

From: [Keith LaHaie](#)
To: [SENR Exhibits](#)
Subject: Testimony in Opposition to SB1530 - "Relating to greenhouse gas emissions; declaring an emergency"
Date: Friday, February 7, 2020 1:57:38 PM

Dear Members of the Senate Committee on Environment and Natural Resources,

My wife and I are strongly opposed to SB1530 and any other type of "cap and trade" measure and request that you reject SB1530 without further action. We have been residents of Central Point, Oregon for 6-1/2 years. We're retired, and although we're able to live well on our income, we have serious concerns about the ever increasing level of taxation in this state – not only through direct taxes such as the income tax, but the indirect taxes created through legislation such as HB3427 and the more than \$700 million in additional taxation (just to start) that would result from the SB1530 "cap and trade" proposal.

This legislation is a direct result of the increasing climate hysteria promoted by extremist environmental groups such as Renew Oregon and Southern Oregon Climate Action. We realize that SB1530 was carefully crafted so that the initial cost increases don't present much of an impact to the average citizen; however, we're not blind to the fact that once this damaging proposal is implemented, our fuel and energy costs will increase dramatically as more onerous taxation and control measures are phased in over time. We certain that once this proposal is made law, it will be quickly amended so that it is even more restrictive than it is currently.

We're very concerned about the impact this legislation will have on those who are already struggling to pay their bills, as well as on the health of Oregon's economy in general. We're not buying the promises of "50,000 green jobs," nor do we believe the claims of how beneficial this proposal will be for our economy. As evidenced by the Solyndra debacle (among others), the "green jobs promise" has been largely empty, and there is no proof that this proposal will result in a net increase of jobs. More likely, there will be a major net decrease of jobs, as businesses move out of state, or are forced to readjust staffing levels in order to offset increased energy costs. As well, many "green jobs" are actually existing jobs that have been re-designated as "green," so again – no net gain.

We see SB1530 only as a cash and power grab, as well as a massive wealth transfer from hard working Oregonians to politically favored industries and contractors. The contrived carbon-trading market that will be created by this legislation could provide massive profits to wealthy investors who, operating outside of Oregon and even the U.S., will return none of that wealth to this state.

As the Legislature well knows, the ability to control CO2 emissions is the ability to control the economy, energy, land, people and resources. This equates to a massive and unacceptable expansion of government power that we will strongly resist.

Supporters of this proposal (and HB2020 before it) claim that it will force "polluters" to pay their "fair share," but those "polluters" will merely pass their compliance costs onto Oregon consumers! Just as with HB2020, saddling Oregon businesses with these additional costs will make difficult or impossible for them to compete with similar business in states without crippling "cap and trade" programs. SB1530 (or one of its amendments) includes an exemption for fuel stations operating near Oregon's borders. Are there exemptions for other industries operating near our borders? This clause by itself exposes the absurdity of this legislation – it will render industries in this state non-competitive with similar industries outside our borders.

We're very disappointed and angry that the Oregon Legislature is continuing its quest to punish hardworking Oregonians with draconian cap and trade proposals. Besides SB1530, there are two other proposals making their way to committee. We thought it was made abundantly clear last June that there is serious opposition to "cap and trade" in this state. What proof is there that SB1530 will do anything whatsoever to restore the climate to some arbitrary "optimum?" It's been made clear by the state's own climate researchers that the goals being set in SB1530 will have essentially no impact on the climate. There are reports (which are clearly debatable) claiming that drought, reduced snowpack, increased wildfire and impacts to fisheries purportedly caused by climate change is costing Oregonian millions, but again there is no proof that the billions in costs Oregonians will incur due to SB1530 will offset any of the costs allegedly related to "climate change."

We're sure the Legislature is aware that China is building more than 700 new coal-fired power plants

worldwide, and India is building hundreds more as well. Just last week, the *New York Times* reported that Japan is proposing to construct 22 new coal plants in-country, and they, like China are also building coal plants abroad. These coal fired power plants will increase global CO2 emissions by BILLIONS of tons, far exceeding Oregon's overall contribution of 64 million tons. Oregon's emissions constitute 0.7% of US total, and 0.02% of the global total – that's miniscule! While we're not advocating the construction of new coal-fired power plants - what sense is there in punishing Oregonians for their miniscule contribution to global CO2 emissions while the CO2 emissions from China and India's proposed new coal plants alone exceed Oregon's total emissions by at least a factor of 20?

Oregonians will incur substantial costs due to this dreadful proposal while receiving no benefits in return. We are the real "stakeholders" here, not the environmental extremists who are dictating our futures and risking our prosperity.

SB1530 is little changed from its predecessor - HB2020 – and in many ways it is actually worse!

- SB1530, like HB2020 is being drafted with an "emergency clause," which is clearly intended to prevent public opposition through a ballot initiative. Despite Greta Thunberg's protestations to the contrary, there is no emergency that will be mitigated by this proposal – this is proven by the fact that this proposal will have no impact on the climate at all!
- From what we understand, certain records related to SB1530 are being exempted from Oregon's Public Records Law. How does that align with the Governor's pledge for transparency? What does the Legislature have to hide?
- SB1530 is HB2020 rewritten with "concessions," along with additional exemptions and "carve-outs" designed to fool those who object to "cap and trade" legislation. The most absurd of these is the claim that this new version of cap and trade will "spare rural areas." We are not fooled by these exemptions - we're fully aware that any and all of these loopholes will be quickly closed, and that rural areas will ultimately bear the full brunt of this proposal. We see that the confusing amendments being hastily added to this bill include even more exemptions – all included in order to further "grease the skids" for passage. Are we to assume that these loopholes will not be closed at some point either? We know what the end goal is – HB 2020 made that clear, and we are also keenly aware of the goals being pushed by environmental extremist special-interest groups that seem to have special status in this debate.
- SB1530 clearly jeopardizes Oregon jobs – this is evidenced by the fact that the proposal includes provisions for assistance to workers who will be displaced or lose their jobs due to the implementation of cap and trade policy.
- SB1530 will increase the cost of the natural gas and already very expensive propane that people need in order to heat their homes, despite the "bill assistance" that SB1530 promises to provide.
- SB1530 will increase the cost of the gasoline and diesel fuels that people need for daily transportation, recreation, and to run their businesses.
- SB1530 will increase the cost of all goods and services produced in Oregon, and will damage many business as people will spend a greater portion of their income on the fuel and energy they need to conduct their lives and businesses rather than on the goods and services they would otherwise purchase.
- SB1530 will be BAD FOR OREGON! The Legislature needs to focus on initiatives to improve the lives of Oregonians - not on punishing them! The Governor and some members of the Legislature claim that we need to "show leadership on climate" – how about showing leadership on issues where real change can be made, such as the epidemic of addicted homeless people, our marginal education system, the unaffordable housing situation . . . the list is very long, and we're not seeing the leadership! Does anyone really think that the world cares about the "climate action" Oregon is taking?

We really doubt it. Nations such as China, India and Japan will do what's best for their economies and their citizens, and Oregon will not influence that one bit. This legislation is just a distraction from the real issues facing Oregon.

SB1530, like HB2020 is a sweeping and very impactful proposal. If it is to move forward, it should be placed on the ballot and subjected to a vote of the people! We're hoping it never makes it that far, and we will be petitioning our Republican representatives to again walk out if necessary to prevent this legislation from advancing.

Thank you for your consideration.

Keith and Tami LaHaie