These are the current congressional district boundaries, shaded by how likely each is to be represented by a party over the long term. This is not a forecast of the 2018 midterm elections.

|	USUALLY DEMOCRATIC DISTRICTS		HIGHLY COMPETITIVE DISTRICTS		USUALLY REPUBLICAN DISTRICTS	
Current	2		2		1	
Current	2		2		1	

This map is drawn to maximize the number of districts that usually vote Republican — seats where a Republican has greater than a 5-in-6 chance of winning the election.

|	USUALLY DEMOCRATIC DISTRICTS		HIGHLY COMPETITIVE DISTRICTS		USUALLY REPUBLICAN DISTRICTS	
Republican gerrymander	2		0		3	
Current	2		2		1	

This map is drawn to maximize the number of districts that usually vote Democratic — seats where a Democrat has greater than a 5-in-6 chance of winning the election.

|	USUALLY DEMOCRATIC DISTRICTS				HIGHLY COMPETITIVE DISTRICTS		USUALLY REPUBLICAN DISTRICTS	
Democratic gerrymander	4				0		1	
Current	2				2		1	

This map is drawn with the goal of making the partisan breakdown of a state's representatives match the political makeup of the state's voters. For example, if a state has 10 districts and Republicans won an average of 70 percent of its major-party votes in the last two presidential elections, seven districts are drawn to favor Republicans and three are drawn to favor Democrats. There are a few states in which a proportionally partisan map can't be drawn — in those states, we made the map as close to proportional as possible.

This map seeks to encourage more competitive elections by maximizing the number of districts where both parties have at least a 1-in-6 chance of winning — no matter what shape those districts require. The map also preserves existing majority-minority districts. Where highly competitive districts aren't possible, the map tries to make them as competitive as possible.

This map is drawn to maximize the number of "majority-minority districts" — districts where members of a single minority group make up a majority of the voting-age population. Where additional majority-minority districts are not possible, the map settles for "coalition" districts, in which no racial group, including non-Hispanic white voters, makes up a majority of the population. If it's not possible to create any districts where white voters are a minority, the map tries to make districts with the highest possible shares of nonwhite voters.

This map is based on a computer algorithm, written by software engineer Brian Olson, that minimizes the average distance between each constituent and his or her district's geographic center. The algorithm doesn't care about party or race (meaning this map could violate the Voting Rights Act) and ignores city and county boundaries.

In an impassioned dissent, Justice Elena Kagan warned that in the era of artificial intelligence, such a move could put American democracy at risk.

"Gerrymanders will only get worse (or depending on your perspective, better) as time goes on — as data becomes ever more fine-grained and data analysis techniques continue to improve," she wrote. "What was possible with paper and pen — or even with Windows 95 — doesn't hold a candle (or an LED bulb?) to what will become possible with developments like machine learning. And someplace along this road, 'we the people' become sovereign no longer."

As a concerned Citizen in Klamath County and know the impact of the Democratic Party being owned by the likes of George Soros and Big Tech Companies like Google, Facebook, Twitter. I know that they will use super computing artificial intelligence to draw our district lines.

Facebook has an algorithm that has us labeled as left wing liberal, middle independent, and right-wing conservative. Google know everything about us they are deeply embedded in out android phones. The data these companies have to draw lines and the money that they take from there stockholders to donate to the DNC is astronomical.

We have seen tyranny that is only the beginning with covid lockdowns, shutdowns, and mandates that destroy small businesses and created extreme profits for Democratic Donors.

We see our schools being turned into Socialist/Communist indoctrination schools equivalent to China's reeducation camps.

Scott M. Stolz

, History Buff; Studied Political Science

Answered 5 years ago · Author has 1.3K answers and 5.3M answer views

The difference between a capitalist country and a communist one is that in a communist country, communist ideals are enforced, typically through a single party system.

Communism is more of a set of policies and structures set in place for the benefit of the workers in society, rather than an actual structure of government.

Technically, you could set up communism in any country in the world, assuming most of the country voted that in, and then was able to ban all competing political parties.

This is because in a single party system the power gets transferred from the people, and even from the politicians themselves to the exclusive political party of that country, the Communist Party.

Once the Communist Party takes hold and bans all other parties, it does not actually matter how the government is structured, or how elections are held, or who makes the decisions. The real power is held in the Communist Party, where party leaders make all the major decisions, and then pick loyal followers to run for office or hold important posts.

The Soviet Constitution was beautiful and guaranteed all sorts of rights and was actually very democratic (as in it allowed voting and elected representatives at nearly all levels of decision making). But in a one party system, none of that actually matters, since the power of the people has been neutered and transferred to the party.

Different communist countries have different government structures, but they always wind up the same due to the single party system.

Gerrymandering and Super PACs will change us from a Free Market to a Command Market, from a Democracy to a Totalitarian Regime.

We see in China that the Chinese Communist Party thrive in money and the big cities for human rights propaganda are beautiful and show happy people, but it is a charade, a theater because in the many villages are slaves who get bussed to work camps and live in run down buildings.

Those happy people we see on TicTok and the News are slaves to a social credit score. The Chinese Communist Party has been constructing a moral ranking system for years that will monitor the behavior of its enormous population — and rank them all based on their "social credit."

The "social credit system," first announced in 2014, is "an important component part of the Socialist market economy system and the social governance system" and aims to reinforce the idea that "keeping trust is glorious and breaking trust is disgraceful," according to a 2015 government document.

The rankings are decided by China's economic planning team, the National Development and Reform Commission (NDRC), the People's Bank of China, and the Chinese court system, according to the South China Morning Post.

The system can be used for individual people, but also for companies and government organizations. The private sector, including the burgeoning tech world in China, has their own non-governmental scoring systems that they implement, as Wired reported.

For example, Sesame Credit, which is owned by Jack Ma's Ant Group, uses its own unofficial scoring system for its employees, such as studying shopping habits, according to the think tank Merics.

The program has been piloted for millions across the country in recent years, as CNBC reported, and was expected to become fully operational and integrated by 2020. But at the moment the system is piecemeal and voluntary, though the plan is for it to eventually be mandatory and unified across the nation, with each person given their own unique code used to measure their social credit score in real time, per Wired.

I oppose and one party gerrymandering as it is a threat to National Security. The more and more the Democrats minority try to push us around creates hostility. We see Democrats changing party lines as more and more Conservative News and Technology gets developed the younger and older people see unfiltered, uncensored debate.

I have an almost 70-year-old lifelong Democrat who is probably voting Republican because of how bad Democratic leadership is in Portland and in this State. I have many Hispanic friends and family who will be voting for Trump in 2024.

Honestly Gerrymandering affects you, the politician more than me because I will move to Texas, Tennessee, or Florida

Best Wishes,

Chad Johnson of Klamath Falls, OR