Primer on the Social Cost of Carbon

Submitted to the

Oregon Global Warming Commission

by the

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

March 2020

Primer on the Social Cost of Carbon

What is the Social Cost of Carbon?

Carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases (GHGs) trap the sun's heat in the earth's atmosphere. This radiative forcing changes the earth's climate—increasing air and water temperatures, shifting precipitation patterns, raising sea levels, increasing the frequency and intensity of extreme events (such as heat waves, heavy downpours, and droughts), and acidifying oceans. [1] These **climate hazards** pose significant costs to society, including **economic damages** that result from harming human health, interrupting business operations, damaging infrastructure and environmental resources, and decreasing net agricultural productivity (e.g., from droughts, floods, and pests, despite near-term increases in photosynthesis from elevated levels of carbon dioxide). [2,3] For example, more frequent downpours increase the frequency of road closures, while more frequent and intense heat waves increase the number of power outages, affecting both local and regional communities. [4]

In economic terms, the negative effects of emitting GHGs represent an 'externality,' meaning that the prices of goods and services that cause GHG emissions do not typically incorporate the cost of these emissions to society. The '**social cost of carbon**' (SCC) is a measurement of the long-term economic costs associated with emitting an additional ton of carbon dioxide. It can be used to evaluate the costs and benefits of implementing projects or policies that either increase or decrease carbon emissions. This 'marginal cost'—the cost of an incremental unit—of carbon dioxide emissions can be aggregated to fit the scale of a specific project or policy. For example, it can be included in a cost-benefit analysis used to evaluate whether to develop a new (small or large) power generation facility or in a policy to determine an appropriate sector-wide cap on emissions.

How is the Social Cost of Carbon Calculated?

The SCC represents the net present value of the economic damages associated with emitting one ton of carbon dioxide. Calculating the SCC involves translating carbon dioxide emissions into changes in atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations, and then in turn translating atmospheric concentrations into changes in temperature, temperature changes into other climate hazards, and climate hazards into economic damages.

---

[1] IPCC, 2013: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp.

[2] Tol, R. S. (2011). The social cost of carbon. *Annu. Rev. Resour. Econ.*, *3*(1), 419-443

[3] IPCC, 2019: Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems [P.R. Shukla et al, (eds.)].

[4] U.S. Department of Energy (2013). U.S. Energy Sector Vulnerabilities to Climate Change and Extreme Weather.

The calculation of SCC involves various inputs, including:

- Projected growth of the economy, population, and emissions (incorporating assumptions about potential changes in technology and our ability to mitigate and sequester carbon dioxide in the future);
- Models of the earth's climate that assess the degree of warming resulting from a certain concentration of GHGs in the atmosphere;
- Integrated Assessment Models (complex equations), which measure the expected effect of climate hazards on economic sectors, as well as the probability and impact of catastrophic events; and the
- Social discount rate(s).

The **social discount rate** is one of the key factors influencing the dollar value of the SCC. The discount rate represents the value of economic losses today versus in the future (e.g., in 50 or 100 years). It reflects how much the damages to the welfare of future generations caused by carbon emissions are valued today. For example, a higher discount rate (e.g., 5%) lowers the SCC and implies that we place a relatively low value on economic losses in the more distant future compared to losses in the near future. A lower discount rate (e.g., 2%) implies the opposite. The social discount rate does not reflect inflation.

The SCC increases over time, regardless of the discount rate. This is because the concentration of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere accumulates over time (as emissions continue and the earth's capacity to absorb heat in 'sinks', like the ocean, diminishes). Higher concentrations of carbon dioxide increasingly alter the earth's climate and lead to more economic damages.

Dollar values of the Social Cost of Carbon

In 2009, the U.S. Office of Management and Budget established the federal Interagency Working Group on the Social Cost of Greenhouse Gases (IWG), which has created one of the most sophisticated frameworks for calculating the SCC using best scientific practices (e.g., from the International Panel on Climate Change). [5] The IWG's latest estimates (provided in 2007 dollars and adjusted here to 2020 dollars in **Table 1**), have been adopted by most researchers and jurisdictions as the standard for use in analyzing the impacts of policies and programs. [6] Table 1 shows average estimates of the SCC (for different social discount rates), as well as a high-end estimate, which reflects more of a worst-case scenario in terms of the sensitivity of the climate to emissions and the possible effect on economic sectors.

These estimates account for a wide range of costs to society resulting from carbon dioxide emissions, including, but not limited to:

[5] National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine 2017. Valuing Climate Damages: Updating Estimation of the Social Cost of Carbon Dioxide. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
[6] IAWG Technical Update of the Social Cost of Carbon for Regulatory Impact Analysis Under Executive Order 12866 (US Government, 2013).

- Additional energy demand (via additional cooling) under rising temperatures;
- Increased property damage from rising sea levels and more frequent coastal flooding;
- Increased heat-related illnesses from rising temperatures;
- Additional deaths and injuries from disease vectors (e.g., malaria and dengue fever) from rising temperatures;
- Reduced freshwater availability resulting from decreasing snowpack; and
- Net changes in agricultural productivity from increasing temperatures and carbon dioxide concentrations, as well as from changes in precipitation.

Because these damages are only a subset of the damages expected to occur under a changing climate, the SCC values are considered to represent a lower-bound estimate of the true cost of carbon. For example, these values exclude some damages that are difficult to quantify, such as respiratory illnesses from increased wildfire smoke, degradation of forests from increased pest infections, and declines in fisheries from ocean acidification.

Table 1: Social cost of carbon (in 2020 dollars per metric ton of CO_2) [7,8]

Year of Emission	Average estimate at 5% discount rate	Average estimate at 3% discount rate (IWG's central estimate)	Average estimate at 2.5% discount rate	High-impact estimate (95th percentile estimate at 3% discount rate)
2020	$15	$53	$78	$156
2025	$18	$58	$87	$175
2030	$20	$63	$93	$192
2035	$23	$70	$99	$213
2040	$26	$76	$107	$232
2045	$30	$81	$113	$249
2050	$33	$88	$120	$268

[7] Note that a metric ton (2,204 pounds) of carbon dioxide is equal to how much a typical car emits after 2,397 miles or about 15% of a typical home's emissions from electricity use for a year (see EPA Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator at https://www.epa.gov/energy/greenhouse-gas-equivalencies-calculator).

[8] Reproduced (adjusting for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics CPI Inflation Calculator) from the Interagency Working Group on Social Cost of Greenhouse Gases, Technical Support Document: Technical Update of the Social Cost of Carbon for Regulatory Impact Analysis Under Executive Order 12,866 (2016), available at: https://www.obamawhitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/inforeg/scc_tsd_final_clean_8_26_16.pdf.

Applications of the Social Cost of Carbon

The SCC can be used to evaluate and implement a wide range of policies and programs that may result in (1) a change in the amount of GHGs emitted or (2) the ability for GHGs to be sequestered (e.g., by forests or agricultural soils). For example, applications of SCC include electricity ratemaking, establishing resource management royalties, and setting emissions caps.

The federal government began requiring use of the SCC in 2008, by Executive Order, in federal rulemakings to value the costs and benefits associated with changes in carbon dioxide emissions (e.g., used by federal agencies in regulatory impact analyses and environmental impact statements). [9] The SCC is still used in federal rulemakings. Although federal agencies are no longer required to use the IWG's SCC estimates (as of 2017), many agencies still use estimates based on the IWG's framework.

In addition, a growing number of states use the SCC when evaluating public sector investments and policies. **Table 2** illustrates various applications of the SCC in different states. For example, the SCC has been increasingly used in the energy sector to:

- Help evaluate proposals for new power plants (e.g., in Colorado, Nevada, Minnesota, and Maine);
- Provide incentives for facilities to generate low-carbon electricity (e.g., in Illinois and New York); and
- Set compensation for owners of solar panels that supply surplus power to the grid (e.g., in Minnesota and New York).

Table 2: Examples of how states are using the social cost of carbon. [10]

State	Application	SCC value
Oregon	In 1997, Oregon became the first state to establish a mandatory price on carbon. Through House Bill 3283, the Energy Facility Siting Council (EFSC) set rules for siting energy facilities, requiring new fossil fuel plants to meet a carbon dioxide emissions standard (17% below the best available technology in the country). Facilities are required to either displace, offset, or pay for each ton of carbon dioxide above the threshold. [11]	$1.90 per short ton (for emissions above the standard) *(Note: ODOE staff is recommending to the EFSC in April 2020, for their approval in June 2020, an increase of 50% to $2.85 which is the maximum allowed in statute.*

[9] National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine 2017. Valuing Climate Damages: Updating Estimation of the Social Cost of Carbon Dioxide. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
[10] Information on state applications is from the Institute for Policy Integrity. The Cost of Carbon. New York University School of Law (2020), available at: http://costofcarbon.org/states.
[11] Oregon Department of Energy, Carbon Dioxide Emission Standards, Oregon's Energy Facility Siting Council, March 2018. Available at: https://www.oregon.gov/energy/Get-Involved/rulemakingdocs/2018-03-21-CO2-RAC-Background.pdf

	Oregon's price on carbon preceded the IWG's framework for calculating the SCC and the 1997 statute limited any price increases to 50% every two years.	
	As part of the regulatorily required Integrated Resource Planning (IRP) processes, PGE, PacifiCorp, and Idaho Power are required by the OPUC to assess the **risk** of a specific CO2 price imposed by a potential future law. In the absence of an adopted CO2 price the utilities develop their own forecast of a cost of carbon. They are not required to add this SCC into their resource costs for comparison purposes, they only analyze the risk of a future SCC.[12]	~$40–$140 per metric ton for emissions in 2020–2050, respectively
	Most recently, a bill (HB 4027) was proposed in 2020 but did not pass, it would have required ODOE to establish by rule a SCC to be used in Public Utility Commission proceedings.	Based on EPA (which are based on IWG) SCC values
California	In 2016, California's Air Resources Board was mandated to use the SCC in its analyses of the state's climate change policy, including a **cap on emissions**.	To be based on IWG SCC values
	As of 2018, California's Public Utility Commission uses the SCC to value integrated **distributed energy resources**.	$123 per metric ton CO_2e [13] *(equal to the IWG SCC high-impact value for 2020 emissions with a 3% discount rate in 2007 dollars)*
Colorado	In 2017, the Colorado Public Utilities Commission ordered the Public Service Company of Colorado to account for the SCC in its **energy resources plan** (which includes information on the costs associated with generation resources).	$43 per metric ton CO_2 in 2022; increasing to $69 per metric ton in 2050 *(based on IWG SCC central values with a 3% discount rate in 2007 dollars)*
Illinois	In 2016, the state passed an energy bill which included provisions for valuing the social benefits of emissions-free energy. This analysis will be used in a **zero emissions credits** program.	$16.50 per megawatt-hour of electricity *(based on IWG SCC central estimate with a 3% discount rate, adjusted for inflation)*

[12] Portland General Electric. Integrated Resource Plan Draft. May 2019. Available at: file:///C:/Users/mbuchan/Downloads/2019-irp.pdf

[13] Note that a ton of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO_2e) is a metric that normalizes other greenhouse gas emissions in terms of carbon dioxide by adjusting for their relative global warming potential.

Maine	As of 2015, Maine's Public Utility Commission has used the SCC to calculate the societal value of **distributed solar resources**.	$21 per megawatt-hour of electricity *(based on IWG SCC central value estimate with a 3% discount rate, minus the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative carbon allowance cost)*
Minnesota	As of 2018, the state's Public Utilities Commission requires utilities to use the SCC when planning for new projects. It uses the SCC in all commission proceedings, such as for **resource planning** and acquisition or diversification.	$9.05 to $43.05 per short ton of CO_2e [14] *(based on IWG SCC values)*
Nevada	As of 2018, Nevada's Public Utilities Commission directed utilities to account for the economic and environmental benefits of their **integrated resource plans**.	IWG SCC values will be used
New Jersey	In 2018, New Jersey enacted a **zero emissions credits** program (similar to those in Illinois and New York), which uses the SCC to estimate the value of energy from zero-emission facilities.	IWG SCC values are used as a reference
New York	New York's new (2019) climate statute (Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act) set a **goal of 100% economy-wide net-zero carbon emissions by 2050**. The act requires the Department of Environmental Conservation and the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority to establish a SCC for use by state agencies.	To be determined
	As of 2018, New York's clean energy standard and **zero emissions credit** use the SCC in calculating the value of using emission-free power rather than carbon-emitting fossil fuel power.	$32.47 per short ton CO_2e *(based on IWG SCC values)*
	As of 2018, New York's regulators are using the SCC to price carbon in the state's **wholesale electricity market**. The SCC is used to monetize marginal climate damage costs in the benefit-cost analysis of a resource portfolio.	$47.30 per short ton for 2020 emissions *(based on IWG SCC values)*
Washington	The 2014 Executive Order 14-00 on carbon pollution reduction and clear energy action required the state's agencies to account for the external cost of GHGs when considering costs and benefits of **energy efficiency improvements**.	IWG SCC values to be used

[14] Note that there is a slight difference between a short ton (2,000 pounds) and a metric ton (2,204 pounds).

	The 2019 Clean Energy Transformation Act (CETA), required the use of a SCC in **utility resource planning**. It requires utilities to add the SCC, which is escalated over time, into their resource costs. Prior to CETA, the WA UTC required PacifiCorp to analyze the **risk** of a specific CO2 price imposed by a potential future law. PacifiCorp used a SCC estimates, such as the IWG estimates, developed by independent third-parties.	$78 per metric ton for 2020 emissions *(based on IWG SCC values with a 2.5% discount rate)*