

Members of the Judiciary Committee,

Regarding HB 4005

As a mature citizen, I am concerned about quick access to a firearm for protection in my home. This bill is poorly written and would limit that access when seconds count. Have you ever been pulled over by a police officer for a traffic violation? How does that make you feel? Do you get nervous? Many people when taken by surprise will have a slower reaction time, maybe initially get shaky but then realizing what they need to do and do it. In a home invasion situation, seconds do count. The criminal already has the advantage of surprise. The few extra seconds that it would take to unlock a cable or trigger lock, put in a clip and be prepared to protect yourself could be the difference between life and death. Due to injuries from a hit and run drunk driver when I was young, running away or getting out of my house by crawling or jumping out a window is not an option. The only option I have is to stand my ground. Many people are in the same situation and deserve the quickest access for protection of themselves and their family.

Another concern is that the Oregon Health Authority will need to adopt minimum specifications for trigger locks, cable locks and containers equipped with tamper-resistant locks. SECTION 7

So the locks that people already have could be rendered unacceptable by the Standards that are not even set yet. Which would mean I would need to buy new locks that would be approved. Then how do you address quality control from manufactures of these items? Will it be dictated to me bands that are acceptable?

With regards to SECTION 5 - Reporting lost or stolen firearm within 72 hours and being "strictly liable" *for injury for two years from the expiration of the time limit for reporting or until the loss or theft report is made, whichever is sooner.* What constitutes "strictly liable" and who decides that? There are already laws in place for trespassing, breaking and entry and theft. The criminal is the one that should be liable and held responsible for his/her actions. It is unreasonable to hold a law abiding citizen responsible or the actions of a criminal.

While this bill may have good intentions to save children and to keep firearms out of the reach of criminals. I believe it is severely lacking of doing that. Short session was not meant to work

on such complicated issues as this. A One-size-fits-all legislation is not appropriate. HOLD CRIMINALS ACCOUNTABLE for THEIR ACTIONS and stop penalizing law abiding citizens.

Please do not further this bill without more consideration and research.

Thank you

Cindy S

Washington County