans

Listed below are the most critical issues for this project.
For the complete Issue Log, please see the Issue Log tab in the most recent weekly status report: Member Redirect Weekly Status Report

| No | Issue | Resolution / Notes | Estimated <br> Resolution Date |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 11 | Risk 66 WP5.2 Defects: There were some production <br> defects from this release that also have impact to the <br> WAR project. This issue will be tracked as a Member <br> Redirect Issue and resolution. | A release will deploy on 2/25/2021 to resolve this issue. It <br> is currently in UAT and on track for completion | $2 / 25 / 2021$ |

## SB 1049 Implementation Program

Status Report for February 19, 2021

Executive Sponsor: Kevin Olineck
Program Manager: Christa Harrison

## Project Schedule Deliverables and Milestones

| Milestones Schedule |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Milestone | Percent <br> Complete | Baseline <br> Finish Date | Actual / <br> Forecast <br> Finish Date* |  |
| WP4.1 - EPSA Minimum Salary | $100 \%$ | $6 / 24 / 2021$ | $12 / 22 / 2021$ |  |
| WP 5.2 - Online Member Services User Interface | $100 \%$ | $1 / 26 / 2021$ | $1 / 21 / 2021$ |  |
| WP2.1 - Tool for IAP Earnings Data | $100 \%$ | $1 / 26 / 2021$ | $1 / 26 / 2021$ |  |
| WP3.1 - EPSA Earnings | $0 \%$ | $3 / 23 / 2021$ | $3 / 23 / 2021$ |  |
| WP3.2 - Member Annual Statement Extract | $0 \%$ | $3 / 23 / 2021$ | $3 / 23 / 2021$ |  |
| WP4.2 - EPSA Transaction Display | $0 \%$ | $6 / 24 / 2021$ | $6 / 24 / 2021$ |  |
| WP12 - Full EPSA Set up screen | $0 \%$ | $12 / 21 / 2023$ | $6 / 24 / 2021$ | Earlier date approved by SB 1049 |
| Steering Team - CR 004 |  |  |  |  |
| WP5 - Voluntary Contribution maintenance | $0 \%$ | $10 / 29 / 2021$ | $10 / 29 / 2021$ |  |
| WP6 - EPSA Retirement | $0 \%$ | $1 / 27 / 2022$ | $1 / 27 / 2022$ |  |
| WP7 - EPSA Divorce | $0 \%$ | $4 / 28 / 2022$ | $4 / 28 / 2022$ |  |
| WP8 - Pre-Retirement Death | $0 \%$ | $7 / 28 / 2022$ | $7 / 28 / 2022$ |  |
| WP9 - Withdrawals | $0 \%$ | $11 / 17 / 2022$ | $11 / 17 / 2022$ |  |
| WP10 - Post-Retirement Death | $0 \%$ | $3 / 31 / 2023$ | $3 / 31 / 2023$ |  |
| WP11 - Maintaining Benefits | $0 \%$ | $8 / 24 / 2023$ | $8 / 24 / 2023$ |  |
| Migration Finalization | $0 \%$ | $3 / 29 / 2024$ | $3 / 29 / 2024$ |  |
| Project Complete | $0 \%$ | $4 / 24 / 2024$ | $4 / 24 / 2024$ |  |

*Finish Date Color: Green = on Schedule, Yellow = in Jeopardy, Red = Late

Status Report for February 19, 2021

Executive Sponsor: Kevin Olineck
Program Manager: Christa Harrison

## Project information: Member Choice

Project start: October 23, 2019 | Project end: August 4, 2021
Project Manager: Joli Whitney

## Overall project status: Yellow

Project Narrative: Work package 2.1 (WP2.1 Online Member Services and jClarety Enhancements) continues to move towards an on-time production deployment. Business Functional Testing was delayed to resolve errors encountered during a code merge with a prior production release, but User Acceptance Testing had sufficient time planned to absorb this delay. Technical review of the third and final work package (WP3 Earnings Rates and Validations Updates in jClarety) has been completed and the estimated level of effort required to deliver all of the requested functionality is much larger than originally estimated. A project change request (CR) is in process to request a reduction in scope and address some known defects. This change will still satisfy the minimum requirements needed for SB 1049 and allow the project to complete on time. The CR to make this change will be presented to the SB 1049 Steering Team on February 23.

Short-term Solutions (to meet 1/1/2021 Member Choice effective date)
WP1: Member Election - Short-term
WP1.1 Online Election

- Production Deployment Date: 8/20/2020 (Complete)

WP1.2 Voya's updates to website and nightly sweep program

- Production Deployment Date: 1/19/2021 (Complete)

WP1.3 PERS paper form election process including workflow

- Production Deployment Date: 8/12/2020 (Complete)

WP1.4 Development of new reports (to Voya and internal)

- Production Deployment Date 9/29/2020 (Complete)

WP2- Refining TDF Processes - Long-term
WP2.1-Online Member Services and jClarety Enhancements

- Production Deployment Date: $3 / 23 / 2021$


## WP2.2 Central Data Management Reports

- Production Deployment Date: 5/27/2021
- Reports for Financial Reporting Services which have a dependency on status data delivered in WP2.1

WP3- Earnings Rates and Validations Updates in jClarety - Long-term

- Production Deployment Date: 6/24/2021
- De-scoping CR is in process for this work package


## OREGON

## SB 1049 Implementation Program

Status Report for February 19, 2021

Executive Sponsor: Kevin Olineck
Program Manager: Christa Harrison

## Budget health: Green

Member Choice Project is currently within the budget allocated by the Legislature.

| Expenses | Budget |  | Actual to Date |  | Projections |  | Total |  | Variance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *Personal Services - PERS | \$ | 860,000 | \$ | 851,847 | \$ | 6,764 | \$ | 858,611 | \$ | 1,389 |
| General Overhead Allocation | \$ | 318,583 | \$ | 199,270 | \$ | 117,197 | \$ | 316,467 | \$ | 2,116 |
| Personal Services - SB1049 | \$ | 311,193 | \$ | 147,423 | \$ | 105,429 | \$ | 252,852 | \$ | 58,341 |
| Office Expenses | \$ | 1,500 | \$ | 1,163 | \$ | 200 | \$ | 1,363 | \$ | 137 |
| Professional Services |  | 251,198 | \$ | 280,000 |  | - | \$ | 280,000 | \$ | $(28,802)$ |
| IT Professional Services | \$ | 1,449,000 | \$ | 846,040 | \$ | 579,587 | \$ | 1,425,627 | \$ | 23,373 |
| IT Expendable Prop | \$ | 6,340 | \$ | 5,535 | \$ | 500 | \$ | 6,035 | \$ | 305 |
| SB1049 Total Expenses | \$ | 2,337,814 | \$ | 1,479,431 | \$ | 802,913 | \$ | 2,282,344 | \$ | 55,470 |
| Project Total | \$ | 3,197,814 | \$ | 2,331,278 | \$ | 809,677 | \$ | 3,140,955 | \$ | 56,859 |
| Average Monthly Spend (Burn Rate) |  |  | \$ | 82,190.62 | \$ | 133,818.83 |  |  |  |  |
| *Not included in SB1049 Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Schedule Health: Yellow

With the updated work estimates for the final work package to be delivered by the project end date, the project schedule is in jeopardy. A change request is in process to reduce the scope of WP3 to realign with the remaining project schedule. The final review of the effort-based project schedule is in Quality Check Point to complete by 2/25/2021.

## Scope health: Yellow

Updated work estimates indicate the scope of WP3 is too large to deliver by the project end date. A CR to reduce scope of WP3 is in process to present to Steering Team for consideration on 2/23/2021.

## Quality Assurance activities:

- Quality Check Point of the System Design Specification Document for WP3 was completed on 2/8/2021.
- Quality Check Point of the Effort Based Project Schedule is scheduled to be completed on 2/25/2021.

Emerging concerns/needs/impacts:

- No emerging concerns


## OREGON

## SB 1049 Implementation Program

Status Report for February 19, 2021

Executive Sponsor: Kevin Olineck
Program Manager: Christa Harrison

High Level Project Risks and Mitigation
Listed below are the most critical risks for this project.
For the complete Risk Log, please see the Risk Log tab in the most recent weekly status report: Member Choice Weekly Status Report

| \# | Risk Description | Mitigation and/or Contingency Plan | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | Competing SB 1049 Resources: Critical resources are involved in multiple concurrent SB 1049 projects, limiting availability for individual projects | Coordinated schedule planning with Program Manager and other Project Managers; reassign staff to cover absences or offset peak workload periods. | Schedule has been updated to show true work effort for resources. This risk will be downgraded once the new work-effort based schedules are baselined. |
| 18 | Critical Resources Allocated to non-SB 1049 Work: Critical SB 1049 resources are allocated to non-SB 1049 work, impacting availability for SB 1049 work and causing schedule delays | Reassign non-SB 1049 work to operational resources not working on SB 1049. | This risk will be downgraded once the new work-effort based schedules are baselined. |
| 43 | OMS UI Upgrade/Struts Impact to BFT <br> Timeline- New Online Member <br> Services code to update the user <br> interface will be deployed in a <br> January release while WP2.1 is in <br> Business Functional Testing. This <br> may require more time for regression | Closely monitor the progress of Business Functional Testing and request additional resources if necessary to complete work on time to meet combined release schedule, | Business Functional Testing has taken longer than planned, but the team anticipates that User Acceptance Testing will still complete on schedule. |

## Project Issues and Action Plans

Listed below are the most critical issues for this project.
For the complete Issue Log, please see the Issue Log tab in the most recent weekly status report: Member Choice Weekly Status Report

| No |  | Issue | Estimated <br> Resolution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | Overlapping Work Packages- WP closing and <br> starting tasks have become too closely <br> scheduled so they now overlap causing <br> resources to be stretched | The CR to reduce scope of WP3 will mitigate this issue as there will be <br> much less work planned in the next work package. This issue will be <br> resolved once the CR is approved and the schedule for WP3 is updated <br> to reflect the new work. | 3/12/2021 |

## SB 1049 Implementation Program

Status Report for February 19, 2021

Executive Sponsor: Kevin Olineck
Program Manager: Christa Harrison

## Project Schedule Deliverables and Milestones

| Milestones Schedule |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Milestone | Percent <br> Complete | Baseline <br> Finish Date | Actual / <br> Forecast <br> Finish Date* |  |
| Member Choice TDFs Effective (Legislative deadline) | $100 \%$ | $1 / 1 / 2021$ | $1 / 1 / 2021$ |  |
| WP2.1 Development Complete | $100 \%$ | $1 / 5 / 2021$ | $1 / 8 / 2021$ |  |
| WP2.1 Business Functional Testing Complete | $0 \%$ | $1 / 26 / 2021$ | $2 / 19 / 2021$ |  |
| WP2.1 User Acceptance Testing Complete | $0 \%$ | $2 / 4 / 2021$ | $3 / 19 / 2021$ |  |
| WP2.1 Deployment Complete | $0 \%$ | $2 / 23 / 2021$ | $3 / 23 / 2021$ |  |
| WP3 Development Complete | $0 \%$ | $5 / 4 / 2021$ | $4 / 26 / 2021$ |  |
| WP3 Business Functional Testing Complete | $0 \%$ | $5 / 24 / 2021$ | $5 / 14 / 2021$ |  |
| WP3 User Acceptance Testing Complete | $0 \%$ | $6 / 23 / 2021$ | $6 / 14 / 2021$ |  |
| WP3 Deployment Complete | $0 \%$ | $6 / 30 / 2021$ | $6 / 24 / 2021$ |  |
| Project Close | $0 \%$ | $8 / 4 / 2021$ | $8 / 4 / 2021$ |  |

*Finish Date Color: Green = on Schedule, Yellow = in Jeopardy, Red = Late
The SB 1049 Steering Team has approved the schedule changes above. Conversion from a duration-based schedule to an effort-based schedule is complete. This schedule is in Quality Check Point review and, once approved, will be re-baselined returning these milestones to green.


## 2021-2023 Biennium



## 2023-2025 Biennium



## HB 5032 BUDGET REPORT and MEASURE SUMMARY

Joint Committee On Ways and Means

Prepared By: Patrick Heath, Department of Administrative Services
Reviewed By: John Borden, Legislative Fiscal Office

Public Employees Retirement System
2019-21


## Budget Summary*

Other Funds Limited
Other Funds Nonlimited Total

2017-19 Legislatively
Approved Budget ${ }^{(1)}$

| $\$$ | $101,657,012$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | $10,994,171,000$ |
| $\$$ | $11,095,828,012$ |

2019-21 Current Service Level

| $\$$ | $105,912,270$ |  | $\$$ | $163,356,188$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $12,493,087,721$ |  | $\$$ | $12,504,627,192$ |
|  | $12,598,999,991$ |  | $\$$ | $12,667,983,380$ |

2019-21 Committee
Recommendation

Committee Change from 2017-19 Leg. Approved

| \$ Change |  |  | \%Change |
| :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | $61,699,176$ |  | $60.7 \%$ |
| $\$$ | $1,510,456,192$ |  | $13.7 \%$ |
| $\$$ | $1,572,155,368$ |  | $14.2 \%$ |

## Position Summary

| Authorized Positions | 379 | 369 | 419 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Full-time Equivalent (FTE) positions | 375.18 | 369.00 | 414.32 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Includes adjustments through December 2018

* Excludes Capital Construction expenditures


## Summary of Revenue Changes

The benefit trusts that the Public Employees Retirement System (PERS) administers are funded primarily through a combination of earnings generated on the investment of trust assets by the Oregon Investment Council and from employer or employee contributions. PERS projects $\$ 10.2$ billion in investment earnings and $\$ 4.6$ billion in retirement system contributions for all benefit programs in the 2019-21 biennium. For purposes of assessing system funded status, the PERS Board currently assumes the Oregon Investment Council (OIC) will earn net returns of 7.2 percent per year. The 10-year average return totals 9.46 percent as of December 31, 2018, however, more recent returns have missed the assumed rate. In 2018 the Oregon Public Employees Retirement Fund earned 0.48 percent for the year. When earnings on the fund are projected to fall short of the assumed rate of return, employer rates increase to make up the difference in order to preserve the funded status of the system. For the last several biennia, the PERS Board has reduced the assumed earnings rate of the PERS Fund to better reflect historical performance and predicted investment returns of the PERS Fund.

The employer or employee contributions budgeted for the PERS trusts come in the form of employer rates to fund the Tier 1, Tier 2, Oregon Public Service Retirement Program (OPSRP), and PERS Health Insurance Programs (PHIP) benefits. Employer payroll rates for 2019-21 were adopted by the PERS Board in October 2018. System wide, the rates the Board adopted increased collared net pension rates from 17.51 percent of payroll for Tier 1 / Tier 2 in 2017-19 to 22.00 percent of payroll in 2019-21; and increased from 11.00 percent of payroll for OSPSRP General Service in 2017-19 to 15.65 percent of payroll in 2019-21. Employer rates do not include costs for the Individual Account Program or debt service costs for pension obligation bonds. Although the 2019 Legislature passed Senate Bill 1049, which is expected to reduce employer rates by an average of 5.43 percent below where they would otherwise be, these changes are not anticipated to be reflected in employer rates until
the 2021-23 biennium. Rates vary by employer based on factors including their employee demographics, their pre-funding any liability via side accounts, or their participation in a rate pool.

The PERS Health Insurance Program (PHIP) is funded via a combination of employer rates, earnings on the PERS Fund, insurance premiums paid by beneficiaries and passed through to the insurers, and subsidy payments from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.

Recent legislative efforts have focused on using one-time and new revenues to fund the PERS liability and incentivize employers to pre-fund their liabilities by establishing side accounts. Senate Bill 1566 (2018) created the School District Unfunded Liability Fund (SDULF) and the Employer Incentive Fund (EIF) for these purposes. In 2019-21, PERS will begin to receive revenue from decoupling from a federal tax deduction for small business and interest on unclaimed property to fund the SDULF and the EIF. The Legislature also approved a $\$ 100$ million General Fund appropriation to the Employer Incentive Fund in Senate Bill 1049 (2019) along with an unknown revenue stream from sports betting. Below is a table showing the programs, the funding streams supporting each program, the estimated revenue associated with each program, and associated legislation:

| Program | Funding | Estimated <br> 2019-21 <br> Revenue | Bill |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School District Unfunded Liability Fund | 82.0 percent of federal decoupling revenue <br> Excess capital gains <br> Excess Estate Taxes <br> Excess debt collections <br> Excess interest on unclaimed property | \$129.8 million <br> Unknown <br> Unknown <br> Unknown <br> \$11.5 million | SB 1529 (2018) <br> SB 1566 (2018) <br> SB 1566 (2018) <br> SB 1566 (2018) <br> SB 1566 (2018) |
| Employer Incentive Fund | 18.0 percent of federal decoupling revenue Employer Contributions Sports Gambling revenues (Lottery) General Fund Appropriation | \$28.4 million <br> Unknown <br> Unknown \$100 million | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB } 1529 \text { (2018) } \\ & \text { SB } 1566 \text { (2018) } \\ & \text { SB } 1049 \text { (2019) } \\ & \text { SB } 1049(2019) \end{aligned}$ |

PERS' operating budget is funded primarily, or 96.6 percent, by recovering its administrative costs from the benefit trusts the agency administers. The agency also has some limited charges for service for administering the Oregon Savings Growth Plan deferred compensation program, side accounts established by PERS employers, and for Benefit Equalization Fund payments.

## Summary of General Government Subcommittee Action

The Public Employees Retirement System (PERS) is responsible for administering retirement programs for public employees, including state, school, and local government workers. In 2017, PERS paid approximately $\$ 3.9$ billion in benefits to Oregonians. In support of its mission, PERS manages the Tier 1 and Tier 2 Retirement programs, the Oregon Public Service Retirement Program (OPSRP), the Individual Account Program (IAP), two health insurance programs, Social Security Administration activities, and the Oregon Savings Growth Plan deferred compensation program.

The five-member Public Employees Retirement Board (PERB) provides administrative oversight for all PERS programs. Board members are appointed by the Governor and confirmed by the Senate for three-year terms. The Board is made up of three members with experience in business management, pension management or investing who are not members of PERS, one member who is an employee of the state in a management position or a person who holds an elective office in the governing body of a participating public employer other than the state, and one member who works for a PERS employer and is a member of a collective bargaining unit.

The Subcommittee recommended a total funds budget of $\$ 12,667,983,380$ and 419 positions ( 414.32 FTE) for the 2019-21 biennium. The budget consists of Other Funds expenditure limitation of $\$ 163,356,188$ for agency operations and $\$ 12,504,627,192$ in Nonlimited Other Funds expenditure authority for benefit payments. This represents a 60.7 percent increase in the agency's operating budget, due primarily to the implementation of Senate Bill 1049 (2019) and a 13.7 percent increase in the agency's expenditures for statutorily mandated benefits over the 2017-19 Legislatively Approved Budget.

## Tier One and Tier Two Pension Programs

More than 900 public employers participate in the retirement and health care plans administered by PERS. This includes all state agencies, all public school districts, and the majority of local government entities - making PERS the provider of retirement programs for the vast majority of state and local government employees in the state. As of June 30, 2018, there were approximately 84,000 non-retired Tier 1 and Tier 2 members and approximately 141,000 retirees and beneficiaries receiving monthly retirement benefits as of June 30, 2018. Tier 1 covers members hired before January 1, 1996, while Tier 2 covers members hired between January 1, 1996 and August 28, 2003, while providing a slightly higher normal retirement age and slightly less generous benefits. Public employees hired after August 28, 2003 are members of the Oregon Public Service Retirement Pension Program (OPSRP).

The Tier 1 - Tier 2 Plan program unit accounts for employee and employer contributions and interest earnings related to those plans and reflects the benefits paid to Tier 1 - Tier 2 retirees or their beneficiaries. Payments from the Benefit Equalization Fund and the Judge Member Retirement are also paid out of this program. The Subcommittee recommended a budget of $\$ 10,272,591,713$ in Nonlimited Other Funds expenditures for the 2019-21 biennium for payments to beneficiaries, an increase of 13.1 percent from the 2017-19 Legislatively Approved Budget, which includes the following package:

Package 801, LFO Analyst Adjustment. This package increase Other Funds Nonlimited expenditure authority by $\$ 11,539,471$ to account for payments from the School District Unfunded Liability Fund established by Senate Bill 1566 (2018) into the pooled side account by that bill.

## Retirement Health Insurance Programs

PERS serves as a group sponsor providing health insurance services to approximately 60,000 retired members and dependents. PERS works with insurance carriers to design benefit packages, determine specifications, solicit proposals, analyze carrier responses, and award contracts. The PERS Health Insurance Program is comprised of two health insurance programs and an account for costs associated with administrative services:

- Retirement Health Insurance Account (RHIA): provides a $\$ 60$ per month subsidy to help offset the cost of insurance premiums in PERSsponsored health insurance plans for eligible retirees enrolled in Medicare Parts A and B. All PERS employers fund this subsidy through contributions of 0.06 percent of payroll. Approximately 45,000 retirees receive this subsidy, which totals approximately $\$ 32.4$ million annually.
- Retiree Health Insurance Premium Account (RHIPA): subsidizes the cost of insurance premiums in PERS-sponsored health insurance plans for those who retired directly from state of Oregon employment but are not yet Medicare eligible and are not enrolled in the state employee health insurance retiree plans sponsored by Public Employees Benefit Board. The subsidy, which is funded by the state of Oregon through its contribution rate at 0.39 percent of payroll, is based on the number of years the retired member was employed in state service. PERS pays more than $\$ 4.6$ million in premium subsidies annually, to almost 1,300 retirees, which is an average $\$ 298$ per member per month. This subsidy is limited to Tier 1 and Tier 2 PERS retirees with eight or more years of state service and also to their surviving spouses.
- Standard Retiree Health Insurance Account (SRHIA): covers all administrative services related to the PERS Health Insurance Program not specific to RHIA and RHIPA. This program encompasses such health insurance-related administrative activities as premium transfers, third-party administrative agreements, and consultant services. The majority of the revenue for the SRHIA program, over \$260 million per year, comes from member paid insurance premiums with additional revenues from federal sources like the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) and resulting investment returns.

The RHIA and RHIPA programs are funded from employer contributions and the return on investment of those contributions, which are held in the Public Employee Retirement Fund (PERF). The Subcommittee recommended a budget of $\$ 733,481,352$ in Nonlimited Other Funds expenditures for the 2019-21 biennium for payments to a third-party administrator and for payments to beneficiaries.

## Oregon Public Service Retirement Pension Program

The Oregon Public Service Retirement Pension (OPSRP) program serves public employees who began public employment after August 28, 2003. The OPSRP pension program is funded solely by employer contributions and investment earnings. The plan has a higher retirement age and less generous benefits than either the Tier 1 or Tier 2 programs. OPSRP now has over 146,000 non-retired members, more than the Tier 1 or Tier 2 plans combined, as well as 4,450 retirees and beneficiaries. This program accounts for employer contributions and retirement payments for the

OPSRP plan. The Subcommittee recommended a budget of $\$ 75,188,960$ in Nonlimited expenditure authority for payments to OPSRP beneficiaries.

## Individual Account Program

The Individual Account Program (IAP) is the defined contribution component of the PERS retirement plan. The IAP has no guaranteed payment or return. The IAP requires PERS members to contribute an amount equal to six percent of eligible salary to an IAP account (employers may pay the contribution on behalf of their employees). Beginning July 1, 2020, Tier 1 and Tier 2 members will contribute 3.5 percent of salary to their IAP accounts, and OPSRP members will contribute 5.25 percent to IAP accounts, with the balance of the 6.0 percent being contributed to each employee's Employee Pension Stability Account. At retirement, members will receive the balance of this account and accrued earnings. Starting January 1, 2018, the Oregon Investment Council shifted the investment portion of IAP assets to a series of Target Date Fund vintages that would adjust the assets and riskiness of each member's IAP based on their personal characteristics. Prior to January 1, 2018, these funds were invested exclusively the Public Employee Retirement Fund. Senate Bill 1049 (2019) will allow members to elect which Target Date Fund their IAP is invested in beginning January 1, 2021. As of December 31, 2017 there were 269,812 active IAP accounts with an average balance of $\$ 33,210$ each. The Subcommittee recommended a budget of $\$ 1,423,365,167$ in Nonlimited expenditure authority for payments to IAP beneficiaries.

## Central Administration

Central Administration, in conjunction with the PERS Board, provides the central direction, planning, and leadership for PERS. The Division consists of the executive director, deputy director, senior policy director, and the Strategic and Operational Planning section. The Subcommittee recommended a budget of $\$ 5,549,108$ in Other Funds expenditure limitation and 21 positions ( 20.92 FTE ), which includes the following packages:

Package 081, September 2018 Emergency Board. This package increases Other Funds expenditure limitation by $\$ 704,393$ and authorizes the transfer of four positions ( 4.00 FTE ) from the Operations Division to Central Administration to re-establish a Communications Section.

Package 805, Operational Implementation. This package increases Other Funds expenditure limitation by $\$ 388,736$ and authorizes the establishment of two permanent full-time Public Affairs Specialist 2 positions ( 1.92 FTE ) to address the anticipated communications workload associated with the passage of Senate Bill 1049 (2019).

## Financial and Administrative Services Division

The Financial and Administrative Services Division (FASD) provides comprehensive financial and administrative services to the agency. This includes financial accounting, reporting, and tax services for all PERS' trust and agency fund activities, including the Retirement Fund, Deferred Compensation funds, Benefit Equalization Fund, health insurance programs, and Social Security Program.

FASD is responsible for the receipt, posting, and deposit of over $\$ 1.7$ billion annually in contributions and the disbursement of approximately $\$ 4.5$ billion annually in benefits. Other fiscal activities include preparation, maintenance, and reporting of the agency's biennial budget, coordination of actuarial services, fiscal analysis, accounts receivable, accounts payable, contracts, and procurement.

FASD also contains the Facilities Services Section, which manages general building maintenance, office supplies, shipping and receiving, and other various ancillary tasks. Human Resources, Retiree Health Insurance and Deferred Compensation (OSGP) programs and are also located within FASD. The Subcommittee recommended a budget for the Financial and Administrative Services Division of $\$ 44,640,486$ in Other Funds expenditure limitation and 64 positions ( 63.52 FTE ), which includes the following packages:

Package 081, September 2018 Emergency Board. This package increases Other Funds expenditure limitation by $\$ 198,066$ and authorizes the establishment of one permanent, full-time Accountant 4 position ( 1.00 FTE ) to perform investment accounting for the Individual Account Program and specifically the crediting of Target Date Fund earnings to members accounts.

Package 082, December 2018 Emergency Board. This package increases Other Funds expenditure limitation of $\$ 1,698,000$ to pay for contracted services with a third-party administrator for the Oregon Savings Growth Plan. The package creates expenditure limitation for Oregon Growth Savings Plan expenditures for services including an account manager, communications consultants, and field representatives for OSGP in order to increase transparency and accountability for these expenses. Expenses related to investment management and payments to beneficiaries will remain non-budgeted, as authorized by statute.

Package 090, Analyst Adjustments. This package reduces Other Funds expenditure limitation by $\$ 183,430$ by reducing excess limitation for rent.
Package 103, FASD Strategic Fulfillment. This package increases Other Funds expenditure limitation by $\$ 357,679$ and authorizes the establishment of one limited duration position ( 1.00 FTE). This limited duration Accounting Tech 3 will perform collections based on a 2012 Oregon Supreme Court decision to retroactively reduce the earnings credited to members. Approximately 92.2 percent of PERS members subject to collections now have collections automatically deducted from benefits and automatically applied to benefits and the work to recalculate benefits is substantially complete.

Package 107, Deferred Maintenance. This package increases Other Funds expenditure limitation by $\$ 1,161,555$ for the following projects, each of which includes a 15 percent contingency amount: $\$ 456,918$ for replacement of the building's heating, air conditioning and ventilation system, $\$ 438,379$ for replacing the building's roof, $\$ 199,857$ for exterior repairs, and $\$ 66,401$ for replacing variable air volume boxes. This package is approved on a one-time basis.

Package 801, LFO Analyst Adjustments. This package increases Other Funds expenditure limitation by $\$ 11,539,472$ for the department to make payments from the School District Unfunded Liability Fund (\$11,539,471) and Employer Incentive Fund (\$1) established by Senate Bill 1566 (2018) to the employer side accounts envisioned by that bill.

Package 805, Operational Implementation. This package increases Other Funds expenditure limitation by $\$ 595,862$ and authorizes the establishment of four permanent, full-time positions ( 3.52 FTE ) for anticipated workload increases associated with Senate Bill 1049 (2019). This includes two Accountant 3 positions ( 1.76 FTE) who will provide fund accounting and earnings crediting for the Employer Pension Stability Accounts and two Accounting Technician 3 positions (1.76 FTE) to provide accounts receivable and cashiering support.

Package 812, Vacant Position Elimination. This package reduces Other Funds expenditure limitation by $\$ 250,886$ and one permanent full-time Principal Executive Manager F(1.00) that has been vacant for 11 months. This position, formerly the agency's Chief Administration Officer, is no longer needed by the agency based on the establishment of a permanent full-time Principal Executive Manager G position to serve as the agency's Chief Financial Officer and to administer the Financial and Administrative Services Division.

## Information Services Division

The Information Services Division (ISD) develops and operates PERS' complex information systems, maintains the agency's in-house data center, provides desktop support and training to PERS staff, and performs related activities including software development, database management, network support, and quality assurance. The Enterprise Content Management section provides imaging services, records management, and public records and discovery responses to the agency. ISD ensures agency staff has the appropriate IT tools and services necessary to perform their duties and provide customer service to members, employers, and other stakeholders. The Subcommittee recommended a budget for the Information Services Division of $\$ 26,144,003$ in Other Funds expenditure limitation and 70 positions ( 69.76 FTE ), which includes the following packages:

Package 102, Data Center Migration. This package increases Other Funds expenditure limitation by $\$ 1,715,318$ to fund the agency's transition to the State Data Center using a new colocation model of service. The agency will need to purchase new servers and software and associated services to complete the move, which is anticipated to be completed in June 2020. The Department of Administrative Services has received funding in its Legislatively Adopted Budget to provide the new colocation service that PERS will use at the State Data Center. This package is recommended on a one-time basis.

Package 104, Maintaining Current Services. This package increases Other Funds expenditure limitation by $\$ 356,295$ and establishes two permanent full-time positions ( 1.76 FTE) for an Information Systems Specialist 6 Quality Assurance Engineer and an Information Systems Specialist 6 Electronic Content Management analyst.

Package 812, Vacant Position Elimination. This package reduces Other Funds expenditure limitation by $\$ 129,751$ and abolishes one permanent full-time position ( 1.00 FTE ). This package eliminates a vacant Office Specialist 1 position and associated Services and Supplies in the Electronic Content Management section. The position has been vacant for 20 months.

## Operations Division

The Operations Division provides comprehensive retirement plan information and assistance to PERS members and employers for the Tier 1, Tier 2, OPSRP, and IAP programs. The division is the primary point of contact for PERS members and employers through its call center and
correspondence units. The Division provides member education through group presentations and assistance with retirement applications. The division processes benefit applications, determines benefit eligibility, reviews disability applications, and calculates and adjusts benefit payments. The Subcommittee recommended a budget of $\$ 39,438,343$ in Other Funds expenditure limitation for the Operations Division and 207 positions ( 206.52 FTE ), which includes the following packages:

Package 081, September 2018 Emergency Board. This package reduces Other Funds expenditure limitation by $\$ 704,393$ to recognize the transfer of four positions ( 4.00 FTE ) from the Operations Division to the Central Administration to re-establish a Communications Section in that division.

Package 090, Analyst Adjustments. This package reduces Other Funds expenditure limitation by $\$ 461,098$, including $\$ 211,098$ to account for unneeded limitation and $\$ 250,000$ in expenses for temporary staff due to increased permanent full-time staff that are recommended to be authorized in Package 104, Maintaining Current Services.

Package 104, Maintaining Current Services. This package increases Other Funds expenditure limitation by $\$ 568,790$ and authorizes the establishment of four permanent, full-time positions ( 3.52 FTE ). These positions include a Principal Executive Manager B position to serve as the Calculations Supervisor and allow the section manager to assume higher level responsibilities; an Operations and Policy Analyst 2 position to assist the Operations division in reconciling data coming from the Oregon State Treasury related to the implementation of the Target Date Funds investing approach to the Individual Account Program; and two positions, a Retirement Counselor 2 and an Office Specialist 2, to work in the Intake and Review section.

Package 812, Vacant Position Elimination. This package reduces Other Funds expenditure limitation by $\$ 250,886$ and abolishes one permanent full-time position. This action eliminates a vacant Principal Executive Manager F position that has been vacant for 16 months. This position is no longer needed due to the agency's consolidation of two divisions into a single division in 2015, eliminating the need for one division administrator.

## Compliance, Audit and Risk Division

The Compliance, Audit and Risk Division provides risk management and compliance services for all agency programs. The Division oversees and coordinates legal activities, assists in the identification and management of risks, and provides independent audit and consulting services to ensure legal and policy compliance, and manages section 218 of the State Social Security Program. The Division ensures policies, business rules and administrative rules are in compliance with state and federal statutes and the PERS plans maintain their tax qualified status.

The Subcommittee recommended a budget of $\$ 8,524,534$ in Other Funds expenditure limitation and 20 positions (20.00 FTE) for the Compliance, Audit and Risk Division, which includes the following packages:

Package 082, December 2018 Emergency Board. This package increases Other Funds expenditure limitation by $\$ 442,191$ and two positions ( 2.00 FTE), including one permanent full-time Information Systems Specialist 8 position to implement cybersecurity controls and a permanent full-
time Operations and Policy Analyst 3 to serve as the agency's Continuity and Disaster Recovery Specialist and manage the agency's continuity management program. These positions assist in maintaining the security and reliability of the agency's core pension systems and ensuring that there is no interruption in member benefit payments.

Package 106, Risk and Security Management. This package increases Other Funds expenditure limitation by $\$ 638,291$ for contracted services needed to support the agency's information security, business continuity and disaster recovery programs. PERS worked on this program during the 2015-17 biennium after information security audits revealed numerous flaws in the agency's security architecture. The agency received direction in 2016 from the Legislature, the Governor and the Department of Administrative Services - Chief Information Office to correct serious operational security deficiencies, develop and implement an industry standard Cyber Security Program, an industry standard Disaster Recovery Program, and an industry standard Business Continuity Program, and to establish a disaster recovery warm site.

PERS requested security resources in their 2017-19 Agency Request Budget, but those three positions along with two others were moved to the Enterprise Security Office within the Department of Administrative Services after the centralization of information security occurred under Senate Bill 90 (2017). During the 2017 Legislative Session PERS received $\$ 750,000$ to plan for a business continuity and disaster recovery program as well as $\$ 1.6$ million in contract dollars for IT security remediation. The December Emergency Board approved funding for two positions to staff the program (see Package 082, December 2018 Emergency Board).

The agency has assured the Legislature these programs will be complete in June of 2019. The Department of Administrative Services is requested to unschedule the $\$ 638,291$ in expenditure limitation until the Public Employees Retirement System submits a third-party assessment evaluating whether the agency has established an industry standard cybersecurity program, industry standard disaster recovery program, and industry standard business continuity program. This package is approved on a one-time basis.

## Core Retirement Systems Applications Division

The Core Retirement Systems Applications Division was established to budget for the project-related positions and contracts related to PERS' implementation of Senate Bill 1049, an omnibus PERS reform bill. The projects that will need to be undertaken to implement this bill include establishing a system to manage the new Employee Pension Stability Accounts that will hold contributions diverted from member's Individual Account Program contributions, establishing a system that allows members to choose which Target Date Fund their Individual Account Program will be invested in, creating a database to track the new work after retirement provisions, implementing a new information tool and staff for the Employer Incentive Fund, School District Unfunded Liability Fund, and Unfunded Actuarial Liability Resolution Program established by Senate Bill 1566 (2018), and creating a database to manage the new cap on final average salaries at $\$ 195,000$. The first of these projects, the establishment of the Employee Pension Stability Accounts, is set to take effect on July 1, 2020. The Subcommittee recommended a budget of $\$ 39,059,714$ in Other Funds expenditure limitation and 37 positions ( 33.60 FTE ). The Subcommittee recommended the following packages:

Package 802, Project Management and Administration. This package establishes Other Funds expenditure limitation of $\$ 2.5$ million for a project management vendor contract for the overall project management of the Senate Bill 1049 implementation effort. This amount is recommended on a one-time basis.

Package 803, Quality Assurance and Testing. This package establishes Other Funds expenditure limitation of \$2.5 million for an independent quality assurance contractor to oversee the implementation of Senate Bill 1049. This amount is recommended on a one-time basis.

Package 804, Information Technology Applications. This package establishes Other Funds expenditure limitation of $\$ 25,992,000$ to fund the information technology solutions needed to implement Senate Bill 1049. Given the timelines for implementation, the project will need to implement a series of temporary solutions before final versions can be implemented.

Specifically, PERS anticipates spending $\$ 4,675,000$ on temporary solutions as follows:

- $\$ 1,750,000$ in contracted services for a database to temporarily manage Employee Pension Stability Accounts;
- $\$ 770,000$ in contracted services for a database to temporarily manage the new Final Average Salary limit;
- $\$ 770,000$ in contracted services for a database to temporarily manage the new work after retirement provisions of the bill;
- $\$ 180,000$ in contracted services for SQL Server database integration services; and
- $\$ 1,205,000$ in contracted services for a third-party administrator to manage the Employee Pension Stability Accounts and voluntary employee contributions to their Individual Account Program accounts.

To implement a series of permanent solutions that will address the programs created by Senate Bill 1049, PERS anticipates spending $\$ 21,317,000$ on the following:

- $\$ 20.0$ million in contracted services to implement the employee redirect portions of the bill;
- $\$ 1.275$ million in contracted services for an actuarial rate projection tool in order to implement the Unfunded Actuarial Liability Resolution Program; and
- $\$ 42,000$ in contracted services to modify the Employer Data Exchange database to validate employee's dates of birth.

These amounts are recommended on a one-time basis. Needs for these expenditures after the 2019-21 biennium will be addressed after the department is better able to articulate the expected future costs of operating and maintaining these new programs and systems. The Subcommittee approved the following Budget Note:

## Budget Note

The Public Employees Retirement System (PERS) is directed to report to the Joint Committee on Information Management and Technology and the Joint Committee on Ways and Means during the Legislative session in 2020 on the implementation of SB 1049 (2019). The Department of Administrative Services and the Office of the State Chief Information Officer are to provide oversight of the PERS SB 1049 (2019) implementation project. The project shall
adhere to the Stage Gate process. The Department of Administrative Services - Office of the State Chief Information Officer and the Department of Administrative Services - Chief Financial Officer, in their oversight roles, are to report separately to the Joint Committee on Information Management and Technology and the Joint Committee on Ways and Means during the Legislative session in 2020 on the implementation of Senate Bill 1049 (2019).

The agencies' reports to the Legislature shall include:

- Update on project scope, schedule, and budget, and total cost of ownership
- Identification of costs associated with one-time solutions versus permanent solutions
- Independent quality assurance reporting on the project
- Impact of Senate Bill 1049 (2019) information technology project on routine agency operations
- Any exceptions from administrative rules, policies or procedures, or statutes granted to PERS by the Department of Administrative Services
- Other information that helps inform the Legislature on the status of the project or issues that have arisen as the result of the project.

Package 805, Operational Implementation. This package establishes Other Funds expenditure limitation of $\$ 7,567,714$ and 37 limited duration positions ( 33.60 FTE) for staff needed to address additional operational workload created by Senate Bill 1049 implementation. These positions are budgeted in the Core Retirement Systems Application Division but will report to the divisions outlined.

- Central Administration Division: \$1,039,142 in Other Funds expenditure limitation and four limited duration positions (3.84 FTE), including three Operations and Policy Analyst 3 positions and one Electronic Publications and Design Specialist 3.
- Financial and Administrative Services Division: $\$ 1,727,591$ in Other Funds expenditure limitation and four limited duration positions (3.64 FTE), including one Operations and Policy Analyst 3, one Procurement and Contract Specialist 3, one Human Resource Analyst 3, and one Office Specialist 2.
- Information Services Division: $\$ 588,506$ in Other Funds expenditure limitation and three limited duration positions, including two Information Systems Specialist 7 positions and one Information Systems Specialist 4.
- Operations Division: $\$ 3,314,898$ in Other Funds expenditure limitation and 22 positions ( 19.68 FTE ), including nine Retirement Counselor 1 positions, two Retirement Counselor 2 positions, one Program Analyst 1 position, one Office Specialist 2 position, four Operations and Policy Analyst 1 positions, three Operations and Policy Analyst 2 positions, and two Information System Specialist 4 positions.
- Compliance Audit and Risk Division: $\$ 897,577$ in Other Funds expenditure limitation and four limited duration positions ( 3.68 FTE ), including one Operations and Policy Analyst 3, one Compliance Specialist 2, and two Operations and Policy Analyst 1s.

These positions are recommended as limited duration until the agency can assess its operational needs for these new programs and make a request of the Legislature for permanent positions.

Package 806, Contingency Reserve. This package establishes Other Funds expenditure limitation of $\$ 500,000$ to serve as a contingency reserve for the implementation of the Senate Bill 1049 given the uncertainty about the final costs of this project and the fact that it is still in an early planning phase.

## Summary of Maximum Supervisory Ratio

The Subcommittee reviewed the agency's proposed Maximum Supervisory Ratio of 1:10.

## Summary of Performance Measure Action

See attached "Legislatively Approved 2019-2021 Key Performance Measures."
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## Legislatively Approved 2019-2021 Key Performance Measures

Published: 6/13/2019 5:41:58 PM

## Agency: Public Employees Retirement System, Oregon

## Mission Statement:

We serve the people of Oregon by administering public employee benefit trusts to pay the right person the right benefit at the right time.

| Legislatively Approved KPMs | Metrics | Agency Request | Last Reported Result | Target 2020 | Target 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. TMELY RETREMENT PAYMENTS - Percent of initial senvice retirements paid within 45 days from retirement date. |  | Approved | 65\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| 2. TOTAL BENEFIT ADMIN COSTS - Total benefit administration costs per member. |  | Approved | \$145.00 | \$165.00 | \$165.00 |
| 3. MEMBER TO STAFF RATIO - Ratio of members to FTE staff. |  | Approved | 1,048 | 1,055 | 1,055 |
| 4. ACCURATE BENEFIT CALCULATIONS - Percent of service retirement monthly benefits accurately calculated to within $\$ 5$ per month. |  | Approved | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 5. LEVEL OF PARTICIPATION - Percent of state employees participating in the deferred compensation program. |  | Approved | 42\% | 45\% | 50\% |
| 6. CUSTOMER SERVICE - Percent of member customers rating their satisfaction with the agency's customer senvice as "good" or "excellent": overall customer senice, timeliness, accuracy, helpfulness, expertise and | Helpfulness | Approved | 91\% | 95\% | 95\% |
|  | Overall |  | 91\% | 95\% | 95\% |
|  | Availability of Information |  | 88\% | 95\% | 95\% |
|  | Timeliness |  | 90\% | 95\% | 95\% |
|  | Accuracy |  | 91\% | 95\% | 95\% |
|  | Expertise |  | 92\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| 7. TMMELY BENEFIT ESTIMATES - Percent of benefit estimates processed within 30 days. |  | Approved | 34\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| 8. BOARD OF DIRECTORS BEST PRACTICES - Percent of total best practices criteria met by the PERS board. |  | Approved | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

## LFO Recommendation:

The Legislative Fiscal Office recommends approval of the Key Performance Measures and updated targets.

## SubCommittee Action:

The General Government Subcommittee adopted the recommendatons.

## Project Summary

## SB 1049 Budget Report

## Summary Budget Analysis

As of February 19, 2020


## SB 1049 Internal Resource Hours



OREGON PERS

正

## SB 1049 Salary Costs


\$5,292,854 Payroll Expense
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## Background

## Senate Bill 1049 Overview

- Senate Bill 1049 was approved by the 2019 Oregon Legislative Assembly on May 30 and signed by the Governor on June 11, 2019.
- SB1049 is comprehensive legislation intended to address the increasing cost of funding Oregon's Public Employees Retirement System (PERS), reduce system Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) obligations, and provide relief to escalating contribution rate increases for public employers.
- The implementation of SB1049 is established as a Program of five related projects within PERS. The five component projects of the SB1049 Implementation Program are:

1. Employer Programs: Effective July 1, 2019, these Employer Programs related sections of the bill clarify and expand the requirements for the Employer Incentive Fund; appropriate $\$ 100$ million from the General Fund to the Employer Incentive Fund; direct net proceeds from Oregon Lottery Sports betting to the Employer Incentive Fund; allow participating public employers who make larger than $\$ 10$ million deposits to side accounts to determine when they wish to have these funds included in their employer rate assessment; and require all public employers to participate in the UAL Resolution Program.
2. Member Redirect: Effective July 1, 2020, this section of the bill redirects a portion of member contributions to a new Employee Pension Stability Account (EPSA) when the funded status of the plan is below $90 \%$ and the member's monthly salary is more than $\$ 2,500$.
3. Work After Retirement: Effective January 1, 2020, this section of the bill allows retirees to work unlimited hours for PERS participating
employers in calendar years 2020-2024. It also requires employers to pay employer contributions on retirees' salary during that period. The rate that PERS will charge the employer will be the same as if the retiree remained an active member.
4. Salary Limit: Effective January 1, 2020, this section of the bill limits the amount of subject salary for all program members to $\$ 195,000$ for calendar year 2020. This amount will be indexed annually for inflation based on the CPI. The first adjustment for inflation will occur on January 1, 2021. This is a limit on salary for all plan purposes, including contributions and final average salary, not a final average salary cap.
5. Member Choice: Effective January 1, 2021, this section of the bill allows members to elect a Target Date Fund (TDF) other than the default TDF based on their year of birth. PERS anticipates providing members the opportunity to make elections once a year during a defined time frame.

## Gartner's Program Risk Assessment Overview

- The purpose of this Quarterly Quality Assurance (QA) Status and Improvement Report deliverable is to identify the current status of the Program and the associated projects, to identify risks and their likelihood of occurring, and to provide an independent evaluation of the planned schedule, fiscal and personnel resources, and processes.
- This Executive Summary provides an overview of the key findings and recommendations. It is a companion to the Quarterly (QA) Status and Improvement Report Detailed Findings.
- In order to support a comprehensive and disciplined assessment, Gartner utilizes a standard risk assessment framework (see Appendix) with defined and measurable risk ratings. The standards for this assessment were established with the input of PERS in Deliverable 2.1: Quality Standards.
- Gartner's risk ratings conform to the color-coded risk rating criteria established in the table to the right.
- Risk ratings reflect Gartner's findings that includes risks, issues and statements of facts that may be positive or neutral as well as the anticipated lead times for risk mitigation.

| Risk Levels | Risk Rating Definitions |
| :---: | :--- |
| Low | Green - Risk area is being managed according to applicable best practices and there is <br> no material impact from this risk area on project success at this time. |
| Medium | Yellow - Risk area is being managed according to some of the applicable best <br> practices, but others are missing, or the inherent risk can only be mitigated to a limited <br> extent. There is a potential material impact from this risk area on project success that <br> needs to be addressed proactively at this time. |
| High | Red - Risk area is in need of applicable best practices to avoid downstream <br> ramifications, or there is significant inherent risk that cannot be reasonably mitigated. <br> There is a definite material impact from this risk area on project success if this area is not <br> addressed now. |

## OREGON Senate Bill (SB) 1049 Implementation Road Map <br> \section*{2019-2021 Biennium}
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## Exec utive Summary

## Assessment Summary

## Key Strengths

## Enhanced Leadership Ability to Guide and Support the Program

Executive leadership listens to and implements recommendations from strategic partners (EIS, Deloitte, Gartner) that demonstrates a continuously maturing ability to lead complex technology initiatives.

## Improved Collaboration Between Business and IT

New ways of working and job structures have matured to a point where collaboration is the expected way PERS IT and business work together. Early business input during the requirement definition process results in business ownership of the solution. Although challenges remain, the increased collaboration has led to higher quality and greater support of Program outputs.


## Ability to Execute and Commitment to Quality

The Program consistently deployed releases on time and on budget over the last three quarters despite the lack of resource based schedules. Deployed software demonstrates high quality with few bugs or issues. Program resources demonstrate dedication and commitment to meeting Legislative mandates and providing PERS with useful supporting system functionality.

## Assessment Summary

## Key Risks

## Ongoing Difficulty to Address Resource Overallocations with Limited Time Remaining

The Program addressed data inconsistencies and identified overallocated individuals, but it has not addressed this overallocation within Program and project schedules. This continues to question whether deadlines will be met and places significant pressure on currently overallocated Program and project resources.


## Funding for the Next Biennium Not Secured

Additional funds are required in the 2021-2023 biennium to support the completion of Member Redirect functionality. The Legislature will need to support this request in order to receive budget approval.

## Inconsistent Oversight Communications Leads to Friction

PERS does not always follow the communication processes expected by EIS and LFO. This causes friction between PERS and its oversight partners leading to unproductive discussions and credibility gaps.

## Assessment Summary

## Key Recommendations

## Update Program and Project Plans to Address Resource Overallocation

Complete Program and project schedule planning and communicate re-baselined schedules. Inform external partners (e.g. employers, oversight agencies, etc.) of significant resource or timing changes as appropriate.


## Rebaseline Program and Project Schedules and Budget

Communicate schedule and resource impacts resulting from the rebaseline with stakeholders to develop support for additional budget request.

## Revisit Oversight Communication Expectations

Revisit communication expectations between PERS, EIS and LFO to ensure that Program information is provided consistently with and timely to oversight partners.

Risk Assessment Scorecard

| Low | Medium | High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Category | Quality Standard |  | Previous | Current |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Business Mission \& Goals | 1.1 | Project Fit to Customer Organization |  |  |
|  | 1.2 | Project Fit to Provider Organization (PERS) |  |  |
|  | 1.3 | Workflow |  |  |
| 2. Decision Drivers | 2.1 | Political Influences |  |  |
|  | 2.2 | Short Term Solution |  |  |
| 3. Project Management | 3.1 | Definition of the Project |  |  |
|  | 3.2 | Project Objectives |  |  |
|  | 3.3 | Leadership |  |  |
|  | 3.4 | Project Management Approach |  |  |
|  | 3.5 | Project Management Communication |  |  |
|  | 3.6 | Project Manager Authority |  |  |
|  | 3.7 | Support of the Project Manager |  |  |
|  | 3.8 | Governance |  |  |
|  | 3.9 | Risks and Issues Management |  |  |
|  | 3.10 | Vendor Management |  |  |
|  | 3.11 | Sourcing |  |  |
|  | 3.12 | Documentation / Configuration Management |  |  |

Risk Assessment Scorecard

| Category | Quality Standard |  | Previous | Current |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. Project Parameters | 4.1 | Budget \& Resource Size |  |  |
|  | 4.2 | Cost Controls |  |  |
|  | 4.3 | Delivery Commitment |  |  |
|  | 4.4 | Program Schedule |  |  |
|  | 4.5 | Development Schedule |  |  |
| 5. Project Team | 5.1 | Team Member Availability (PERS, Vendors, Other) |  |  |
|  | 5.2 | Mix of Team Skills (PERS, Vendors, Other) |  |  |
|  | 5.3 | Project Team Productivity |  |  |
| 6. Organization Management | 6.1 | Organizational Stability |  |  |
|  | 6.2 | Program Organizational Roles and Responsibilities |  |  |
|  | 6.3 | Executive Involvement |  |  |
| 7. Customer / User | 7.1 | User Involvement |  |  |
|  | 7.2 | User Acceptance |  |  |
|  | 7.3 | User Training Needs |  |  |
|  | 7.4 | User Justification |  |  |
| 8. Specification \& Design | 8.1 | Requirements Complete and Clear (Requirements Management) |  |  |
|  | 8.2 | Testability |  |  |
|  | 8.3 | Implementation Difficulty |  |  |
|  | 8.4 | Solution Dependencies |  |  |
|  | 8.5 | Security Requirements |  |  |
| RESTRICTED DISTRIBUTION <br> 13 © 2020 Gartner, Inc. and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved | Continued on next page Garkner |  |  |  |

Risk Assessment Scorecard


## Appendix

## Quality Standard Framework

| Category | Quality Standard |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Business <br> Mission \& Goals | 1.1 | Project Fit to Customer Organization |
|  | 1.2 | Project Fit to Provider Organization <br> (PERS) |
| 1.3 | Workflow |  |
| 2. Decision Drivers | 2.1 | Political Influences |
|  | 2.2 | Short Term Solution |
|  | 3.1 | Definition of the Project |
| 3.2 | Project Objectives |  |
| 3. Project |  |  |
| Management | 3.3 Leadership <br> 3.5 Project Management Approach <br> 3.6 Project Management Communication <br> 3.7 Support of the Project Manager <br> 3.8 Governance <br> 3.9 Risks and Issues Management <br> 3.10 Vendor Management <br> 3.11 Sourcing <br> 3.12 Documentation / Configuration <br> Management  |  |


| Category | Quality Standard |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. Project Parameters | 4.1 | Budget \& Resource Size |
|  | 4.2 | Cost Controls |
|  | 4.3 | Delivery Commitment |
|  | 4.4 | Program Schedule |
|  | 4.5 | Development Schedule |
| 5. Project Team | 5.1 | Team Member Availability (PERS, Vendors, Other) |
|  | 5.2 | Mix of Team Skills (PERS, Vendors, Other) |
|  | 5.3 | Project Team Productivity |
| 6. Organization Management | 6.1 | Organizational Stability |
|  | 6.2 | Program Organizational Roles and Responsibilities |
|  | 6.3 | Executive Involvement |
| 7. Customer / User | 7.1 | User Involvement |
|  | 7.2 | User Acceptance |
|  | 7.3 | User Training Needs |
|  | 7.4 | User Justification |

## Quality Standard Framework (continued)

| Category | Quality Standard |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8. Specification \& Design | 8.1 | Requirements Complete and Clear (Requirements Management) |
|  | 8.2 | Testability |
|  | 8.3 | Implementation Difficulty |
|  | 8.4 | Solution Dependencies |
|  | 8.5 | Security Requirements |
| 9. Development Process | 9.1 | Alternatives Analysis |
|  | 9.2 | Commitment Process |
|  | 9.3 | Quality Assurance Approach (PERS) |
|  | 9.4 | Development Documentation |
|  | 9.5 | Solution Issues (Defects / Faults / Failures) Tracking |
|  | 9.6 | Lessons Learned |
|  | 9.7 | Implementation / Development Methodology (Solution Development / Engineering) |
| 10. Development Environment | 10.1 | Physical Facilities |
|  | 10.2 | Hardware Platform |
|  | 10.3 | Tools Availability |


| Category | Quality Standard |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | 11.1 | Solution Capacity / Scalability |
|  | 11.2 | Customer Service Impact |
|  | 11.3 | Deployment Approach |
| 11.4 | External Interfaces |  |
|  | 11.5 | Reporting and Analytics |
| 11.6 | Data Controls |  |
| 11.7 | Data Model |  |
| 11.8 | Business Continuity Plan / Disaster <br> Recovery Plan |  |
| 12. Maintenance | 12.1 | Design Complexity |
| 12.2 | Support Personnel |  |
| 12.3 | Vendor Support |  |
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June 28, 2019

Kevin Olineck, Director
PERS
11410 SW 68th Parkway
Tigard, OR 97223
Dear Director Olineck,
In recognition of PERS' information technology responsibilities associated with Senate Bill (SB) 1049, the Department of Administrative Services (DAS) and Office of the State CIO (OSCIO) wish to confirm our commitment to work with your team on the most expedient implementation possible. To date, we have assigned dedicated resources to this work to include an Oversight Analyst and Procurement Specialist to be embedded in the agency as needed. Adninistrators from OSCIO, DAS Procurement and the Department of Justice are meeting to ensure that respective roles and responsibilities are clearly defined and aligned in support of the SB 1049 effort.

While the PERS budget has yet to be finalized, HB 5032A specifically addresses implementation of SB 1049. What it does not speak to is any relation to PERS legacy systen modernization. Given the challenging time constraints associated with implementation of SB 1049, OSCIO is advising PERS to focus first and foremost on the temporary information technology solutions as outlined in package 804:

- Contract services for a database to temporarily manage Employee Pension Stability Accounts
- Contract services for a database to temporarily manage Final Average Salary Limit
- Contract services for a database to temporarily manage Work after Retirement
- Contract services for a database to manage SQL Server database integration services
- Contract services for a third-party administrator to manage Employee Pension Stability Accounts and Employee Contributions

While tempting and admirable from an architecture best-practice perspective, PERS should be intentional in avoiding the conflation of the long-term SB 1049 changes and a much broader legacy system modernization effort (planning or otherwise). Long-term solutions include:

- Contract services to implement Employee Redirect
- Contract services for an actuarial rate projection tool
- Contract services to modify the Employer Data Exchange to validate employee's date of birth

HB 5032A makes reference to several other IT initiatives to include Data Center Migration, Business Continuity and Disaster Recovery and Security and Risk Management. While much of this work has been underway since 2017, PERS is still obligated to demonstrate continued progress and report out to JLCIMT. Given the sheer amount of work associated with SB 1049, DAS and OSCIO are concerned with PERS' capacity to execute on all initiatives, regardless of Package 805, which establishes 33.60 FTE to support the workload of SB 1049. To that end OSCIO advises PERS to confine its IT project portfolio to those efforts lined out in HB 5032A and effectively place on hold any other work not deemed emergent and mission-critical to the agency.

Per the Budget Note listed in Package 804 of HB 5032A, DAS and the OSCIO are to provide oversight of the SB 1049 implementation. Additionally, the project shall adhere to the Stage Gate process. In the spirit of partnership and transparency, we are offering the following information with respect to how we anticipate the procurement and oversight processes to work:

## General expectations:

1) PERS will provide logistical support for the embedded Oversight Analyst and Procurement Specialist at the PERS headquarters location, including appropriate facility and Internet access, parking, and workspace (OSCIO will provide the oversight analyst's laptop and cell phone).
2) OSCIO will expedite its review of foundational artifacts, as agreed; however, the full Stage Gate process will be followed.
3) All relevant policies and processes will be followed, with special emphasis on the state IT Investment policy, Cloud policy, Information Security policies and Procurement processes.
4) All contracts shall include developed scopes of work with defined deliverables, utilizing appropriate procurement methods and contract vehicles to promote fairness and transparency while meeting the need for expediency.
5) As referenced in the budget note, PERS will identify all projects within the portfolio that will be delayed as a result of this investment, and which (if any) ongoing projects are critical dependencies to the success of the SB 1049 Implementation Program.
6) PERS will document perceived initial risks related to the successful implementation of SB 1049, and will propose strategies and collaborate with external stakeholders to mitigate those risks.
7) In order to meet the critical deadlines associated with the SB 1049 legislation (including IAP Member Redirect, effective July 1, 2020), PERS must ensure that program plans and target architecture are focused on successful implementation of these requirements, not on longer term technology modernization that may detract from ability to deliver mandated benefits on time.
8) All assumptions will be clearly documented.
9) For each component project, an appropriately detailed alternatives analysis must be completed prior to detailed project planning and execution.
10) Contingency plans and the ability to rollback system changes must be explicit considerations for implementation planning.
11) Appropriate professional program/project management expertise, based on the Project Management Institute's Project Management Book of Knowledge, will be utilized throughout the execution of program activities.
12) An independent Quality Management Services (iQMS) vendor will be promptly engaged, and will provide services for the duration of the SB 1049 implementation effort.
13) Program and project oversight requirements will be elaborated as appropriate throughout the program planning and implementation process
14) A clear governance model will be presented for OSCIO review and approval (as a component of the Program Management Plan)

## Required program artifacts for initial OSCIO (Stage Gate 1 ) endorsement:

1) Acceptable IT Investment form
2) Business Case (for overall program including mandated benefit delivery)
3) Program Charter (including component projects and program governance model)
4) Risk Assessment (Initial): To be elaborated during program planning
5) Program Management Plan (Initial): To be elaborated during program planning

Following Stage Gate 1 endorsement for the program, PERS will be required to meet oversight requirements for the component projects (typically Stage Gate 2 through 4) based on OSCIO's determination and specific documentation requests from the assigned IT Oversight Analyst.

Please let us know if additional follow up is desired or additional questions arise. Thank you.

Sincerely,


Katy Coba
DAS Director|Chief Operating Officer


Terrence Woods
State of Oregon Chief Information Officer I OSCIO

## MEMORANDUM

| To: | Kevin Olineck, Executive Director <br> Public Employees Retirement System |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Terrence Woods, State Chief Information Officer <br> Department of Administrative Services |

Date: December 12, 2019

Subject: Oregon Public Employees Retirement System Senate Bill 1049 Implementation Program
Senate Bill 1049 (SB 1049) is comprehensive legislation intended to address the increasing cost of funding Oregon's Public Employees Retirement System (PERS), to reduce the system's Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) obligations, and to provide relief to escalating contribution rate increases for public employers. The PERS SB 1049 Implementation Program is comprised of five separate projects, with staggered delivery timeframes, through the 2019-2021 biennium. A budget note attached to the 2019-2021 PERS appropriation bill (House Bill 5032) provided additional guidance to PERS and Enterprise Information Services (EIS). EIS has stationed an Oversight Analyst on site at PERS in order to expedite project initiation and planning reviews.

Though substantial progress has been made, the SB 1049 Implementation Program continues to struggle to complete foundational planning activities and baseline documentation. The Oversight Analyst has observed that organizational culture and dynamics are biased against time-sensitive project delivery of mandated outcomes and that requisite skillsets and crucial roles required for a project of this size are not part of the staffing plan. Through a series of conversation between PERS and EIS, agency leadership has acknowledged that the addition of specific resources would be welcome. To that end, PERS is directed to work with EIS and DAS Procurement Services to solicit professional services from a qualified firm to provide additional resources in support of program management and project execution. Expected roles to be fulfilled include:

## 1. Program Director

a. Serve as an advisor to the Executive Sponsor (PERS Director) on program implementation
b. Provide leadership, direction and consulting on Program Management roles, responsibilities and methodologies
c. Work with the Business Owner and Program Management staff to complete critical project planning and execution tasks to ensure successful program and project delivery
d. Provide formal recommendations to address organizational issues related to project delivery, business ownership and solution development
2. System Integration Lead
a. Program Definition: Assist in finalizing the target architecture and program roadmap to transition to the future state (including transition architectures)
b. Migration Planning: Work with Program Management staff and the PERS EA team to define program dependencies and boundaries and ensure stakeholder concerns are addressed
c. Implementation Governance: Perform monitoring and support to ensure alignment with target architecture and delivery of the intended business benefits

December 12, 2019
Page 2
3. Additional Project Implementation Resources (as needed, based on identified gaps) Dependency: Completion of the program schedule
a. Subject-matter expertise related to specific solution architecture components (Example: Infrastructure Architect)
b. Additional resource capacity (BAs, Developers, etc.)

Sincerely,


State Chief Information Officer



| Not Started | This will be part of the long-term strategy and solution, which is currently begin elaborated. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| In Progress | CR Application being submitted to Sam Paris |
|  |  |
| Not Stared | Will be adrressed in future via modernization |
|  |  |
| Not Started | This coding is being reviewed and, if possible, rewritten. |
| Closed | Resolved with the 11/19/2020 deployment for WP2. |
| Not Started | Currently evaluating the impact this will have. This became more apparent during the construction of WP2 |
| Not Started | Currently evaluating the impact this will have. This became more apparent during construction. We are attempting to mitigate this by including additiona language into the validation messages for ER's Holding for PACS response to determine if we legally can do this. |
| Not Stared |  |

Honorable Senate President Peter Courtney
Oregon Legislative Assembly
900 Court St. NE, S-201
Salem, OR 97301

President Courtney,
At the February 15, 2019 meeting of the Joint Ways and Means Subcommittee on Capital Construction, I was asked by Senator Betsy Johnson if PERS has reached a "legislative fatigue wall" where our systems and processes are not able to keep up with legislative directives. I responded that the simple answer is, if we're not there, we are very close to it.

This letter responds to your request for my comment in writing, so let me expand on what I mean by PERS, the system and agency, reaching legislative fatigue. While the system and agency can't be separated completely from each other, I will highlight some key observations for both.

For background, I have been the Director of Oregon PERS since July 2018. Prior to my joining the state, I worked for almost twenty years administering large public sector pension systems in Canada, first in Alberta and then in British Columbia. Both entities were set up as quasi-public sector organizations with size and complexity traits very similar to PERS and, consequently, provide a solid basis for comparison.

## System Fatigue

PERS has a "system-wide problem" with a large unfunded actuarial liability (UAL) attributable to member benefits that, according to the Oregon Supreme Court, cannot be reduced. This problem is not solely limited to schools, local governments, or state agencies, but applies holistically to all PERS-participating employers and their past, current, and future employees. Any solution to the problem, should apply system-wide and reflect the most current, actuarial methods, assumptions, and calculations, as presented to the PERS Board.

There are no short-term, or inexpensive, solutions that solve the legacy UAL associated with a retirement system as mature as PERS. Simply put, the UAL was decades in the making and will take decades to resolve, using solid actuarial principles. Trying to pick winners, losers, or mandate "fairness" of employer rates and member benefits is not a long-term solution to this problem. In fact, some perceived solutions merely add complexity while basically maintaining the fiscal status quo, or create a deeper hole both fiscally and administratively in the long-term.

There is a strong argument to say the 2003 reforms that created the OPSRP pension program and the IAP account program for all members have been successful in establishing a sustainable cost
basis for OPSRP members, while providing what are seen to be adequate benefits. The legacy UAL cost for Tier One and Tier Two members will remain, and employers need to pay that until the UAL associated with these members is eliminated. This is the cost of the work PERS members have done over the last seven decades to create a system of public safety, infrastructure, schools, and other government services in Oregon.

The Oregon Legislative Assembly is the PERS plan sponsor. Member benefit levels, required employer contributions, and system funding are dictated by legislative mandate. The Oregon State Treasury in conjunction with the Oregon Investment Council (OIC) generates investment income to help pay member benefits. The PERS Board balances what is owed in benefits, earnings from revenue and investments, and determines what employers must pay for the current costs of the system while establishing the contributions required to cover the difference, the UAL.

The UAL and employer contribution rates will change from today. From year to year, PERS and the actuaries cannot precisely predict investment returns attained by OIC, any changes to benefit structure that may be approved by the legislature, and the demographic experience of our employers. All of these factors influence the UAL and employer rates, therefore any legislative solution based on holding to current projections will not be successful since dynamics will inevitably change the next day, month, or year.

However, PERS is a political conversation not only with the plan sponsors - the legislature - but also the governing bodies of more than 800 public employers and agency directors planning budgets for 100 state agencies.

Employers, members, legislators, the public, media, and other stakeholders suggest a variety of "PERS solutions" on a regular basis. They may do so with good intention, but generally without a comprehensive understanding of the impact their proposals have on the system, members, or employers. Many proposals are complicated ideas that require thoughtful actuarial and legal analysis to ensure they match the intent of the proponents, are implementable from a legal perspective, as well as provide long-term sustainability to the system.

While the intent may be for large changes to impact the UAL, and small changes to make the system more "fair" for some members, the actual impact of both is they do not accomplish their stated goals, but add complexity to a system which is already one of the most complex in the nation.

The Moro decision, which overturned most of the 2013 PERS reform legislation, is fairly clear in that only prospective member benefits may be altered - the UAL is caused by benefits that have been accrued, are owed, and cannot be changed. The current structure of the liabilities shows that there is minimal impact to the overall funding of the plan to be achieved by benefit change. The only substantive change is through payments into the system, be it through contributions or via other infusions of capital.

## Agency Fatigue

For context, when I arrived in July 2018, the agency was in the final stages of developing the 2019-2021 Agency Request Budget. Our budget tried to reflect our mission of paying the right benefit to the right person at the right time. Our operations impact more than 800 individual employers, 100 state agencies, and 370,000 members. The work of our members and employers impacts every Oregonian, and we pay benefits to people in every state and a handful of foreign countries. For the 2019-2021 biennium, we anticipate receiving about \$4 Billion from employer contributions and pay about $\$ 12$ Billion in benefits.

We are an "Other Fund" agency, in that we do not receive General Funds. Consequently, as fiduciaries of these "Other Funds", we plan wisely to achieve our mission. Every dollar we don't spend on agency budget is one that can go toward member benefits. Our budgetary requests, I believe, strengthen the agency while helping to establish a longer term set of strategic actions that will enable us to meet the needs of our diverse stakeholders in the future.

As a new Director, I am reviewing all aspects of the agency to ensure long-term sustainability and will propose in later phases of our budgetary discussions, some elements that will enable forward progress. However, this plan is predicated on a period of legislative stability with regard to plan changes and budgeting.

While conscious of how PERS, the agency, needs to be integrated into the state government enterprise, it does constrain our ability to be agile as well as responsive to the myriad of legislative changes that are proposed, and if approved, impact the operational capacity of the agency when implemented. Some aspects of administration have been supported by manual systems and processes in order to meet the short implementation deadlines. PERS' history of legislative changes that were approved, and subsequently found to be unconstitutional, has had the resultant impact of impeding forward progress on much needed operational process and system changes.

While the agency has been able to continue to administer the programs it is responsible for, from an enterprise risk management perspective, there is a risk that any future changes to the plan that aren't given the appropriate time and resources for implementation could negatively impact the agency and its ability to function in a cost effective, efficient and risk-mitigated fashion.

## Conclusion

PERS recognizes the importance of putting in place a long-term solution for the legacy UAL funding issue. We look forward to a solution that ensures long-term sustainability of the system while trying, as best possible, to balance the needs of all stakeholders. This balance includes the timing and implementation of a solution and its impact, so the agency can ensure its own longterm sustainability.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide clarification on my statement regarding reaching the "legislative fatigue wall." PERS will continue to serve the Legislative Assembly, employers,
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members, and stakeholders as we always have - as the purveyor of data, facts, and information on PERS.

Respectfully,


Kevin Olineck, Director
Oregon Public Employees Retirement System
cc: Joint Ways and Means Subcommittee on Capital Construction

