

June 24, 2020

BOARD OFFICERS:

2020 CHAIR: VONNIE MIKKELSEN Springfield Area Chamber

CHAIR ELECT:
GIOIA GOODRUM
McMinnville Area Chamber

SECRETARY/TREASURER:
LAURA EDMONDS
North Clackamas County
Chamber

PAST CHAIR: LINDA MOHOLT Tualatin Chamber

BOARD MEMBERS AT LARGE:

JUSTIN AUFDERMAUER Tillamook Chamber

LISA FARQUHARSON The Dalles Area Chamber

BETTINA HANNIGAN Florence Area Chamber

TOM HOFFERT Salem Area Chamber

ELI MATTHEWS Medford/Jackson County Chamber

STACY PALMER
Silverton Chamber

CHERI ROSENBERG Pendleton Area Chamber

> ERIC SANDE Redmond Chamber

LYNN SNODGRASS Gresham Area Chamber

RE: House Bill 4212 (sections 37-39) Needlessly Hurt Local Recovery

Dear Co-Chairs Senate President Courtney and Speaker Kotek and members of the Joint Interim Committee on the First Special Session of 2020:

Our key local industries that are struggling to survive current COVID-induced economic conditions. In the past weeks, we have called upon the legislature to use the Special Session to enact temporary and targeted liability protection for our health care workers and facilities acting within the scope of Governor Brown's COVID-19 related executive orders.

Additionally, we've asked the legislature to enact temporary and targeted liability protection for our local businesses acting in good faith compliance with executive orders, regulations or guidance regarding COVID-19.

Our requests, to date, have been received favorably by legislators from both chambers and both parties.

But now we see that HB 4212 (sections 37-39) has been introduced, despite assurances that this concept would not be considered in the First Special Session, and it does exactly the opposite of what we've identified and requested as a key need for our local communities.

Among the problems we see with HB 4212 (sections 37-39):

- While they reference a temporary infectious disease standard it is not tied to COVID-19 in the draft. It requires OSHA/DCBS to create permanent standards and rules. This is not intended to be temporary.
- This directly impacts critical local industries that we are depending on to lead our recovery, including our health care providers that are struggling to survive.
- It references a "risk" standard that is opaque and left entirely up to the agency
 to define. This would likely be an overlapping set of regulations in addition to
 what some industries may receive from OHA, CDC, or other agencies. Best
 practices and guidance are constantly changing. To lock these types of
 requirements in DCBS rule will likely produce conflict with evolving guidance
 from other agencies.



- The PPE language goes beyond any guidance previously provided to employers and would be very difficult to comply with given the significant challenges in procuring PPE.
- The standards for use of PPE have shifted based on the availability of PPE. For
 example, re-use has been a necessity at some points because the only other
 option was to not wear a mask. Usage protocols are based on supplies and is
 very specific to an organization and its work. Is DCBS going to adopt standards
 for PPE use based on every supply chain variable and every industry?
- This COVID virus is still largely unknown. Others will be similarly unknown.
 Science and best practices are changing rapidly. We doubt that DCBS and the rule-making process is equipped to rapidly respond as our understanding of a virus evolves.
- The cost of compliance could be crushing for industries just struggling to survive.

But most disappointingly, HB 4212 (sections 37-39) appears to be an ill-timed attempt to target specific industries for increased costs and liability precisely when they need relief.

To reiterate, our local businesses need liability relief, not more piling on.

Please remove sections 37-39 of HB 4212. Please don't mar an otherwise good bill with language that hurts our businesses that are trying to recover.

Respectfully,

Vonnie Mikkelsen

V. WIlle

OSCC Chair

JL Wilson

Legislative Counsel

OL Will