## Name of Team: Oregon Wildfire Detection Camera Interoperability Committee

Co-Chairs	Admin. Support	Kick Off Date	Revision Date	Committee Composition
Leland O'Driscoll	TBD	Feb. 2, 2022	May 25, 2022	Governor's Office, Public Safety
Jamie Paul				Agencies, Fire Agencies, Emergency
				Managers, United States Forest
				Service, Bureau of Land Management,
				Statewide Interoperability Coordinator,
				Oregon Hazards Lab @ University of
				Oregon, Tribal Representation

Vision & Mission			
	Vision: To develop the most integrated, and interorganizational wildfire detection system in		
	the United States that provides immediate statewide access for the most efficient and		
	effective emergency response, thereby ensuring the quality of life and protection of		
	resources in Oregon.		
	Mission: The mission of the Oregon Wildfire Detection Comerce Interenerability Committee		
	Mission. The mission of the Oregon Wildlife Detection Camera interoperability and realigned		
	is to build relationships, increase wildlife detection camera interoperability and resilience,		
	identify and implement best practices across the all risk emergency operations access to		
Primary Goals & Objectives	Develop and maintain the surrent and future desired status of the statewide wildfire		
Primary Goals & Objectives	detection comera system or systems		
	Maintain a current status decument of the statewide system annually		
	Maintain a current status document of the statewide system annually     Develop data peode, reports and desuments to aid in the internal and external		
	Develop data needs, reports and documents to aid in the internal and external     communication peods of committee and partner agencies		
	communication needs of committee and partner agencies.		
	Discover and identify approaches for coordination and collaboration and gained		
	Policy		
	<ul> <li>Resource allocation and/or development</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Overall infrastructure access (towers networks etc.)</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Strategy on product usage and coordination (monitoring, response, etc.)</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Identify shared interoperable practices</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Encourage cross-discipline collaboration communications training and</li> </ul>		
	exercise.		
	Technology		
	<ul> <li>Analytics of site selection (use - viewshed, risk, fire history; site logistics –</li> </ul>		
	data, infrastructure and network analytics)		
	• Full-spectrum permitting (site recon, RF permissions, land use, NEPA		
	permissions)		
	<ul> <li>Logistics (Field installations, ongoing operation &amp; maintenance)</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Data center operation (data logistics and networking)</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Product generation and integration (identify and implement shared</li> </ul>		
	interoperable resources)		
	Funding		
	<ul> <li>Pursue direct, complimentary and other diverse funding sources to develop,</li> </ul>		
	implement and maintain Oregon's coordinated wildfire detection camera		
	systems into the future		
	• Pursue collaborative funding opportunities for statewide implementation		
0	• Pursue resilient and self-sustainable funding sources		
Customers/Users	State and Local Public Safety Agencies		
	State and Local Fire Agencies		
	Forest and Range Protective Associations		
	Federal Agencies (USDA, DOI, USACE, DOE, etc.)		
	Iribal Governments		
	Electric Utilities		
	The Media		
	The Public (private landowners, citizens, corporations and/or collaboratives)		
Success Indicators	An annual update and review document is produced reporting on system status		
	and efficacy		
	Reporting documents are produced that support the communications needs of the		

committee and partner agencies; this includes an annual analysis of the benefits of the coordinated system         Cross-jurisdictonal meetings, collaboration and training is occurring         Authoring and documenting coordinated policy and technology decisions and/or recommendations         Producing and disseminating meeting minutes and decisions         Monitoring and councenting coordinated policy and elebhology decisions and/or recommendations         Producing and disseminating meeting minutes and decisions         Monitoring and response solutions are developed and implemented         Efficiencies are gained via collaboration and interportability         Cost efficiencies (and use, infrastructure use, bandwidh use)         Planning efforts are coordinated at the interagency level (tower space, software development, site locations, othrony diversed tactica coordination throughout the region         System data sharing and collection is enabled         Improved tactical coordination throughout the systems to a desired state         Supports ongoing involvations and diverse for across parties as appropriate         Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across sparties as appropriate         Funding is pursued in a cooperations and meiotanel awareness, efficiencies in response and overall efficacy of the systems to a desired state         Supports ongoing involvations and development.         The impacts – perovised value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing         Diffect Impacts – perovised value, public awareness, i		
Implementation       Cross-syluristicional meetings, collaboration and training is occurring         Authoring and documenting coordinated policy and lechnology decisions and/or recommendations       -         Producing and disseminating meeting minutes and decisions       -         Monitoring and response solutions are developed and implemented       Efficiencies are gained via collaboration and interoperability         - Cost efficiencies requect the costs of response through use of the technology platforms       -         - Resource consumption efficiencies (land use, infrastructure use, bandwidth use)       -         - Planning efforts are coordinated at the interagency level (tower space, software development, site locations, others)       -         - Long term operations and maintenance needs are addressed       -         - Improved factical coordination throughout the region       -         - System data scharing and collection is mabled       -         - Funding to pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate       -         - Funding does the following:       -         - Orgatetes the planned construction of the systems to a desired state or Souports orgoing innovations and development       -         - Direct Impacts - Increased real-time situational awareness, fliciencies in response and world efficiency of the smoke delection platform, facilitates revocuation use, increased real-time situational awareness, insurance costs, information sharing         Input Sources       -		committee and partner agencies; this includes an annual analysis of the benefits of
Cross-jursatictional meetings, collaboration and training is occurring     Authoring and documenting contrained policy and technology decisions and/or     recommendations <ul> <li>Producing and disseminating meeting minutes and decisions</li> <li>Monitoring and response solutions are developed and implemented</li> </ul> <li>Efficiencies are gained via collaboration and interportability         <ul> <li>Cost efficiencies regulated via collaboration and interportability</li> <li>Cost efficiencies (reduce the costs of response through use of the technology development, the cost of response through use of the technology development, the cost of response through use of the technology development, the cost of response through use of the technology development, the cost of response through use of the technology development, the cost of response through use of the technology development, the cost of response through use of the software development, the cost of response through use of the set of the system are cordinated throughout the region</li> <li>Planning efforts are coordinated throughout the region</li> <li>System data sharing and collection is enabled</li> <li>Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate</li> <li>Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate</li> <li>Funding to partiants and end-user focus is optimized:</li></ul></li>		the coordinated system
Authoring and documenting coordinated policy and technology decisions and/or recommendations       • Producing and disseminating meeting minutes and decisions         • Producing and disseminating meeting minutes and decisions       • Cost efficiencies (reduces are gained via collaboration and interoperability         • Cost efficiencies (reduce the costs of response through use of the technology platforms       • Cost efficiencies (reduce the costs of response through use of the technology platforms         • Planning efforts are coordinated at the interagency level (tower space, software development, site locations, others)       • Dirig term operations and maintenance needs are addressed         • Improved tectical coordination throughout the region       • System date sharing and collection is enabled         • Autifying does the following:       • Coorgetitive effort across parties as appropriate         • Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate       • Funding to subje operations and maintenance of built system         • Supports ongoing innovations and maintenance of built system and end-user focus is optimized:       • Direct Impacts – Increased real-time situational awareness, efficiencies in response and overal efficiency of the system withing partners, all detection platform, and iteratives         • Direct Impacts – perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing       • Direct Impacts – perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing         • Input Sources       • Committee representatives       • Electric Utilitise       • Electric Utilitise		Cross-jurisdictional meetings, collaboration and training is occurring
Imput Sources <ul> <li>Producing and disseminating meeting minutes and decisions</li> <li>Monitoring and response solutions are developed and implemented</li> <li>Efficiencies are gained via collaboration and interoperability</li> <li>Cost efficiencies (reduce the costs) of response through use of the technology platforms</li> <li>Resource consumption efficiencies (land use, infrastructure use, bandwitch use)</li> <li>Planning efforts are coordinated at the interagency level (tower space, software development, introvghout the region</li> <li>System date sharing and collection is enabled</li> <li>Improved tactical coordination throughout the region</li> <li>System date sharing and collection is enabled</li> <li>An integrated monitoring and/or detection workflow is developed and implamented</li> <li>Funding is pursue of a coorperative effort across parties as appropriate</li> <li>Funding dees the following:</li> <li>Completes the loan-loan of user solutions of the systems to a desired state</li> <li>Secures viable operations and development.</li> <li>The impact of the system and and versit efficiencies in response and overall efficiency of the smultiple partners, all detection platforms are title info monitoring and dispatching systems</li> <li>Direct Impacts – perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing</li> <li>Flucture owners/operators</li> <li>Indirect Impacts – perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing</li> <li>Committee representatives</li> <li>Electric Utilities</li> <li>Telecommunications providers</li> <li>Infrastructure owners/operators</li> <li>Technology system providers</li> <li>University Researchers</li></ul>		Authoring and documenting coordinated policy and technology decisions and/or
• Producing and asseminating meeting minutes and decisions         • Monitoring and response solutions are developed and implemented         • Efficiencies are gained via collaboration and interoperability         • Cost efficiencies (reduce the costs of response through use of the technology platforms         • Resource consumption efficiencies (fauld use, infrastructure use, bandwidth use)         • Planning efforts are coordinated at the interagency level (tower space, software development, site locations, others)         • Long term operations and maintenance needs are addressed         • Improved tactical coordination throughout the region         • System deta sharing and collection is enabled         • Completes the planned         • Funding loss the collowing:         • Completes the planned         • Supports on songoin innovations and maintenance of built systems         • Supports on songoin innovations and eavier development         • The impact of the system and end-user focus is optimized:         • Direct Impacts - Increased real-time system by multipertures, all detection platform, facilitates evacuation use, increased real-time system by multipertures, end solutions and eavier duston and averances, efficiencies in response and overall efficacy of the smake detectoin platform, facilitates evacuation use, increased real-time system by multipertures, all detection platforms are tied into maintenance of built systems         • Direct Impacts - Increased real-time system by multipertures, all detection platforms aretied into maintenance otosts, information shar		recommendations
• Monitoring and response solutions are developed and important to technology platforms         • Efficiencies are gained via collaboration and interoperability         • Cost efficiencies (reduce the costs of response through use of the technology platforms         • Resource consumption efficiencies (land use, infrastructure use, bandwith use)         • Planning efforts are coordinated at the interagency level (tower space, software development, is locations, others)         • Long term operations and maintenance needs are addressed         • Improved tactical coordination throughout the region         • System data sharing and collection is enabled         • An integrated monitoring and/or detection workflow is developed and implamented         • Funding does the following:         • Completes the plannet construction of the systems to a desired state         • Supports ongoing innovations and development         • The impact of the system are ited into monitoring and vispations, and development         • The impact of the system are ited into monitoring and dispatching systems         • Indirect Impacts – perceived value, public awareness, efficiencies in trasponse and overal efficiency of the system by system         • Committee representatives         • Detect impacts – perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing         • Detect impacts – perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing         • Indirect Impacts – perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs		• Producing and disseminating meeting minutes and decisions
• Efficiencies are gained via collaboration and memoprating         • Cost efficiencies (reduce the costs of response through use of the technology platforms         • Cost efficiencies (reduce the costs of response through use of the technology platforms         • Prenning efforts are coordinated at the interagency level (tower space, software development, site locations, others)         • Long term operations and maintenance needs are addressed         • Improved tactical coordination throughout the region         • System data sharing and collection is enabled         • An integrated monitoring and/or detection workflow is developed and implemented         • Completes the planned construction of the systems to a desired state         • Sugmented         • Direct Impacts - Increased real-lines         • Direct Impacts - Increased real-lines situational awareness, efficiencies in response and overall officacy of the smoke detection platform, facilitates evacuation use, increased real-lines situational awareness, efficiencies in response and overall officacy of the system by multiple partners, all detection platforms are led into monitoring and displatching systems         • Indirect Impacts – provised value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing         Input Sources       • Committee regrescip values         • Cordination sharing       • Direct Impacts – Increased real-lines         • Indirect Impacts – Increased real-lines       • Technology system providers (FirstNet and others)         • Infrastructure owners/operators		Monitoring and response solutions are developed and implemented
• Cost efficiencies (reduce the costs of response through use of the technology platforms         • Resource consumption efficiencies (land use, infrastructure use, bandwildth use)         • Planning efforts are coordinated at the interagency level (tower space, software development, site locations, others)         • Long term operations and maintenance needs are addressed         • Improved tactical coordination throughout the region         • System data sharing and collection is enabled         • An integrated monitoring and/or detection workflow is developed and implemented         • Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate         • Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate         • Funding toes the following:         • Completes the planned construction of the systems to a desired state         • Secures viable operations and maintenance of built systems         • Supports ongoing innovations and development         • The impact of the system and end-user focus is optimized:         • Direct Impacts – increased real-time situational awareness, efficiencies in response and overal termoletion situational awareness, afficiencies in information sharing         Input Sources       • Committee representatives         • Public safety representatives       • Electric Utilities         • Telecommunications haring       • Telecommunications singense         • Indirect Impacts - proreived value, public awareness, insurance costs, informa		Efficiencies are gained via collaboration and interoperability
Input Sources <ul> <li>Communication</li> <li>Communication</li> <li>Communication</li> <li>Communication</li> <li>Inter Resource (Suspendence)</li> <li>Long term operators and maintenance needs are addressed</li> <li>Improved tactical coordination throughout the region</li> <li>System data sharing and collection is enabled</li> <li>An integrated monitoring and/or detection workflow is developed and implemented</li> <li>Funding to pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate</li> <li>Funding does the following:</li> <li>Completes the planned construction of the systems to a desired state</li> <li>Supports ongoing innovations and development</li> <li>The impact of the system and end-user focus is optimized:</li> <li>Direct Impacts – Increased use of the systems to a desired state</li> <li>Support ongoing innovations and development</li> <li>The impact of the system and end-user focus is optimized:</li> <li>Ormet Impacts – Increased use of the system multiple partners, all detection platforms are ted into monitoring and dispatching systems</li> <li>Indirect Impacts – precived value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing</li> <li>Indirect Impacts – precived value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing</li> <li>Trelecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)</li> <li>Infrastructure owners/operators</li> <li>Radio system managers</li> <li>Oregon Office of Emergency Management</li> <li>ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check</li> <li>SiEC</li> <li>Odre federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Techenology system providers</li> <li>Electedr</li></ul>		<ul> <li>Cost efficiencies (reduce the costs of response through use of the task solves and the and</li> </ul>
Imput Sources <ul> <li>Prescurve construction</li> <li>Provider construction</li> <li>Committee regresentatives</li> <li>Funding offorts are coordinated at the interagency level (tower space, software development, site locations, others)</li> <li>Long term operations and maintenance needs are addressed</li> <li>Improved factioal coordination throughout the region</li> <li>System data sharing and collection is enabled</li> <li>An integrated monitoring and/or detection workflow is developed and implemented</li> <li>Funding does the following:</li> <ul> <li>Completes the planned construction of the systems to a desired state</li> <li>Supports ongoing innovations and development</li> <li>The impact of the system and end-user focus is optimized:</li> <li>Direct Impacts – increased use of the system by multiple partners, all detection platforms are tied into monitoring and dispatchm, facilitates evacuation use, increased use of the system by multiple partners, all detection platforms are tied into monitoring and dispatchm, facilitates</li> <li>Public safety representatives</li> <li>Electric Utilities</li> <li>Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)</li> <li>Infrastructure of Emergency Management</li> <li>ODOT State Rado Project, Trip Check</li> <li>SiEC</li> <li>Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)</li> <li>The decinal (FEMA, LSCG, USACE, etc.)</li> <li>The decinal (FEMA, LSCG, USACE, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SME's (Communications, county commissioners, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SME's (Communications, IT, software development, carriera, land use, etc.)</li> <li>Minimum unouterely, more fre</li></ul></ul>		technology platforms
Imput Sources       • Planning efforts are coordinated at the interagency level (tower space, software development, site locations, others)         • Long term operations and maintenance needs are addressed         • Improved tactical coordination throughout the region         • System data sharing and collection is enabled         • An integrated monitoring and/or detection workflow is developed and implemented         • Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate         • Funding does the following:         • Orgotos congoing innovations and development         • The impact of the system and end-user focus is optimized:         • Direct Impacts - Increased reactime stutional awareness, efficiencies in response and overall efficacy of the snoke detection platform, facilitates evacuation use, increased use of the system by multiple partners, all detection platforms are tied in tomonitoning and dispatching systems         • Input Sources       • Committee representatives         • Direct Impacts - perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing         Input Sources       • Committee representatives         • Direct Inpacts - perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing         Input Sources       • Committee representatives         • Direct Inpacts - perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing         • Direct Rederal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)         • Infrastructure owners/operators		<ul> <li>Resource consumption eniciencies (land use, intrastructure use, bendwidth use)</li> </ul>
Impact Solutions and maintenance needs are addressed         Improved tackical coordination throughout the region         System data sharing and collection is enabled         Improved tackical coordination throughout the region         System data sharing and collection is enabled         Improved tackical coordination and/or detection workflow is developed and implemented         Funding does the following:         Occupietes the planned construction of the systems to a desired state         Supports origoing innovations and development         The impact of the system and end-user focus is optimized:         Other the system and end-user focus is optimized:         Direct Impacts – Increased real-time situational awareness, efficiencies in response and overall efficacy of the system by multiple partners, all detection platforms are tied into monitoring and dispatching systems         Input Sources       Committee representatives         Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)         Infrastructure owners/operators         Radio system managers         Oregon Office of Emergency Management         Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)         Tribal Governments         Technology system providers         University Researchers         Governments         Technology system providers         University Researchers         Governments <th></th> <th>Danuwium use)</th>		Danuwium use)
Solvata even operations and maintenance needs are addressed         • Long term operations and maintenance needs are addressed         • Improved tactical coordination throughout the region         • System data sharing and collection is enabled         • An integrated monitoring and/or detection workflow is developed and implemented         • Funding to pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate         • Funding does the following:         • Completes the planned construction of the systems to a desired state         • Supports ongoing innovations and development         • Direct Impacts - Increased real-time situational awareness, efficiencies in response and overal efficacy of the snoke detection platform, facilitates evacuation use, increased use of the system by multiple partners, all detection platforms are tied into monitoring and dispatching systems         • Induct Impacts - perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing         Input Sources       • Committee representatives         • Delecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)         • Infrastructure owners/operators         • Radio system managers         • Oregon Office of Emergency Management         • ODD         • Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)         • Tribal Governments         • Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)         Minimu quarterly, nore frequent meetings may be		o Flamming enorts are coordinated at the interagency level (lower space,
Improved tactical coordination throughout the region         • System data sharing and collection is enabled         • An integrated monitoring and/or detection workflow is developed and implemented         • Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate         • Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate         • Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate         • Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate         • Supports ongoing innovations and maintenance of built systems         • Supports ongoing innovations and enduces of built systems         • Supports ongoing innovations and enduces of built systems         • Direct Impacts – Increased real-time situational awareness, efficiencies in response and overall efficacy of the stroke tection platform, facilitates evacuation use, increased use of the system by multiple partners, all detection platforms are tied into moning and dispatching systems         • Indirect Impacts – perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing         Input Sources       • Committee representatives         • Public safety representatives         • Public safety representatives         • Electric Utilities         • Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)         • Infrastructure owners/operators         • Radio system managers         • Oregon Office of Emergency Management		<ul> <li>Long term operations and maintenance needs are addressed</li> </ul>
Imploved idualized in the optimized in the optimized in the optimized in the optimized in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate         Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate         Funding does the following:         Completes the planned construction of the systems to a desired state         Secures viable operations and maintenance of built systems         Supports ongoing innovations and development         The impact of the system and end-user focus is optimized:         Diffect Impacts – Increased read-line situational awareness, efficiencies in response and overall efficacy of the smoke detection platform, facilitates evacuation use, increased use of the system by multiple partners, all detection platforms are tied into monitoring and dispatching systems         Input Sources       Committee representatives         Public safety representatives       Public safety representatives         Public safety representatives       Public safety representatives         Radio system managers       Oregon Office of Emergency Management         ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check       SEC         SEC       OMD         Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)       Trabia Governments         The Media       Technology system providers         Elected to presentatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)       The Media         Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, c		<ul> <li>Improved tactical coordination throughout the region</li> </ul>
Or System Providers         Or An integrated monitoring and/or detection workflow is developed and implemented         Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate         Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate         Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate         Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate         Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate         Supports origoing innovations and development         Supports origoing innovations and development         The impact of the system and end-user focus is optimized:         Otect Impacts – increased use of the system by multiple partners, all detection platforms are tied into monitoring and dispatching systems         Input Sources       Committee representatives         Public safety representatives         Electric Utilities         Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)         Infrastructure owners/operators         Radio system managers         Oregon Office of Emergency Management         ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check         SIEC         OMD         Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)         Tribal Government Laboratorias         Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)		<ul> <li>Improved factical coordination timologilout the region</li> <li>System data sharing and collection is enabled</li> </ul>
Implemented         Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate         Funding does the following:         Completes the planned construction of the systems to a desired state         Secures viable operations and maintenance of built systems         Supports origing innovations and development         The impact of the system and end-user focus is optimized:         Implete interventions         Implete intervention         Secures viable operations and net-user focus is optimized:         Implete intervention         Indirect impacts         Precision         Indirect impacts         Implete intervention         Indirect impacts         Indirect impacts         Indirect impact		$\sim$ An integrated monitoring and/or detection workflow is developed and
Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate         Funding does the following:         Completes the planned construction of the systems to a desired state         Secures viable operations and maintenance of built systems         Supports ongoing innovations and development         The impact of the system and end-user focus is optimized:         Imput Sources         Indirect Impacts – increased real-time situational awareness, efficiencies in response and overall efficacy of the smoke detection platform, facilitates evacuation use, increased use of the system by multiple partners, all detection platforms are tied into monitoring and dispatching systems         Input Sources       Committee representatives         Public safety representatives       Electric Utilities         Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)       Infrastructure owners/operators         Radio system managers       Oragio Office of Emergency Management         ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check       SIEC         OMD       Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)         Tribal Governments       Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)         Tribal Government Laboratories       Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)         Tribal Government Laboratories       Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)         Treheinger st		implemented
Funding does the following: <ul> <li>Completes the planned construction of the systems to a desired state</li> <li>Secures viable operations and maintenance of built systems</li> <li>Supports ongoing innovations and development</li> </ul> The impact of the system and end-user focus is optimized:         • Direct Impacts – Increased real-time situational awareness, efficiencies in response and overall efficacy of the system by multiple partners, all detection platform, facilitates evacuation use, increased real-time situational awareness, insurance costs, information sharing         Input Sources <ul> <li>Committee representatives</li> <li>Public safety representatives</li> <li>Electric Utilities</li> <li>Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)</li> <li>Infrastructure owners/operators</li> <li>Radio system managers</li> <li>Oregon Office of Emergency Management</li> <li>ODDT State Radio Project, Trip Check</li> <li>SIEC</li> <li>OMD</li> <li>Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)</li> <li>Tribal Governments</li> <li>Electric University Researchers</li> <li>Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)</li> </ul> <li>Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li>		<ul> <li>Funding is pursued in a cooperative effort across parties as appropriate</li> </ul>
Completes the planned construction of the systems to a desired state     Secures viable operations and maintenance of built systems     Secures viable operations and development     The impact of the system and end-user focus is optimized:     Direct Impacts – Increased real-time situational awareness, efficiencies in     response and overall efficacy of the system by multiple partners, all     detection platforms are tied into monitoring and dispatching systems     Indirect Impacts – perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs,     information sharing     Committee representatives     Public safety representatives     Public safety representatives     Electric Utilities     Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)     Infrastructure owners/operators     Radio system managers     Oregon Office of Emergency Management     ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check     SIEC     OMD     Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)     Tribal Governments     Technology system providers     University Researchers     Government Laboratories     Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners,     etc.)     The Media     Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development,     camera, Iand use, etc.)     Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity     Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and     assigned.     Decision Making Process     Consensus as needed		Funding does the following:
• Secures viable operations and maintenance of built systems         • Supports origoing innovations and development         • The impact of the system and end-user focus is optimized:         • Direct Impacts – Increased real-time situational awareness, efficiencies in response and overall efficacy of the smoke detection platform, facilitates evacuation use, increased use of the system by multiple partners, all detection platforms are tied into monitoring and dispatching systems         • Indirect Impacts – perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing         Input Sources         • Committee representatives         • Public safety representatives         • Electric Utilities         • Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)         • Infrastructure owners/operators         • Radio system managers         • Oregon Office of Emergency Management         • Obort State Radio Project, Trip Check         • SIEC         • OMD         • Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)         • Tribal Governments         • Technology system providers         • Electer representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)         • Tribal Government Laboratories         • Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)         • The Media         • Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software devel		<ul> <li>Completes the planned construction of the systems to a desired state</li> </ul>
• Supports ongoing innovations and development         • The impact of the system and end-user focus is optimized:         • Direct Impacts – Increased real-time situational awareness, efficiencies in response and overall efficacy of the smoke detection platform, facilitates evacuation use, increased use of the system by multiple partners, all detection platforms are tied into monitoring and dispatching systems         • Indirect Impacts – perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing         Input Sources       • Committee representatives         • Public safety representatives         • Public safety representatives         • Electric Utilities         • Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)         • Infrastructure owners/operators         • Radio system managers         • Oregon Office of Emergency Management         • ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check         • SIEC         • Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)         • Tribal Governments         • Technology system providers         • University Researchers         • Government Laboratories         • Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)         • The Media         • Technology system providers         • University Researchers         • Government Laboratories         • Elected representatives (state and federal		<ul> <li>Secures viable operations and maintenance of built systems</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>The impact of the system and end-user focus is optimized:         <ul> <li>Direct Impacts – Increased real-time situational awareness, efficiencies in response and overall efficacy of the smoke detection platform, facilitates evacuation use, increased use of the system by multiple partners, all detection platforms are tied into monitoring and dispatching systems</li> <li>Indirect Impacts – perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing</li> </ul> </li> <li>Input Sources         <ul> <li>Committee representatives</li> <li>Public safety representatives</li> <li>Electric Utilities</li> <li>Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)</li> <li>Infrastructure owners/operators</li> <li>Radio system managers</li> <li>Oregon Office of Emergency Management</li> <li>ODDT State Radio Project, Trip Check</li> <li>SIEC</li> <li>OMD</li> <li>Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)</li> <li>Tribal Governments</li> <li>Technology system providers</li> <li>University Researchers</li> <li>Government Laboratories</li> <li>Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technology system, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Decision Making Process</li> <li>Consensus as needed</li> <li>Communication</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Supports ongoing innovations and development</li> </ul>
		The impact of the system and end-user focus is optimized:
response and overall efficacy of the smoke detection platform, facilitates evacuation use, increased use of the system by multiple partners, all detection platforms are tied into monitoring and dispatching systems         Input Sources       • Committee representatives         • Public safety representatives       • Public safety representatives         • Electric Ullillities       • Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)         • Infrastructure owners/operators       • Radio system managers         • Oregon Office of Emergency Management       • ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check         • SIEC       • OMD         • Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)       • Trebenments         • Technology system providers       • University Researchers         • Government Laboratories       • Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)         • The Media       • Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)         Meeting Frequency/       Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.         Decision Making Process       Consensus as needed		- Direct Impacts – Increased real-time situational awareness, efficiencies in
evacuation use, increased use of the system by multiple partners, all detection platforms are tide into monitoring and dispatching systems           Indirect Impacts – perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing           Input Sources         • Committee representatives           Public safety representatives           Electric Utilities           • Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)           • Infrastructure owners/operators           • Radio system managers           • Oregon Office of Emergency Management           • ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check           • SIEC           • OMD           • Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)           • Tribal Governments           • Technology system providers           • University Researchers           • Government Laboratories           • Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)           • The Media           • Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)           Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.           Decision Making Process         Consensus as needed		response and overall efficacy of the smoke detection platform, facilitates
Input Sources       • Committee representatives         • Public safety representatives         • Public safety representatives         • Electric Utilities         • Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)         • Infrastructure owners/operators         • Radio system managers         • Oregon Office of Emergency Management         • ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check         • SIEC         • OMD         • Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)         • Tribal Governments         • Technology system providers         • University Researchers         • Government Laboratories         • Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)         • The Media         • Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)         Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.         Decision Making Process       Consensus as needed         Communication       Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint		evacuation use, increased use of the system by multiple partners, all
Input Sources       • Indirect Impacts – perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs, information sharing         Input Sources       • Committee representatives         • Public safety representatives       • Electric Utilities         • Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)       • Infrastructure owners/operators         • Radio system managers       • Oregon Office of Emergency Management         • ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check       • SIEC         • OMD       • Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)         • Tribal Governments       • Technology system providers         • University Researchers       • Government Laboratories         • Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)       • The Media         • Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)         • The Media       • Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)         • The Media       • Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)         • The Media       • Technical experts and other SMEs (Action items identified and assigned.         Decision Making Process       Consensus as needed         Communication       Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint		detection platforms are tied into monitoring and dispatching systems
Input Sources <ul> <li>Committee representatives</li> <li>Public safety representatives</li> <li>Electric Utilities</li> <li>Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)</li> <li>Infrastructure owners/operators</li> <li>Radio system managers</li> <li>Oregon Office of Emergency Management</li> <li>ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check</li> <li>SIEC</li> <li>OMD</li> <li>Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)</li> <li>Tribal Governments</li> <li>Technology system providers</li> <li>University Researchers</li> <li>Government Laboratories</li> <li>Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)</li> <li>Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li> <li>Decision Making Process</li> <li>Consensus as needed</li> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint</li> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint</li> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics.</li> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics.</li> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics.</li> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics.</li> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics.</li> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics.</li> <l< th=""><th></th><th><ul> <li>Indirect Impacts – perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs,</li> </ul></th></l<></ul>		<ul> <li>Indirect Impacts – perceived value, public awareness, insurance costs,</li> </ul>
Input Sources       • Committee representatives         Public safety representatives       • Public safety representatives         • Electric Utilities       • Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)         • Infrastructure owners/operators       • Radio system managers         • Oregon Office of Emergency Management       • ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check         • SIEC       • OMD         • Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)       • Tribal Governments         • Technology system providers       • University Researchers         • Government Laboratories       • Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)         • The Media       • Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)         Meeting Frequency/       Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.         Decision Making Process       Consensus as needed         Communication       Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint		information sharing
<ul> <li>Public safety representatives</li> <li>Electric Utilities</li> <li>Electric Utilities</li> <li>Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)</li> <li>Infrastructure owners/operators</li> <li>Radio system managers</li> <li>Oregon Office of Emergency Management</li> <li>ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check</li> <li>SIEC</li> <li>OMD</li> <li>Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)</li> <li>Tribal Governments</li> <li>Technology system providers</li> <li>University Researchers</li> <li>Government Laboratories</li> <li>Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)</li> <li>Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li> <li>Decision Making Process</li> <li>Communication</li> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint</li> </ul>	Input Sources	Committee representatives
<ul> <li>Electric Utilities</li> <li>Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)</li> <li>Infrastructure owners/operators</li> <li>Radio system managers</li> <li>Oregon Office of Emergency Management</li> <li>ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check</li> <li>SIEC</li> <li>OMD</li> <li>Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)</li> <li>Tribal Governments</li> <li>Technology system providers</li> <li>University Researchers</li> <li>Government Laboratories</li> <li>Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)</li> <li>Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li> <li>Decision Making Process</li> <li>Communication</li> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint</li> </ul>		Public safety representatives
<ul> <li>Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)         <ul> <li>Infrastructure owners/operators</li> <li>Radio system managers</li> <li>Oregon Office of Emergency Management</li> <li>ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check</li> <li>SIEC</li> <li>OMD</li> <li>Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)</li> <li>Tribal Governments</li> <li>Technology system providers</li> <li>University Researchers</li> <li>Government Laboratories</li> <li>Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Frequency/ Procedures         <ul> <li>Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Decision Making Process         <ul> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		Electric Utilities
<ul> <li>Infrastructure owners/operators</li> <li>Radio system managers</li> <li>Oregon Office of Emergency Management</li> <li>ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check</li> <li>SIEC</li> <li>OMD</li> <li>Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)</li> <li>Tribal Governments</li> <li>Technology system providers</li> <li>University Researchers</li> <li>Government Laboratories</li> <li>Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)</li> <li>Meeting Frequency/</li> <li>Procedures</li> <li>Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li> <li>Decision Making Process</li> <li>Consensus as needed</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Telecommunications providers (FirstNet and others)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Radio system managers</li> <li>Oregon Office of Emergency Management</li> <li>ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check</li> <li>SIEC</li> <li>OMD</li> <li>Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)</li> <li>Tribal Governments</li> <li>Technology system providers</li> <li>University Researchers</li> <li>Government Laboratories</li> <li>Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)</li> <li>Meeting Frequency/</li> <li>Procedures</li> <li>Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li> <li>Communication</li> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint</li> </ul>		Infrastructure owners/operators
<ul> <li>Oregon Office of Emergency Management</li> <li>ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check</li> <li>SIEC</li> <li>OMD</li> <li>Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)</li> <li>Tribal Governments</li> <li>Technology system providers</li> <li>University Researchers</li> <li>Government Laboratories</li> <li>Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)</li> <li>Meeting Frequency/</li> <li>Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li> <li>Consensus as needed</li> </ul>		Radio system managers
<ul> <li>ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check</li> <li>SIEC</li> <li>OMD</li> <li>Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)</li> <li>Tribal Governments</li> <li>Technology system providers</li> <li>University Researchers</li> <li>Government Laboratories</li> <li>Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)</li> <li>Meeting Frequency/</li> <li>Procedures</li> <li>Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li> <li>Consensus as needed</li> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint</li> </ul>		Oregon Office of Emergency Management
<ul> <li>SIEC         <ul> <li>OMD</li> <li>Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)</li> <li>Tribal Governments</li> <li>Technology system providers</li> <li>University Researchers</li> <li>Government Laboratories</li> <li>Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Frequency/ Procedures         <ul> <li>Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Decision Making Process         <ul> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		ODOT State Radio Project, Trip Check
<ul> <li>OMD         <ul> <li>Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)</li> <li>Tribal Governments</li> <li>Technology system providers</li> <li>University Researchers</li> <li>Government Laboratories</li> <li>Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Frequency/         <ul> <li>Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Decision Making Process         <ul> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		• SIEC
<ul> <li>Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)</li> <li>Tribal Governments</li> <li>Technology system providers</li> <li>University Researchers</li> <li>Government Laboratories</li> <li>Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)</li> <li>Meeting Frequency/</li> <li>Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li> <li>Decision Making Process</li> <li>Consensus as needed</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Inbal Governments         <ul> <li>Technology system providers</li> <li>University Researchers</li> <li>Government Laboratories</li> <li>Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Frequency/ Procedures         <ul> <li>Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Decision Making Process         <ul> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		Other federal (FEMA, USCG, USACE, etc.)
<ul> <li>Technology system providers         <ul> <li>University Researchers</li> <li>Government Laboratories</li> <li>Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Frequency/ Procedures         <ul> <li>Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li> <li>Consensus as needed</li> </ul> </li> <li>Communication         <ul> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		• Iribal Governments
<ul> <li>University Researchers</li> <li>Government Laboratories</li> <li>Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)</li> <li>Meeting Frequency/ Procedures</li> <li>Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li> <li>Decision Making Process</li> <li>Communication</li> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint</li> </ul>		I echnology system providers
<ul> <li>Government Laboratories</li> <li>Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)</li> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)</li> <li>Meeting Frequency/ Procedures</li> <li>Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li> <li>Decision Making Process</li> <li>Consensus as needed</li> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint</li> </ul>		University Researchers
<ul> <li>Elected representatives (state and rederal delegations, county commissioners, etc.)         <ul> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Frequency/ Procedures         <ul> <li>Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Decision Making Process         <ul> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		Government Laboratories
etc.)       • The Media         • Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)         Meeting Frequency/         Procedures         Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.         Decision Making Process       Consensus as needed         Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint		• Elected representatives (state and federal delegations, county commissioners,
<ul> <li>The Media         <ul> <li>The Media</li> <li>Technical experts and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development, camera, land use, etc.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Frequency/ Procedures         <ul> <li>Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Decision Making Process         <ul> <li>Consensus as needed</li> <li>Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		elc.)
• Technical experts and other SMES (Communications, Tr, software development, camera, land use, etc.)         Meeting Frequency/         Procedures         Decision Making Process         Communication         Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint		The Meula     Technical exports and other SMEs (Communications, IT, software development)
Meeting Frequency/ Procedures       Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.         Decision Making Process       Consensus as needed         Communication       Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint		• recificat experts and other SMES (Continunications, Tr, Software development, camora, land use, etc.)
Procedures       Call to order, topical conversation and updates as needed. Action items identified and assigned.         Decision Making Process       Consensus as needed         Communication       Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint	Meeting Frequency/	Minimum quarterly, more frequent meetings may be scheduled based on seasonal activity
Decision Making Process       Consensus as needed         Communication       Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint	Procedures	Call to order, topical conversation and undates as needed. Action items identified and
Decision Making Process         Consensus as needed           Communication         Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint		assianed.
Communication         Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint	Decision Making Process	Consensus as needed
Communication         Internal: Information sharing of status updates and new developments or topics. Joint		
internal information strating of status updates and new developments of topics. Joint	Communication	Internal: Information sharing of status undated and now developments or tenics. Joint
Expectations planning action items and documentation. Macting minutes	Expectations	nitematic information sharing or status updates and new developments or topics. Joint
Expectations planning action items and occurrentation. Weeting finitutes.		planning action items and uppendental and reporting documents developed and shared as
		needed
Committee Members Governor's Office Public Safety Agencies Fire Agencies Emergency Managers United	Committee Members	Governor's Office Public Safety Agencies Fire Agencies Emergency Managers United
States Forest Service, Rureau of Land Management, Statewide Interoperability, Tribal		States Forest Service. Bureau of Land Management. Statewide Interoperability Tribal

	Representation, Coordinator, Oregon Hazards Lab @ University of Oregon	
Co-Chairs	Selected from the representation of primary agencies, Oregon Department of Forestry and	
Executive Sponsorship	University of Oregon Hazards Lab.	
	Executive sponsorship: Governor's Wildfire Programs Director	
Co-Chair Terms	Agency representative or designee.	
Replacement of Co-Chair	If a Co-Chair position becomes vacant, the affected agency shall temporarily select a	
	replacement until a permanent replacement is determined.	