

Joint Task Force on Universal Health Care

Outstanding Design Element 6: Medicare

DRAFT

I. Goals of Medicare Outstanding Design Element (ODE)

Senate Bill 770 requires the Task Force to develop a universal plan that covers every Oregonian.¹ To do this, the Task Force must consider how to integrate Oregonians with other forms of coverage, including Medicare. Medicare is a federal program with limited state-level flexibility. The goals of this ODE are to:

1. Review components of the Medicare system and Oregon data, including dual eligibility and enrollment in Medicare Advantage plans;
2. Explore pathways to integrate Medicare-eligible Oregonians into Single Payer coverage;
3. Recommend that Medicare-eligible Oregonians be covered by the Single Payer to the extent permitted by statute and waiver authorities.

II. Background: How Medicare Operates

Medicare is a federal health insurance program for individuals age 65 and over or individuals under 65 who have a long-term disability, and/or have end-stage renal disease. Medicare was created by Congress in 1965 and at that time consisted of two components, which together are referred to as “original Medicare” and operated on a “fee-for-service” basis²:

- Part A: Hospital insurance
- Part B: Medical insurance (i.e., outpatient services)

Later legislation enabled the federal Medicare program to contract with public or private entities to offer health plan options for Medicare members as an alternative to original Medicare and to offer prescription drug benefits³:

- Part C: Medicare Advantage plans
- Part D: Prescription drug benefit

Today, eligible individuals may choose to enroll in Medicare Part A and B only or add a Medicare Advantage (MA) plan, in addition to Part A and B, for all Medicare benefits. MA plans require enrollment in Medicare Part A and B and then provide managed care (i.e., HMO, PPO), receiving capitated payments from CMS to cover Medicare services.⁴ MA plans may include supplemental benefits (dental, eyeglasses, or fitness), capped monthly premiums, and limits on out-of-pocket costs. While most beneficiaries choose original Medicare (58%) over MA (42%), enrollment in MA has increased over the last decade, nearly doubling.⁵

Additionally, some individuals are eligible for both Medicare and Medicaid, including Medicaid beneficiaries who age into the Medicare program, and individuals under 65 who qualify for both programs. These “dual eligibles” have access to some or all Medicare/Medicaid benefits.⁶

¹ Senate Bill 770 (2019); [Interim Status Report](#) (June 2021)

² CMS, [Original Medicare \(Part A and B\) Eligibility and Enrollment](#) (retrieved March 1, 2022).

³ CMS, [Health Plans- General Information](#) (retrieved March 1, 2022).

⁴ Kaiser Family Foundation (KFF), [Medicare Advantage Fact Sheet](#). (retrieved March 2, 2022)

⁵ Kaiser Family Foundation (KFF), [Medicare Advantage in 2021](#) (June 2021).

⁶ CMS, [Dually Eligible Individuals – Categories](#) (retrieved March 1, 2022).

III. Medicare in Oregon

Approximately 880,000 Oregonians are enrolled in Medicare,⁷ including 146,625 individuals who are dually eligible.⁸ Oregon’s Medicaid 1115 Waiver requires automatic enrollment of dual eligibles with Coordinated Care Organizations (CCOs).⁹ Among Medicare-only beneficiaries, nearly 49% are enrolled in Medicare Advantage plans, including those administered by CCOs.¹⁰

Table 1. Medicare Enrollment in Oregon, Feb. 2022¹¹

County	Eligible Population	MA Enrolled	MA Penetration	County	Eligible Population	MA Enrolled	MA Penetration
Baker	5,263	350	6.65%	Lake	2,382	207	8.69%
Benton	18,235	9,599	52.64%	Lane	92,128	54,571	59.23%
Clackamas	90,086	59,317	65.84%	Lincoln	17,670	4,722	26.72%
Clatsop	11,244	714	6.35%	Linn	30,637	17,939	58.55%
Columbia	12,656	7,324	57.87%	Malheur	6,346	1,644	25.91%
Coos	21,233	4,997	23.53%	Marion	67,245	42,362	63.00%
Crook	7,570	2,630	34.74%	Morrow	2,273	195	8.58%
Curry	9,644	1,638	16.98%	Multnomah	130,614	85,205	65.23%
Deschutes	48,628	17,665	36.33%	Polk	19,345	12,228	63.21%
Douglas	35,006	15,031	42.94%	Sherman	552	98	17.75%
Gilliam	579	41	7.08%	Tillamook	8,653	2,139	24.72%
Grant	2,343	440	18.78%	Umatilla	15,044	1,223	8.13%
Harney	2,100	151	7.19%	Union	6,656	729	10.95%
Hood River	4,635	1,277	27.55%	Wallowa	2,594	189	7.29%
Jackson	58,470	24,836	42.48%	Wasco	6,579	1,612	24.50%
Jefferson	5,722	2,157	37.70%	Washington	95,108	60,521	63.63%
Josephine	27,055	13,561	50.12%	Wheeler	516	95	18.41%
Klamath	18,370	6,266	34.11%	Yamhill	22,656	12,733	56.20%

IV. Federal Law, Medicare Waivers, and Single Payer Concepts

Congress codified Medicare’s eligibility, benefits, and funding mechanisms in the Social Security Act (SSA).¹² While the SSA gives CMS certain authority to waive statutory requirements, Medicare waivers are limited and mostly untested.¹³ No Medicare waiver allows a state to cover its Medicare-eligible population in a non-Medicare plan using federal funding.¹⁴ This scenario requires an act of Congress. Current federal limitations include but are not limited to:

- Budget neutrality for any state-based Medicare waiver proposal
- Preserving enrollee choices between original Medicare and Advantage plans
- Inability for CMS to block grant federal Medicare funding to states

To expand CMS’s authority to waive Medicare requirements **requires** Congressional action. Congress could allow CMS to make block grant payments to states for Medicare services or

⁷ KFF, [Total Number of Medicare Beneficiaries](#) (retrieved March 1, 2022).

⁸ CMS, [MMCO Statistical & Analytic Reports](#), (retrieved March 2, 2022)

⁹ Oregon Health Authority. [Duals Automated Enrollment Implementation](#) (March 8, 2018).

¹⁰ KFF, [Medicare Advantage in 2021](#) (June 2021) at Table 6.

¹¹ CMS, [Monthly Enrollment by Contract/Plan/State/County](#) (February 2022).

¹² Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq (2021).

¹³ Lindsay F. Wiley, [Medicaid for All?](#) Ohio State Univ. Law Rev. (2018) at 879.

¹⁴ Lanhee J. Chen and James C. Capretta, [Current Federal Health Care Waiver Authorities Will Not Pave the Way for the New York Health Act](#) (January 2020).

relax requirements for Medicare Advantage to allow state offered MA plans to fully absorb Medicare. In these scenarios, states could enroll Medicare-eligible residents in a comprehensive plan and receive corresponding federal funds. Federal legislation is necessary to expand Medicare innovation and allow Oregon to move toward a true single payer system.

Without new federal legislation, existing waiver authority may allow for incremental, partial integration of Medicare-eligible residents into a single payer plan (see Table 2).¹⁵ While the available flexibilities allow for some innovation, there is no obvious pathway or clear authority to enable a state to combine multiple revenue streams into a single plan.

Table 2. Federal Medicare Waivers¹⁶

Authority	Description	Limitations
SEC. 402 OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT (SSA), ^[42 U.S.C. § 1395b-1]	CMS may approve demonstration projects, including through grants or contracts awarded to public agencies, to experiment with new Medicare payment and reimbursement systems.	Does not provide for states to alter the choices available to Medicare beneficiaries. No block grant to states. Requires ongoing approval.
SEC. 1115A OF THE SSA [42 U.S. Code § 1315a]	Establishes the Center for Medicare & Medicaid Innovation (CMMI) to support state demonstrations. Specifically allows states to “test and evaluate systems of all-payer payment reform.”	Requires innovations to achieve budget neutrality. No block grant to states. Requires ongoing approval.
SECTION 1332 OF THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT ^[42 U.S. Code § 18052]	Allows one application for waivers available under SSA and ACA.	Does not change or expand authority under Sections 402 to 1115A of SSA.
SECTION 1814(B) OF THE SSA ^[42 U.S.C. § 1395f]	Establishes requirements for Medicare payment to hospitals. Exempts Maryland from federal requirements.	Applies only to Maryland.

The authorities delegated by Congress to CMS create pathways to Medicare integration into a single payer design along with obstacles. Two existing Medicare demonstrations, in Maryland and Vermont, braid federal Medicare payments together with public and private funding; however they do not alter coverage or benefits for enrollees, and the results are mixed.¹⁷ Section 1332 of the Affordable Care Act allows states to use one application to waive requirements of various federal programs, but does not expand flexibility. A novel approach put forward by scholars, state-sponsored Medicare Advantage, would not require a waiver, and may allow for incremental absorption of Medicare-eligible Oregonians into its Single Payer.

¹⁵ *Id.*

¹⁶ *Id.* See Chart 3.

¹⁷ See Ezekiel Emanuel et al, [Meaningful Value-Based Payment Reform](#), Health Affairs (February 25, 2022); *but see* Adam Atherly et al, [Despite Early Success, Vermont’s All-Payer Waiver Faces Persistent Implementation Challenges](#), Health Affairs (January 25, 2021).

Maryland: Uniform Rate-Setting

Maryland has statutory authority and a longstanding agreement with CMS to set hospital rates, including for Medicare enrollees.¹⁸ Under Maryland's all payer model, an independent state entity sets hospital rates. All payers (including Medicare) are charged the same rate for the same service at the same hospital. Recently, Maryland initiated a new agreement with CMS to limit the per capital cost of Medicare in Maryland; this effort includes incentive payments to hospitals and other health system partners, including primary care providers, to reduce costs while maintaining or improving quality.¹⁹

Some features of Maryland's approach could align with Oregon's Single Payer. The Task Force has recommended that the Single Payer set rates for providers and global budgets for hospitals. However, efforts to level rates across provider types in Oregon could increase Medicare costs, which would run afoul of statutory budget neutrality requirements. Additionally, any rate-setting mechanism for Medicare requires ongoing CMS approval.

Vermont: All-Payer Accountable Care Organizations

In 2016, Vermont received a CMS waiver to enable "accountable care organizations" (ACOs) to combine payments from Medicare and other payers and then align payments and quality measures for providers.²⁰ Provider participation in Vermont is optional.

Oregon's Coordinated Care Organizations (CCOs) could serve as ACOs and advise both the Single Payer and Medicare on value-based payments. If Oregon achieves savings like Vermont,²¹ it could level pay across providers and work to achieve budget neutrality. However, CMS has yet to approve a state-wide demonstration requiring of all payers to participate in ACOs.

An emerging demonstration offered by CMS, the Realizing Equity, Access, and Community Health (REACH) model, encourages ACOs for Medicare that emphasize underserved communities.²² This concept aligns with Oregon's existing health equity efforts and those described in Task Force recommendations related to Social Determinants of Health (SDOH).

Coordinated Application for Waiver of SSA Requirements

Section 1332 of the Affordable Care Act allows states to use one application to apply for all waivers to federal health program requirements (including the marketplace, Medicaid, Medicare, CHIP, etc.).²³ Section 1332 does not change or expand requirements that may be waived under other sections of federal law,²⁴ including Sections 402 (Medicare) and 1115A (Medicaid) of the SSA. Oregon's Section 1332 waiver, one of 23 approved by CMS, provides narrow authority for a state reinsurance program to stabilize rates for marketplace plans.²⁵

¹⁸ 42 U.S.C. 1395f (2021). See also, CMS, [Maryland All Payer Model](#) (retrieved March 2, 2022).

¹⁹ CMS, [Maryland Total Cost of Care Model](#) (retrieved March 2, 2022).

²⁰ CMS, [Vermont All Payer ACO Model](#) (retrieved March 2, 2022).

²¹ Sai Loganathan, [Evaluation of the Vermont All-Payer Accountable Care Organization Model](#) at 64 (August 2021) (identifying a reduction in Medicare Parts A & B spending of 5.5 percent).

²² CMS, [Accountable Care Organization \(ACO\) Realizing Equity, Access, and Community Health \(REACH\) Model](#), (retrieved March 18, 2022).

²³ John E. McDonough, [Wyden's Waiver: State Innovation on Steroids](#), J Health Polit Policy Law (2014).

²⁴ CMS, [Section 1332: State Innovation Waivers](#) (Retrieved March 14, 2020).

²⁵ State of Oregon, [Oregon 1332 Draft Waiver Application](#), Department of Consumer and Business Services (2017).

Although Section 1332 does **not** change or expand requirements that may be waived, a coordinated application could allow Oregon to seek flexibilities related to Medicare alongside other state programs. For example, with one waiver application, Oregon could expand dual eligibility to increase the percentage of individuals whose Medicare benefits are supplemented by the state. However, because Medicare has no income criteria for eligibility, the state would need novel permissions from CMS. Additionally, a Section 1332-based strategy to integrate Medicare-eligible Oregonians faces the same limitations as other waiver-based strategies. It would require both budget neutrality and approval from CMS every five years, making Oregon's Single Payer perpetually subject to review by incoming federal administrations.

State-Sponsored Medicare Advantage (No existing example)

Scholars have theorized that a state-sponsored Medicare Advantage plan could compete with private Medicare Advantage plans or replace them entirely.²⁶ A state-sponsored MA plan could receive payment from CMS for coverage of Parts A and B²⁷ and then supplement MA benefits to align with the Single Payer plan. The state-sponsored MA plan could induce enrollment by leveraging its market advantages to offer more benefits with less cost-sharing.

To avoid conflict with federal statutes, the state would not be able to restrict or "freeze out" competing MA plans.²⁸ Instead, the state's MA plan would need to compete with other MA offerings to be chosen by Medicare-eligible Oregonians. Some MA enrollees may prefer continuity over the more robust benefits of a state plan. In this sense, a state-sponsored MA plan may allow for phased-in integration with the Single Payer. Without restricting enrollee choice, the state MA plan could offer more benefits at lower cost, improving coverage for enrollees.

Any state-sponsored MA plan would face significant challenges. MA plans must be approved by CMS, meaning that CMS must determine that it has authority from Congress to approve a state-sponsored plan. If approved, the state plan would need to resolve additional considerations, including: the cost to the state to provide supplementary benefits; coverage for enrollees who travel out of state; private supplemental plans to fill in any coverage gaps; and the impact and interplay of various MA plans on provider networks, among other considerations.

Medicare "Carve-Out" & Wraparound Services

Given the limits of Medicare waivers, in a fallback scenario, Medicare enrollees would remain in original Medicare and Medicare Advantage plans -- as other Oregonians join the Single Payer.²⁹ In this scenario, Medicare and Medicare Advantage plans continue to contract with providers who would also be subject to certain Single Payer provider requirements.

If private Medicare plans continue to cover Oregonians, several policy considerations emerge. The state will need to consider the impact on provider networks if reimbursement rates vary between Medicare and the Single Payer. For Medicare enrollees, the state will need to consider whether people with out-of-pocket medical costs should also contribute via payroll tax, income tax, or sales tax. The state could provide additional services to Medicare beneficiaries (e.g., mental health or dental care), reimburse out-of-pocket costs, or provide tax credits or exemptions.

²⁶ See Wiley at 880; Chen and Capretta at 11.

²⁷ 42 U.S. Code § 1395w-21(i)(1) (2021).

²⁸ See 42 CFR § 422.402. State restrictions on MA plans are preempted by federal law, which provides for competition and enrollee choice among MA plans.

²⁹ See Chen and Capretta at 6 (in Vermont's plan, Medicare and MA plans continued in the state).

Any implications for Oregonians who are dually eligible will need to be addressed. Since Oregon’s Medicaid 1115 Waiver requires automatic enrollment in CCO plans, it is likely that dual eligibles would be included in the Single Payer plan along with other Medicaid-eligible Oregonians, though additional waiver authority may be required.

V. Task Force Recommendations

The Task Force recommends that Medicare-eligible Oregonians will be covered by the Single Payer to the extent permitted by federal law and authority.

Implementation Guidance: Inclusion of Medicare-eligible Oregonians will depend on Congressional action and/or CMS approval. The Task Force recommends that the Board consider the following approaches, prioritizing those that allow the best chance to fully integrate Medicare:

1. *Act of Congress:* Federal action to expand Medicare waiver authority and/or innovation to allow the Single Payer to cover Medicare-eligible Oregonians with corresponding funding from CMS to support comprehensive benefits;
2. *Medicare Advantage:* State-sponsored plan available to Medicare-eligible Oregonians with supplementary benefits mirroring the Single Payer plan;
3. *Waiver:* CMS approval for the state to use demonstrations and other innovations to provide benefits to Medicare-eligible Oregonians through mixed funding streams;
4. *Wraparound Services:* The Single Payer provides specified services, such as behavioral health or dental care, to Oregonians who remain in Medicare. Oregonians with Medicare may also be exempt from certain taxes, eligible for tax credits, and/or reimbursed for medical expenses.

Table 3. Medicare/Single Payer Scenarios

<u>Considerations</u>	Act of Congress	Waiver/Medicare Advantage	Medicare + Wraparound Svcs.
Approval	Congress	CMS	None needed
Federal Funding	CMS pays state to cover people eligible for Medicare	CMS negotiates to pay state pursuant to rate-setting, ACO, or MA agreement	CMS directly reimburses providers and MA Plans for Parts A & B
State Funding	Additional state funding needed for comprehensive plan	Additional state funding needed for comprehensive plan	State funds any additional services (e.g. dental, MH)
Covered Benefits	Full coverage under Single Payer plan	Medicare plus wraparound benefits to align with SP plan	CMS benefit structure; specified state benefits.
Enrollee Participation	Mandatory	Optional	Optional
Enrollment Choice	Could eliminate	Preserves	Preserves
Private Carriers	No longer exist	Restricts	Allows private MA
Cost-sharing	No cost-sharing	No cost-sharing	Yes
Provider Reimbursement	Fixed reimbursement set by Single Payer	Rate-setting and global budgets	Outside of Single Payer purview