

Rep. Janeen A. Sollman,  
Rep. Rachel Prusak,  
Rep. Alissa Keny-Guyer,  
Rep. Teresa Alonso León,  
Rep. Margaret Doherty,  
Rep. Julie Fahey,  
Rep. Chris Gorsek,  
Rep. Mitch Greenlick,,  
Rep. Ken Helm,  
Rep. Diego Hernandez,  
Rep. Paul Holvey,  
Rep. Susan McLain,  
Rep. Courtney Neron,  
Rep. Rob Nosse,  
Rep. Carla C. Piluso,  
Rep. Karin Power,  
Rep. Dan Rayfield,  
Rep. Jeff Reardon,  
Rep. Andrea Salinas,  
Rep. Tawna Sanchez,  
Rep. Sheri Schouten,  
Rep. Barbara Smith Warner,  
Senate Majority Leader Ginny Burdick,  
Sen. Michael Dembrow,  
Sen. Lew Frederick,  
Sen. James I. Manning Jr.,  
Sen. Chuck Riley,  
Sen. Rob Wagner

HB 4005 - Relating to firearm safety requirements; declaring an emergency.

Hello. I guess my first comment is why is this bill, HB 4005, 'Declares emergency, effective on passage', when in fact there is no emergency? Why do you not want the people of Oregon voting on this measure?

I believe that HB 4005 violates and infringes upon a person's 2nd, 4th, 5th, and 14th amendment rights.

You do not penalize a law-abiding gun owner for exercising their constitutional rights, nor do you turn a constitutional right into a privilege that would be granted solely at the discretion or whim of the state.

In *Heller v. District of Columbia*, The Supreme Court struck down provisions of the Firearms Control Regulations Act of 1975 as unconstitutional, determined that handguns are "arms" for the purposes of the Second Amendment, found that the Regulations Act was an unconstitutional ban, and struck down the portion of the Regulations Act that requires all firearms including rifles and shotguns be kept "unloaded and disassembled or bound by a trigger lock".

It would seem that HB 4005 as written is unconstitutional and would not stand up to the court's scrutiny.

I look forward to hearing from you as soon as possible in regard to this issue.

Thank you,

Jim Welsh

King City, OR

I believe that HB 4005 violates and infringes upon a person's 2nd, 4th, 5th, and 14th amendment rights.

You do not penalize a person(s) for exercising their constitutional rights, nor do you turn a constitutional right into a privilege that would be granted solely at the discretion of the state. In *Heller v. District of Columbia*, The Supreme Court struck down provisions of the Firearms Control Regulations Act of 1975 as unconstitutional, determined that handguns are "arms" for the purposes of the Second Amendment, found that the Regulations Act was an unconstitutional ban, and struck down the portion of the Regulations Act that requires all firearms including rifles and shotguns be kept "unloaded and disassembled or bound by a trigger lock". It would seem that HB 4005 as written is unconstitutional and would not stand up to the court's scrutiny.

HB 4005 must be voted down.

Thank you,

Jim Welsh

King City, OR