

## Appendix 7. Financial Cost Catalog

# Financial Assumptions

The tables below detail the financial assumptions and projections for the State of Oregon Resilient Efficient Buildings Project Project, which were applied to the actions/scenarios detailed in the Actions and Assumptions Table. Total costs/investments/savings were then calculated for each of the scenarios. These assumptions have been reviewed and approved by the State. Wherever possible, local data was used. For the most part, when costs are held steady over the projection period (2019-2050), this is because only data for 2019 was available.

Prepared for: State of Oregon  
Prepared by: Sustainability Solutions Group

## Specific Financial Analysis to be Undertaken

Financial modelling in the BAP and Policy Scenarios offers several points of analysis, including:

- The total incremental capital investment required in the Policy Scenarios, relative to the BAP Scenario;
- Total energy cost savings and operation and maintenance savings over a period of years in the Low-Carbon Scenarios, relative to the BAP Scenario;
- Average household energy savings per year;
- The average cost to reduce each tonne of GHG (abatement cost); and,
- The most cost-effective GHG reduction actions.

## Financial Assumptions in this Workbook

This workbook contains draft financial assumptions to be incorporated into modelling the BAP Scenario and the Low-Carbon Scenarios. All financial variables are presented in the *Cost Intensity Data tab* within thematic tables. Unless otherwise indicated, the financial assumption **DO NOT include inflation**.

## Disclaimer

Reasonable skill, care and diligence has been exercised to prepare the financial assumptions in this workbook, but no guarantees or warranties are made regarding the accuracy or completeness of this information. This workbook, the information it contains, the information and basis on which it relies, and the associated factors are subject to changes that are beyond the control of the author. The information provided by others is believed to be accurate but has not been verified.

This workbook includes strategic-level estimates of capital investments and related revenues, energy savings, and avoided costs of carbon associated with emissions reduction actions to be modelled under the Resilient, Efficient Buildings Project. The intent of this analysis is to help inform project stakeholders about the potential costs and savings of actions prior to modelling the Policy Scenarios. It should not be relied upon for other purposes without verification. The authors do not accept responsibility for the use of this analysis for any purpose other than that stated above, and do not accept responsibility to any third party for the use, in whole or in part, of the contents of this document.

This analysis applies to the State of Oregon and cannot be applied to other jurisdictions without further analysis. Any use by the State of Oregon, its sub-consultants or any third party, or any reliance on or decisions based on this document, are the responsibility of the user or third party.

# OREGON FINANCIAL DATA DICTIONARY

October, 2022

The tables below detail the financial assumptions and projections for the State of Oregon Resilient Efficient Buildings Project Project, which were applied to the actions/scenarios detailed in the Actions and Assumptions Table. Total costs/investments/savings were then calculated for each of the scenarios.

These assumptions have been reviewed and approved by the State. Wherever possible, local data was used. For the most part, when costs are held steady over the projection period (2019-2050), this is because only data for 2019 was available.

## Buildings

### New Buildings

#### New Building Construction

Average of values for Portland [USD/sqft]	
Single	270
Double/Row	270
Apartment 1-4 storey	343
Apartment 5-14 storey	394
Apartment > 15 storeys	445
University	587
School	302
Retirement home	412
Hospital	743
Penal institution	672
Military	255
Transit station	511
Airport	894
Hotel	429
Greenhouse	148
Recreation	416
Community centre	416
Golf course	371
Museum	970
Retail	426
Vehicle and heavy equipment services	267
Restaurant	268
Commercial/Retail	426
Commercial	412
Warehouse	254
Religious institution	268
Energy utility	148
Fire station	605
Police station	605
Municipal	640
Surface infrastructure	240
Industrial	267
Agriculture	148

source: Cummings Insights, Construction Market Analysis 2021 downloaded Feb 2022

<https://ccorpinsights.com/costs-per-square-foot/>

the cost data for categories not included in the report cited above have been estimated by using the ratios of costs from other projects

#### Dwelling Operations & Maintenance Costs

Household spending intensity	
USD / sqft*year	3.47

source: Siniavskaja, N. (2021). Operating Costs of Owning a Home. National Association of Home Builders - Economics and Housing Policy.

<https://www.nahb.org/-/media/04F57989FBC74C82BEF51C382C654E54.ashx>

#### Residential Building Energy Improvement Incremental Cost

kBtu/H2	5	6	8	10	11	13	14	16	17	19
single	4.97	3.90	2.90	1.90	1.00	0.90	0.70	0.50	0.40	0.00
single_large	4.30	3.40	2.40	1.50	1.00	0.80	0.60	0.50	0.30	0.00
semi	5.85	4.70	3.60	2.50	1.40	1.10	0.90	0.70	0.40	0.00
town	3.00	1.10	0.60	0.50	0.50	0.40	0.30	0.20	0.20	0.00

source: extrapolated from Internal building energy model analysis of envelope improvement measures using CanmetENERGY's HTAP platform

#### Non-residential Building Energy Improvement Incremental Cost

kBtu/H2	5	6	8	10	11	13	14	16	17	19
office	4.0	3.4	2.8	2.2	1.6	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
primary_school	13.0	10.6	8.2	5.8	3.4	1.0	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.0
warehouse	10.0	8.2	6.4	4.6	2.8	1.0	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.0



USD / water heater/year	
Electric	50
Natural gas	270

source: US EIA Updated buildings sector appliances and equipment costs and efficiencies, June 2018, page 120-135  
<https://www.eia.gov/analysis/studies/buildings/equipcosts/>

**Commercial Equipment Upgrade Capital Costs (Effectively an incremental cost)**

USD / MMBTU of energy saved	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038
Auxiliary equipment	22	22	23	24	24	25	26	27	28	30	31	32	34	35	36	38	39	40	42
Lighting	71	96	111	121	128	141	149	155	159	162	162	166	166	161	154	143	133	119	106

source: [auxiliary equipment and lighting, IESO 2019 Conservation Achievable Potential Study, Appendix 2 - Forecast Potential by Measure, Scenario B \(Unconstrained Potential\), After Competition Groups - http://www.ieso.ca/2019-conservation-achievable-potential-study](http://www.ieso.ca/2019-conservation-achievable-potential-study)  
 These represent the capital costs associated with 1 GJ of savings in year 1. There will be no further capital costs for that investment in the remaining years of the project

**Residential Equipment Upgrade Capital Costs (Effectively an incremental cost)**

USD / MMBTU of energy saved	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
Lighting	31	28	27	26	24	23	22
Major Appliances	337	320	304	289	274	261	248
Plug Load	5	5	5	4	4	4	4

source: IESO 2019 Conservation Achievable Potential Study, Appendix 2 - Forecast Potential by Measure, Scenario B (Unconstrained Potential), After Competition Groups - <http://www.ieso.ca/2019-conservation-achievable-potential-study>  
 Assumptions: Measure potential divided by total incentive (\$) in 2019 as some incentive expenditures scheme may distort the cost per energy saved. 2018 dollars.  
 Following years costs are equal to 2019 cost multiplied by a learning factor of 0.95 every 5 years.  
 Caveat: Incentive cost includes the incremental capital cost of measures plus the administration costs for running an energy efficiency incentives program.

**Industrial Upgrades**

USD / MMBTU	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
Process Heat Improvements	11.08	4.22	2.27	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15
Boiler upgrade	16.88	5.11	2.68	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30
Process Heat Recovery (Gas)	14.28	5.11	2.43	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89
Recommissioning	5.52	1.95	0.89	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32
Improved Controls -Process Heating Gas	7.49	2.70	1.27	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46

source: Achievable Potential 2019, Scenario B (Unconstrained Potential), After Competition Groups - <http://www.ieso.ca/2019-conservation-achievable-potential-study>  
<http://www.ieso.ca/2019-conservation-achievable-potential-study>  
 assumptions: Measure potential for 2020, 2025, 2030, and 2035 divided by total incentive (\$). For projected years, assume same as 2035.  
 0.0373 GJ/m3 of NG  
 Top 5 measures for total potential selected

**FUEL COST INTENSITIES**

**Electricity**

USD / MMBTU	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050
Residential	42.15	42.15	46.80	45.17	44.75	44.49	45.40	46.93	47.27	47.62	47.87	48.61	49.51	49.74	50.02	50.30	50.42	50.50	50.52	50.44	50.37	50.44	50.51	50.69	50.71	50.79	50.95	51.12	51.12	51.15	51.11	51.03
Commercial	41.98	41.98	43.67	42.91	42.56	41.81	42.92	43.95	43.94	43.96	43.87	44.54	45.17	45.01	44.99	44.93	44.75	44.54	44.22	43.82	43.47	43.24	42.96	42.85	42.53	42.27	42.10	41.97	41.65	41.40	41.11	40.80
Industrial	29.32	29.32	29.29	27.60	26.96	26.41	27.58	27.92	27.90	27.87	27.80	28.38	28.70	28.59	28.55	28.49	28.34	28.17	27.93	27.66	27.44	27.32	27.19	27.11	26.94	26.83	26.77	26.68	26.51	26.42	26.33	26.24

source: EIA, "Annual Energy Outlook 2021." (2021).  
<https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/aeo/data/browser/#?id=3-AEO2021&cases=ref2021&so>

**Natural Gas**

USD / MMBTU	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050
Residential	13.61	13.61	13.67	13.48	12.82	12.15	11.64	11.16	11.24	11.36	11.48	13.74	13.80	14.22	14.32	14.38	14.44	14.48	14.50	14.56	14.58	14.61	14.65	14.68	14.71	14.74	14.79	14.83	14.89	14.96	15.06	15.14
Commercial	9.48	9.48	9.46	9.24	9.17	9.02	9.01	8.96	9.03	9.13	9.22	11.21	11.16	11.49	11.56	11.60	11.65	11.67	11.68	11.73	11.74	11.76	11.78	11.80	11.83	11.85	11.89	11.91	11.96	12.02	12.10	12.17
Industrial	4.22	4.22	4.91	4.92	4.74	4.54	4.64	4.77	4.86	4.99	5.11	5.05	5.04	5.12	5.21	5.25	5.27	5.29	5.27	5.32	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.32	5.33	5.36	5.37	5.41	5.48	5.57	5.65

source: EIA, "Annual Energy Outlook 2021." (2021).  
<https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/aeo/data/browser/#?id=3-AEO2021&cases=ref2021&so>

**Fuel Oil**

USD / MMBTU	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050
Residential	17.69	17.79	19.01	20.48	21.60	22.47	23.24	23.57	24.06	24.28	24.82	25.08	25.42	25.61	25.78	25.88	26.01	26.35	26.58	26.59	27.04	27.28	27.49	27.86	27.92	27.94	28.27	28.42	28.44	28.53	28.55	55.94
Commercial	19.09	19.21	19.47	20.34	20.50	20.42	20.25	20.55	21.01	21.23	23.74	23.97	24.55	24.69	24.82	24.93	25.01	25.29	25.51	25.51	25.92	26.15	26.31	26.59	26.62	26.65	26.97	27.12	27.15	27.28	27.26	47.55
Industrial	18.78	18.92	19.23	20.21	20.41	20.38	20.27	20.57	21.02	21.24	21.64	21.87	22.15	22.28	22.41	22.52	22.59	22.86	23.08	23.07	23.47	23.70	23.86	24.12	24.15	24.18	24.50	24.65	24.68	24.81	24.79	47.11

source: EIA, "Annual Energy Outlook 2021." (2021).  
<https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/aeo/data/browser/#?id=3-AEO2021&cases=ref2021&sourcekey=0>

**Renewable Natural Gas**

USD / MMBTU	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050
Industrial	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16

source: EPA, An overview of renewable natural gas from biogas. 2020. p.28. Average of mass scale and small scale production costs.  
[https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2020-07/documents/mop\\_rng\\_document.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2020-07/documents/mop_rng_document.pdf)

**Hydrogen**

USD / kgH2	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050
Industrial	4.5	4.5	4.28	4.05	3.83	3.60	3.38	3.15	2.93	2.70	2.48	2.25	2.19	2.13	2.06	2.00	1.94	1.88	1.81	1.75	1.69	1.63	1.56	1.44	1.38	1.31	1.25	1.19	1.13	1.06	1	

source: Bartlett, J. and Krupnick, A. Decarbonized Hydrogen in the US Power and Industrial Sectors: Identifying and Incentivizing Opportunities to Lower Emissions. 2020. Figure 9. Averages of renewable H2 production.  
<https://www.rrf.org/publications/reports/decarbonizing-hydrogen-in-us-power-and-industrial-sectors/>  
 IEA, "Hydrogen production costs by production source, 2018", IEA, Paris <https://www.iea.org/data-and-statistics/charts/hydrogen-production-costs-by-production-source-2018>  
 High end of production costs for renewables, assume energy density of H2 is 130 MJ / kg  
 IEA analysis finds that the cost of producing hydrogen from renewable electricity could fall 30% by 2030 as a result of declining costs of renewables and the scaling up of hydrogen production