

From: [Josef Stinnett](#)
To: [SENR Exhibits](#)
Subject: Hb1530
Date: Friday, February 7, 2020 4:24:14 PM

I'm writing this to voice my concerns about this bill. I see this bill as a tax and nothing more. We have other ways to reduce carbon. We have been making great strides in that with greener emissions that have major costs but worth it in the end.

This bill will take money that would otherwise be invested in more efficient equipment and gives it to someone else. How is that fair or even legal? I understand the need for changes, but changing has been happening already. This bill will allow the big corporations to just buy more pollution.

Here is something I thought of to help and it has to do with our logging regulations. Many of the big timber companies only grow for 38yrs. If we regulate that to require all timber harvesting to be at 65yrs, with a 5 or 10% thinning at 20 and 45. That would reduce the fire danger, logging activity, and breathe carbon longer. It would also produce a better tree. The reason they dont do this like the state does is the growth between 38 to 65 is to slow for their investors.

Please think this through thoroughly as it's not going to change carbon output. It will just crush economies.

Furthermore the urban density plays a big factor in the climate change as it blankets the earth, traps the water, and leaves nothing to filter any if the carbons out. We used to have yards, gravel roads, open space, ect..... now its concrete, asphalt, and buildings. All of which create heat!

Thank you
Josef Stinnett