

Why is the Oregon House even considering a Bill that has already proven to be unconstitutional? In the case from the US Supreme Court DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA et al. v. HELLER, locking firearms to make them unusable in an emergency self defense situation is unconstitutional " Similarly, the requirement that any lawful firearm in the home be disassembled or bound by a trigger lock makes it impossible for citizens to use arms for the core lawful purpose of self-defense and is hence unconstitutional." This was held by the Court and affirmed.

Does this have to go back through the Courts before you will give up. As a gun owner, I am tired of spending money to affirm my Constitutional rights and having my taxes paying for the other side (Oregon House and Senate) trying to prove otherwise.

I do not have any children in my home and any gun lock can easily be defeated once the gun is out of my house - stolen. My guns magically disappear and are inaccessible when my great-grandchildren (younger than 18 year olds) come to visit. I lived in a home where the guns were stored in my parents bedroom closet, and we never touched them - EVER!!! We knew the penalties associated with even looking in the closet. Today's kids don't seem to have the same parenting.

This will not pass scrutiny, so why do it other than to bleed gun owners dry.

Sincerely;

Peter Furseth

St Helens, OR