



Oregon

Kate Brown, Governor

Department of Forestry

State Forester's Office

2600 State St.

Salem, OR, 97310

503-945-7200

www.oregon.gov/ODF

January 12, 2022

The Honorable Senator Jeff Golden, Chair
Senate Interim Committee on Natural Resources and Wildfire Recovery
900 Court Street NE
H-178 State Capitol
Salem, OR 97301-4048

Oregon Department of Forestry—SB 762 Landscape Resiliency Program Report

Dear Chair Golden:

Senate Bill 762 (SB 762) Section 20 requires the Oregon Department of Forestry to report on its progress in carrying out projects under section 18—Reduction of Wildfire Risk. Section 18, in part, requires the department to “design and implement a program to reduce wildfire risk through the restoration of landscape resiliency and the reduction of hazardous fuel on public and private forestlands and rangelands and in communities near homes and critical infrastructure.” The bill also provides \$20 million of General Fund appropriation to fund projects in the 2021–23 biennium.

Program design

Following the conclusion of the 2021 fire season—which pulls in resources from throughout the department delaying work not related to fire suppression—the Forestland and Rangeland Restoration and Resiliency Investment Program Work Group was convened to gather input on the design of the Landscape Resiliency Program (LRP) and development of the grant selection criteria. The group includes the types of organizations identified in SB 762 Section 18 (1)(c):

“When developing program and project selection criteria, the department shall, to the extent practicable, consult and cooperate with state and federal agencies, counties, cities and other units of local government, federally recognized Indian tribes in this state, public and private forestland and rangeland owners, forest and rangeland collaboratives and other relevant community organizations and ensure consistency with the priorities described in subsection (3) of this section.”

A list of work group members, technical advisors, and staff is available in Appendix (A). The work group includes a diverse group of members representing all facets of Oregon's values. Members may be near potential landscapes, regularly participate in restoration work, or have a vested interest in the opportunities the grant program provides. Member expertise ranges from policy development, designing federal grant programs, implementing nationwide restoration projects and partnerships,

ecological conservation, federal forest management, structural and wildfire response, forest industry, and workforce capacity, among others. Together, the work group members reviewed, edited, and discussed draft versions of the request for proposals and the grant proposal document. Work group members were encouraged to engage their peers and seek feedback from a broad spectrum of interested parties not represented on the work group. Work group members considered technical expertise including best available science, data layers, maps and effective grant program approaches currently applied in other states. The department will invite the same participants to review and score project proposals.

The final criteria developed by the work group and grant application process are described in the request for proposals document (Appendix B) and the *LRP Grant Instructions* (Appendix C). They outline the criteria specified in SB 762 and additional criteria as determined by the work group to provide priority to lands in the highest risk classes (Appendix D), but also allow for selection of landscapes that are ready for treatment in communities.

Project proposals

The request for proposals for the Landscape Resiliency Program was issued November 17, 2021. To ensure the time necessary to prepare adequate project proposals, the solicitation period remained open until January 7, 2022. ODF will review initial proposals to ensure they meet all outlined criteria (Appendix B). The work group will review, score, and rank proposals received. The work group will make a recommendation to the department on what projects the department should fund through the LRP. ODF will provide an opportunity for public input on the work group's recommendations of projects and landscape selection. ODF will make final project selections after review of all input received. Applicants will begin receiving notification of award selection in late January, after which contracts will be drafted and reviewed by the Oregon Department of Justice.

The initial proposals were reviewed by ODF staff, and 19 proposals met the established criteria. Those proposals are requesting a total of \$36,857,928 in project funding. The projects submitted propose 176,295 acres of fuel treatments across the state which includes thinning, pruning, mastication, brush piling, chipping and pile burning, understory prescribed fire, mowing, and herbicide treatment. Other project outcomes include planning, outreach, and education in communities and monitoring projects with before-and-after photos, surveys, and GIS data collection. A total of \$23,409,786 of matching funds from over 90 federal, state, county, city and other local governments, and non-governmental organizations were included with the proposals. Work group recommendations will be limited to the \$20 million allocated for this program. An overview of proposals received is provided in Appendix E, *Landscape Resiliency Program Summary of Initial Proposals*. A list of submitted proposals, scores, and projects selected for funding will be posted to the ODF website.

Outreach and coordination

Work group meetings were announced to the public and recorded and published on [ODF's YouTube channel](#) so members of the public had access to the work group's discussions and insights into how the grant selection criteria were developed.

The Landscape Resiliency Program has a dedicated webpage on the [department's website](#). Meeting agendas and materials were posted ahead of work group meetings and videos and summaries were posted after the meetings. The meeting series was publicly noticed prior to the first meeting.

Prior to issuance of the request for proposals, the public was invited to provide input through our ODF website, email, and during a virtual public meeting on October 25, 2021, which included an hour-long Q&A session. There were over 100 attendees. After the request for proposal was issued there was a two-hour virtual applicant workshop held on November 29, 2021. There were nearly 80 attendees.

Initial recommendations

Landscape identification and project selection in future biennium should be informed by:

- the 20-year strategic plan currently under development as directed by SB 762, Section 18(2);
- incorporate the new statewide wildfire risk map currently under development as directed by SB 762, Section 7;
- additional considerations including climate change, inclusion of local forest-based economies and capacities; and
- social impacts including populations disproportionately affected by wildfire.

Please accept this report as the department's efforts to fully meet the intent of SB 762, Section 20 and express our unwavering commitment to protecting our communities and natural resources from wildfire.

Sincerely,



Cal Mukumoto

State Forester, Oregon Department of Forestry

c: Doug Grafe, Wildfire Programs Director, Office of Governor Kate Brown
Mark Bennett, Chair, Wildfire Programs Advisory Council

Attachments—Landscape Resiliency Program Work Group members (Appendix A)
Landscape Resiliency Program Request for Proposals (Appendix B)
Landscape Resiliency Program Grant Instructions (Appendix C)
Strategic landscapes map (Appendix D)
Landscape Resiliency Program Summary of Initial Proposals (Appendix E)

Landscape Resiliency Program

Work Group members

(Appendix A)



Name	Organization/title
Chris Chambers	Ashland Fire
Amanda Astor	Association of Oregon Loggers
Dallas Hall Defrees	Baker sage-grouse LIT Coordinator
Jason Simmons	Bureau of Land Management
Andrew Owen	Natural Resource Conservation Service
Emily Jane Davis	Oregon State University
Chandra LeGue	Oregon Wild
Dylan Kruse	Sustainable Northwest
Pete Caligiuri	The Nature Conservancy
Dana Skelly	United States Forest Service

Technical Advisors

Name	Organization/title
Jason Pettigrew	ODF Stewardship Forester
Colby Drake	Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde
Mari Kramer	Confederated Tribes of the Siletz Indians
Chris Dunn	Oregon State University
Eric Hartstien	Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board

ODF Staff

Name	Title
Ryan Gordon	Planning Branch Director
Jeff Burns	Landscape Resiliency Program Coordinator
Alex Rahmlow	Small Forestland Grant Program Lead
Al DeVos	Grant Programs Coordinator
Megan Ehnle	Executive Support
Derek Gasperini	SB 762 Project Coordinator

**Oregon Department of Forestry - Request for Proposals
for
Senate Bill 762 Landscape Resiliency Program**

Overview

The Oregon Dept. of Forestry (ODF) is implementing an investment program to reduce wildfire risk on public and private forestlands and rangelands, within communities, and near homes and critical infrastructure with a focus on forest and rangeland restoration and landscape resiliency treatments.

The State Legislature has allocated \$20 million to work collaboratively across boundaries to continue Oregon's Shared Stewardship approach for implementing land management activities that improve community resilience to wildfire and restore or maintain resilient landscapes **across all land ownerships**.

Funds will be allocated for work performed in the current 2021-2023 biennium (running July 1, 2021, through June 30, 2023). Project work **MUST BE COMPLETED BY JUNE 30, 2023**, not just obligated in contracts or agreements. Any work completed after June 30, 2023, will NOT be reimbursed even if the initial project budget has not been expended in full. However, invoicing and reimbursements may occur after June 30, 2023, for completed work.

Submit Project Proposals to: Odf.RESTORATION@oregon.gov with the Subject Line "Funding Request to the Landscape Resiliency Program".

All applications must be submitted to the email above to the Oregon Department of Forestry by 5 pm PST on Friday, January 7, 2022.

Eligibility

Landscape scale projects that are eligible to receive funding must focus on implementation of ($\geq 70\%$) costs. A portion of project costs must include evaluation and/or monitoring ($<10\%$) and may include ($<20\%$) of planning for work to be completed by June 30, 2023:

Implementation: Implementation is to be focused on forest and rangeland restoration and resiliency projects across all public or private lands. Implementation is on the ground treatments that reduce wildfire risk and hazardous fuel reduction improve forest or rangeland health or provide resiliency to fire events.

Planning, evaluation, and monitoring: Planning, monitoring and evaluation or other analysis that help develop and report on forest or rangeland projects that meet program criteria.

Program rules

- Not less than 70% of the landscape scale project costs will be allocated to wildfire risk and hazardous fuel reduction to improve forest or rangeland health or provide resiliency to fire events.
- Each application is **strongly** encouraged to meet a 75% grant fund/ 25% matching fund ratio. Applications that include a match will be prioritized. Match can include cash and/or "in-kind" contributions.
- The grant request is a minimum of \$300,000.

- Projects may not include equipment purchases totaling over \$15,000.
- The application must be submitted and managed by a local, state, Tribal or federal government entity or non-profit such as a watershed council, forest/rangeland collaborative or association that can provide oversight.
- The grant request must be submitted on a current 2021 Competitive Grant Application template (attached).
- Projects must incorporate the best available science describing proactive restoration and wildfire risk reduction strategies and tools.
- Any anticipated project income must be stated clearly in the budget and budget narrative and may be used as match or reinvested into the project with a clear deliverable identified (additional acres treated, additional outreach material, etc.) before project closeout of June 30, 2023. Project income that cannot be reinvested will result in a reduction of the General Fund award and will go back to the treasury.
- All activities taking place on private, or state land must comply with the Forest Practices Act (ORS 527.610 to 527.770, 527.990 (1) and 527.992), including work within riparian management areas or other zones with a protected or sensitive status (e.g., wetlands, protected bird sites) and known locations of species listed under the Federal or Oregon Endangered Species Act lists, unless accompanied by a written plan for alternate practice.

Implementation Treatments (not all inclusive):

- At least 70% of project funds must be spent on treatments that reduce wildfire risk and hazardous fuel to improve forest or rangeland health or provide resiliency to fire events
- Fuel breaks that may or may not include roadside treatments
- Mechanical and non-mechanical forest and rangeland fuels reduction that may include removal of slash through piling and burning, chipping, mulching, grinding, etc.
- Prescribed fire preparation and/ or implementation (Projects should have a contingency plan if burn window is unsuccessful)
- Invasive species treatment / Native species planting
- Road maintenance necessary to the project may be allowed, but must be stated clearly in the budget and budget narrative with a clear deliverable identified (additional acres treated, additional fire line constructed, etc.) Maintenance may also be used as match.

Planning, Evaluation and Monitoring Activities (not all inclusive):

- Up to 30% of project costs may be expended on planning, evaluation and monitoring
- Projects that evaluate the effectiveness of fuel treatments and produce findings before July 2023
- Strategic cross-boundary wildfire response analyses from fuel reduction activities
- Projects evaluating local landowner readiness to engage in fuel reduction activities across Oregon
- Engage in monitoring of the projects to produce useful information on which to base recommendations to the Legislative Assembly
- A component of planning may include public outreach and education DIRECTLY related to the proposed treatment that is deemed necessary for public and nearby landowner buy-in for project success.

Ineligible Projects (not all inclusive):

- Preparedness and suppression capacity building, such as purchase of fire department equipment (alternatively, consider VFA, DHS, and FEMA grant programs)
- Small business start-up funding
- GIS and database systems
- Construction/infrastructure (building remodel, bridges, road construction, water development)
- Educational materials that don't directly relate to project implementation
- commercial thinning on U.S. Forest Service or Bureau of Land Management lands in the following categories:
 - Inventoried roadless areas.
 - Riparian reserves identified in the Northwest Forest Plan or in federal Bureau of Land Management resource management plans.
 - Late successional reserves, except to the extent consistent with the 2011 United States Fish and Wildlife Service Revised Recovery Plan for the Northern Spotted Owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina*).
 - Areas protected under the federal Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, national recreation areas, national monuments or areas protected under ORS 390.805 to 390.925 as a state scenic waterway.
 - Designated critical habitat for species listed as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 or by the State Fish and Wildlife Commission under ORS 496.172, unless commercial thinning is already allowed under an existing environmental review or recognized habitat recovery plan.
 - Federally designated areas of critical environmental concern or a federally designated wilderness study.

Criteria for Project Selection

Projects will be awarded based on reviewing, scoring, and ranking applications using the selection criteria below by the Landscape Resiliency Program Work Group. Projects that meet multiple criteria will rank higher.

Projects will score and rank as higher priority when they are:

- On lands in the four highest eNVC risk classes identified in the United States Forest Service report titled "Pacific Northwest Quantitative Wildfire Risk Assessment: Methods and Results" and dated April 9, 2018. Link [here](#):
- Inclusive of, or directly adjacent to federal lands, with treatment projects currently approved under the National Environmental Policy Act.
- Focus on treatments protective of human life, property, critical infrastructure, watershed health and forest or rangeland habitat restoration.
- Part of a collaborative partnership with agreements across diverse forestland or rangeland stakeholders that use an expansive, landscape-scale approach to address underlying causes of poor wildfire resilience and elevated risk of wildfire or that establish innovative approaches to addressing the underlying causes that could be implemented on a larger scale.

Applications should, to the extent practicable, design projects to:

- Show innovation and evaluate varying types of fuel treatments.
- Demonstrate success in reducing fuels, increasing fire resiliency, and protecting communities.
- Leverage the collective power of public-private partnerships and federal and state funding, including the coordination of funding to support collaborative initiatives that address the underlying causes of elevated forestland and rangeland wildfire risk across ownerships.
- Optimize the receipt of federal government investments that equal or exceed department investments.
- Involve existing forest-based and range-based contracting entities.
- Complement programs and projects of the **Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board** or other state agencies as needed.
- Involve the **Oregon Conservation Corps Program** to the maximum extent possible, for community protection projects located in the wildland-urban interface.
- Enhance opportunities for collaboration from stakeholders holding a wide variety of perspectives regarding forest and rangeland management and provide opportunities for significant involvement by communities in proximity to project sites
- Contribute to a [Shared Stewardship](#) approach that crosses multiple jurisdictional boundaries.
- Expand on existing agreements such as Good Neighbor Authority (GNA), Joint Chiefs Projects, Collaborative Forest Landscape Restoration Program (CFLRP), OWEB Focused Investment Partnership or leverage existing wildfire risk reduction projects.
- Have an established plan for implementing proposed activities before June 30th, 2023.

The Oregon Department of Forestry prioritizes Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) and has articulated our commitment in our Agency Vision, Values and Goals. For more information click [here](#).

The **Landscape Resiliency Program** is seeking innovative approaches and opportunities to commit resources to landscape scale projects that include traditionally underserved, socially vulnerable or historically marginalized communities.

Submission and Review Process

- Applicants should work with relevant state and federal agencies when developing project proposals. We also encourage applicants to work with ODF field staff and other local partners when developing project proposals.
- Eligible projects will be prioritized for funding based on the selection criteria above. Partial funding of proposals will be considered. The grant request must be submitted on a current 2021 Competitive Grant Application template which includes a budget work sheet and map of project area (attached).

Submit Project Proposals to: Odf.RESTORATION@oregon.gov with the Subject Line “Funding Request to Forest and Rangeland Restoration and Resiliency Program”

All applications must be submitted to the email above to the Oregon Department of Forestry by 5 pm PST on Friday, January 7, 2022.

Applicant workshop available Monday, November 29, 2021, from 6 – 8 pm via Zoom.
<https://odf.zoom.us/j/93683320519>

Timeline for Projects

January 7, 2022	Project Proposals Due
January 24, 2022	Award notifications begin
October 31, 2022	Interim Reporting Due
June 30, 2023	All project expenses incurred
July 31, 2023	Reporting Items Due

Program Contacts:

P&P Program Contacts	Phone	E-mail	Program Area
Jeff Burns	503.945.7346	jeff.d.burns@oregon.gov	Program Manager SB 762
Alex Rahmlow	458.201.1174	alex.j.rahmlow@oregon.gov	Small Forestland Grant Program
Megan Ehnle	503.991.8314	Megan.D.EHNLE@oregon.gov	Administrative Support

- **Box 5 - Describe the Project- Does the application clearly describe the challenges and issues that articulate why the project is important?**
Clearly defined = 5 Not defined = 0
- **Box 6 - Planning Linkages- Does the application clearly link or tie the project to an existing Community Wildfire Protection Plan and is the project consistent with the state Forest Action Plan(s) (Enhancing, Protecting and or Conserving)?**
Clearly defined = 5 Not defined = 0
- **Box 7 - Project Activities- Does the application clearly define what the project is and what the project proposes to do? Does the application clearly define how the project will be accomplished, including identifying measurable outcomes? (Are the proposed activities clear and achievable, goals defined, outcomes measurable, # of acres treated, # of education/outreach programs, Planning, evaluation, and monitoring efforts clearly described etc.)**
Clearly defined = 10 Not defined = 0
- **Box 8 - Cross Boundary Opportunities- Does the application clearly define the scale of the project including relationships with past, present, or future projects that, when combined, offer more benefits than when taken individually? Is landscape that the project influences clearly described?**
Clearly defined = 5 Not defined = 0
- **Box 9 - Collaboration- Does the application clearly define collaborative elements including multiple partners, agencies, landowners, communities? Are the collective efforts of collaborators well described?**
Clearly defined = 5 Not defined = 0
- **Box 10 - Project Timeline- Does the application clearly described the timeline to implement the project? Does the timeline include milestones, seasonal influences, and/ or ways to measure progress?**
Clearly defined = 5 Not defined = 0
- **Box 11 – Diversity, Equity and Inclusion – Does the project describe the earnest effort to seek innovative approaches and opportunities to commit resources to landscape scale projects that include traditionally underserved, socially vulnerable or historically marginalized communities? Does the project clearly articulate how the project may accomplish and evaluate this effort and/ or ways to measure progress?**
Clearly defined = 5 Not defined = 0

Application Guidelines:


- Application guidelines by box number: (All boxes must be filled in on the application. If a box does not apply to your project fill in that space with NA.)
 - **Box 1-** Proposal Applicant is the entity who is submitting the project proposal.

- **Box 2- GIS Coordinates:** Include either a reference point or boundary points for the project, which will be included on a map associated with success stories. Leave fields blank that are not used-do not put NA. See example below on how to enter data into the application. **Coordinate data must be in WGS84 datum.**

GIS Coordinates	
Ref. Point Name:	Tri-County General Area
Lat/Long:	40.000, -100.000
Description:	The areas being addressed are in the Tri-County region of ...
Ref. Point Name:	
Lat/Long:	
Description:	
3 Area Name:	Phase I
Boundary Lat/Longs:	40.000, -100.000; 39.983, -99.050; 39.500, -99.075, 39.975, -100.050
Description:	The first phase addresses private land holdings in this area
Area Name:	
Boundary Lat/Longs:	
Description:	

Reference Point: A reference point displays a single marker and its name on the map. This can be used for indicating a general region without specifying exact boundaries. The reference point name, lat/long, and description are all **required** fields for a point. Lat/long should be entered in the following format: 39.0000, -104.300

Area: An area defines a region with a boundary defined by straight lines. At least 3 points are needed to define an area. There can be up to 7 lat/longs. The diagram below shows how to specify the corners for an area and the associated coordinate list to be entered into the application. The area name, boundary lat/longs, and description are all **required** fields for an area.



- **Box 3 - Match** includes personnel hours valued at a reasonable rate, donated labor/equipment, etc., and hard match is actual dollars spent within the specified scope of

work. Indirect costs must be tied to an established rate and the source needs to be described in the narrative (Box 4). Waived indirect costs are an acceptable source of match.

- **Box 4-** The budget narrative must describe how the grant funds will be spent. Give specific details for each grant expenditure item in Box 3 (i.e., personnel/labor, fringe benefits, travel, equipment, supplies, contractual, other, and indirect costs). Explain exactly how grant dollars will be spent and how these expenditures tie directly to the project goals and objectives.
- **Box 5-** Describe the project area and challenges. Describe the relationship between project components: Implementation and planning, evaluation and monitoring. Applicants must give an overview of the project area, identify the hazards that exist and clearly show the need for work in this area. Be specific when describing challenges or obstacles that will need to be addressed for the project to be successful. When describing the implementation component of the project, describe the fuel and /or vegetation types. When describing the planning, evaluation and monitoring components be clear on how these will help develop and report on forest or rangeland projects that meet program criteria.

It is important to define the problems and challenges so when you get to Box 7 you are clearly stating how the funding will be used to address the challenges in this box.

- **Box 6-** Describe the relationship to the [Forest Action Plan](#) and to a local [CWPP](#). Must clearly describe how the project fits into the broad goals of a Forest Action Plan (Enhancing, Protecting and or Conserving) and its connection to a CWPP goals and objectives. It is important to describe how the project accomplishes the goals of these planning documents.
- **Box 7-** Clearly describe each proposed activities and include where and what will be occurring (i.e., fuel break along the fence line, prescribed fire activity, tree crown spacing, reestablishing native fire adapted species, etc.). The description must include measurables and how the project will be accomplished. Grant funds should be tied to the activities. Unlike the overview, this will provide the specific details of the project using measurable units where applicable. Treatment prescriptions and measures of success should be clearly stated. For planning, evaluation and monitoring activities; the audience, methods, deliverables and measures of success should be clearly stated.
- **Box 8-** Describe the cross-boundary landscape this project influences. Show how the project will have an impact beyond individual treatments and improve forest and/or rangeland restoration and resiliency on a landscape scale. For example, a project around a community may compliment a Forest Service project or vice versa. Give specifics on how this project will tie into the larger picture of the Landscape Resiliency Program. For a planning component, explain how your project compliments or enhances those by other partners and/or ties into a greater goal. Explain, the who, what, when, where, why, and how of its anticipated impacts.
- **Box 9-** Describe the contributions each partner makes to the project by stating the collaborating partners and how they are contributing to the projects scope of work. This box should describe the overall participation rolled up into the budget.
- **Box 10-** The Project Timeline must include such things as: begin/end dates, milestones, quarterly or seasonal targets, etc.

- **Box 11-** Describe the earnest effort to seek innovative approaches and opportunities to commit resources to landscape scale projects that include traditionally underserved, socially vulnerable or historically marginalized communities. Please articulate how the project accomplishes and evaluates this effort. Examples: project design, mitigates impacts to said communities, and project location.

Application Due Dates:

All applications must be submitted to the email above to the Oregon Department of Forestry by 5 pm PST on Friday, January 7, 2022.

Expected Net Value Change


Summed value

- Class 1 (-1,052.967163 - -480)
- Class 2 (>-480 - -240)
- Class 3 (>-240 - -120)
- Class 4 (>-120 - -60)
- Classes 5 - 8 (>-60 - 42.91)

Housing Units Present

Value

- No
- Yes



Landscape Resiliency Program

Summary of Project Proposals

received by January 7, 2022

(Appendix E)



Project name	Proposing organization	Acres for treatment	Grant funds requested	Match funds	Project completion date
Klamath-Lake All-Lands Resiliency	Klamath-Lake Forest Health Partnership	11,401	\$4,519,121	\$1,797,794	June, 2023
Central Oregon Shared Stewardship Landscape Resiliency Project	Deschutes County	52,441	\$6,257,878	\$7,589,762	June, 2023
Ashland Forest All-lands Restoration	City of Ashland	585	\$445,500	\$133,031	June, 2023
Southeast Oregon Wildfire Resiliency Project	High Desert Partnership	66,455	\$5,000,151	\$1,847,452	Spring, 2023
Landscape Resiliency in the Upper Applegate Watershed	Lomakatsi Restoration Project	350	\$701,210.83	\$1,531,382	June, 2023
West Bear All-Lands Restoration	Lomakatsi Restoration Project	2,000	\$3,515,069	\$1,961,382	June, 2023
Lower Rogue Oak Resiliency Project	Cascade Pacific Resource Conservation & Development	1,014	\$829,643	\$370,000	June, 2023
Butte Falls Rural Communities Wildfire Mitigation Project	Jackson Soil & Water Conservation District	1,050	\$1,069,675	\$384,192	June, 2023
Upper Crooked River Resilience Partnership	The Nature Conservancy	3,182	\$2,828,610	\$2,910,984	Q3 2023
Yew Creek Landscape Resiliency Project	Yew Creek Land Alliance	208	\$552,246	\$188,524	Q2 2023
Prescott Park Resiliency Project	City of Medford	325	\$81,000	\$81,000	Feb, 2023
Happy Valley Landscape Project	City of Happy Valley	5	\$300,000	\$105,000	Oct, 2022
SWO Fire Adapted	ODF Southwest Oregon District	230	\$562,518	\$189,687	June, 2023
South Coast Gorse and Fuels Reduction	Curry Soil and Water Conservation District	745	\$1,230,195	\$685,486	Fall 2022
Laurel Butte Landscape Resiliency Project	South Willamette Solutions	108	\$354,000	\$245,628	Spring 2023

Landscape Resiliency Program

Summary of Project Proposals

received by January 7, 2022

(Appendix E)



Northeastern Oregon Fire Risk Reduction and Resiliency	Wallowa Resources	2,896	\$4,199,660	\$1,049,915	June, 2023
Upper John Day Valley Landscape Resiliency Project	Grant SWCD	30,000	\$2,319,710	\$1,836,835	June, 2023
Wasco County Forest Resilience Project	Oregon Department of Forestry	3,300	\$1,216,710	\$346,732	June, 2023
maqlaq̄s geetkni Landscape Resiliency Project	maqlaq̄s geetkni 38-3925837	—	\$620,000	\$155,000	June, 2023
Totals:		176,295	\$36,857,928	\$23,409,786	