ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH TRUST

A Small Sampling of the *Numerous* Scientific Research Studies Published After December 4, 2019- The Day the FCC Closed Their Inquiry on Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Radiation (RFR).

The Environmental Working Group published a study in *Environmental Health* analyzing the findings of tumor and heart damage from the National Toxicology Program study and concluded that FCC limits should be strengthened by 200 to 400 times to protect children according to current risk assessment guidelines (<u>Uche 2021</u>).

European Parliament requested a research report <u>"Health Impact of 5G</u>" released in July 2021 concluding that commonly used RFR frequencies (450 to 6000 MHz) are probably carcinogenic for humans *and* clearly affect male fertility with possible adverse effects on the development of embryos, fetuses and newborns.

A landmark three part **2021 research review** on effects to wildlife published in Reviews on Environmental Health by U.S experts including former U.S. Fish and Wildlife senior biologist Albert Manville states current science should trigger urgent regulatory action citing more than 1,200 scientific references which found adverse biological effects to wildlife from even very low intensities of non ionizing radiation with findings of impacts to orientation and migration, reproduction, mating, nest, den building and survivorship (Levitt et al., 2021a, Levitt et al., 2021b, Levitt et al., 2021c).

- **February 2020-** Scientists of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences National Toxicology Program published a study finding "significant increases in DNA damage" in groups of male mice, female mice and male rats after just 14 to 19 weeks of exposure to RFR (<u>Smith-Roe et al., 2020</u>).
- **March 2020** Yale researchers published a study supported by the American Cancer Society linking thyroid cancer to cell phone use in people with a type of common genetic variation (Luo et al., 2020).
- May 2020- A meta analysis of 300 peer-reviewed scientific publications (1990-2015) describing 1127 experimental observations in cell-based in vitro models on RFR published in *Environmental Research* found less differentiated cells such as epithelium and spermatozoa are more sensitive to RF (<u>Halgamuge et al., 2020</u>).
- **May 2020** A review on real world exposure to 5G published in *Toxicology Letters* found that 5 G will have systemic effects as well as adverse effects to the skin and eyes (Kostoff et al., 2020).
- **November 2020-** A systematic review and meta-analysis of case-control studies found evidence that linked cellular phone use to increased tumor risk (<u>Choi et al., 2020</u>).
- February 2021- A 4G study found kidney inflammation and damage to the testes in mice (Hasan et al., 2021).
- March 2021- The Switzerland Institute of the Environment expert published review found increased oxidative stress in the majority of animal studies and cell studies with exposures within regulatory limits (<u>Schuermann et al.</u>, 2021).
- July/August 2021- Two systematic reviews find harm to sperm (Sungjoon et al, 2021, Yu et al., 2021).
- August 2021- A review on impacts to the thyroid found RFR might be associated alterations in thyroid hormone levels, with a possible disruption in the hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid axis (<u>Alkayyali et al., 2021</u>)
- August 2021- 2400 MHz affects the structural integrity of the hippocampus in mice (Hasan et al., 2021).
- August 2021- A review summarizes the effects of EMR on the neurotransmitters in the brain (Hu et al., 2021).
- August 2021- Review on RFR and the brain published in the International Journal of Radiation Biology found the threshold for an effect in EEG is far lower than the level deemed safe by the U.S. FCC (<u>Hinrikus et al. 2021</u>).
- **September 2021** A systematic review on the effects of RFR to male reproductive hormones found that wireless can decrease testosterone reduction (<u>Maluin et al. 2021</u>).
- **September 2021-** A review on the genetic effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields found DNA strand breaks, micronucleus formation, and chromosomal structural changes (Lai 2021).
- September 2021- A systematic review published in the Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences found that neuronal ion channels are particularly affected (<u>Bertagna et al 2021</u>).
- October 2021- A review in the International Journal of Oncology describes how EMFs lead to dysfunction of ion channels which lead to reactive oxygen species/free radical overproduction providing " a complete picture" of how exposure may indeed lead to DNA damage and related pathologies, including cancer," (<u>Panagopoulos et al.</u> 2021).
- October 2021- <u>Scientific modeling study</u> finds RF absorption of a mosquito is 16x higher at 60 GHz than at 6 GHz indicating 5G future technologies "can cause dielectric heating and have an impact on behaviour, development and possibly spread of the insect" substantiating calls to ensure pollinators are protected before 5G deployment.

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH TRUST

Alkayyali T et al.,(2021) <u>An Exploration of the Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation Emitted by Mobile Phones and</u> Extremely Low Frequency Radiation on Thyroid Hormones and Thyroid Gland Histopathology. Cureus. Aug 20;13(8)

Bertagna et al (2021) Effects of electromagnetic fields on neuronal ion channels: a systematic review. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2021 Sep;1499(1):82-103

Choi Yoon-Jung et al., (2020) <u>Cellular Phone Use and Risk of Tumors: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.</u> International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 17(21), 8079

De Borre et al. (2021) <u>RFR exposure of the yellow fever mosquito (*A. aegypti*) from 2 to 240 GHz. PLoS Comput Biol 17(10)</u>

Halgamuge MN, Skafidas E, Davis D. (2020) <u>A meta-analysis of in vitro exposures to weak radiofrequency radiation</u> <u>exposure from mobile phones (1990–2015)</u>. Environmental Research, Volume 184

Hasan et al., (2021) <u>Hematobiochemical and histopathological alterations of kidney and testis due to exposure of 4G cell phone radiation in mice</u>. Saudi J Biol Sci. May;28(5):2933-2942

Hasan et al., (2021) Effect of 2400 MHz mobile phone radiation exposure on the behavior and hippocampus morphology in Swiss mouse model, Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences, August

Hinrikus, Jaanus Lass & Maie Bachmann (2021) <u>Threshold of radiofrequency electromagnetic field effect on human brain.</u> International Journal of Radiation Biology, 97:11, 1505-1515

Kostoff, R., Heroux, P., Aschner, M., & Tsatsakis, A. (2020). <u>Adverse health effects of 5G mobile networking technology</u> <u>under real-life conditions</u>. *Toxicology Letters*, 323, 35-40

Lai H. (2021) Genetic effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields. Electromagn Biol Med. 2021 Apr 3;40(2):264-273

Levitt BB, Lai HC, Manville AM. (2021) Effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields on flora and fauna, part 1. Rising ambient EMF levels in the environment. Rev Environ Health May 27.

Levitt BB, Lai HC, Manville AM. (2021) Effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields on flora and fauna, Part 2 impacts: how species interact with natural and man-made EMF. Rev Environ Health. Jul 8.

Levitt BB, Lai HC, Manville AM. (2021) Effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields on flora and fauna, Part 3. Exposure standards, public policy, laws, and future directions. Rev Environ Health. Sep 27.

Luo, J., et al.(2020) <u>Genetic susceptibility may modify the association between cell phone use and thyroid cancer: A population-based case-control study in Connecticut</u>, Environmental Research, Volume 182

Maluin SM et al., (2021) Effect of Radiation Emitted by Wireless Devices on Male Reproductive Hormones: A Systematic Review. Front Physiol. Sep 24;12:732420

Panagopoulos, D.J., et al., (2021). <u>Human-made electromagnetic fields: Ion forced-oscillation and voltage-gated ion channel dysfunction, oxidative stress and DNA damage (Review).</u> International Journal of Oncology, 59, 92.

Schuermann, David, and Meike Mevissen (2021) "<u>Manmade Electromagnetic Fields and Oxidative Stress—Biological</u> <u>Effects and Consequences for Health</u>" International Journal of Molecular Sciences 22, no. 7: 3772.

Smith-Roe, SL., et al. (2020) <u>"Evaluation of the genotoxicity of cell phone radiofrequency radiation in male and female rats</u> and mice following subchronic exposure." Environmental and molecular mutagenesis, Feb;61(2):276-290

Sungjoon Kim et al., (2021) Effects of mobile phone usage on sperm quality - No time-dependent relationship on usage: <u>A systematic review and updated meta-analysis</u>. Environ Res. 2021 Jul 29;111784.

Uche, U.I., Naidenko, O.V. (2021) <u>"Development of health-based exposure limits for radiofrequency radiation from wireless devices using a benchmark dose approach.</u>" Environmental Health 20, 84 (2021)

Yu G et al (2021) <u>Current progress on the effect of mobile phone radiation on sperm quality: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis of human and animal studies.</u> Environ Pollut. Aug 1;282:116952.