

Dear Chair and members:

I am opposed to HR4005 for the following reasons:

1) The bill in it's totality goes against the Framers of the US Constitution and Bill of Rights, Specifically Article II, as quoted: "A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, **the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed.**" Also, the State of Oregon's Bill of Rights is a mirror image of the US Bill of Rights.

2) Those of us, who have raised kids, and have weapons around, have already taught our kids the "Basics of Weapon Safety". To do otherwise, is irresponsible. We also have them secured.

3 Do not try and blame the law abiding weapon owner, for the actions of irrational individuals, be they kids \*OR\* adults.

4) What good is a weapon with a cable lock on it, or any other device used to prevent the proper use of the weapon in a self defense situation. What are we supposed to do: Tell the attacker, "Wait, I have to unlock my weapon... Please stay put, until I'm ready to defend myself, against your attack".

5) Putting the burden on the weapon owner, for the actions that arise out of a theft of a secured weapon, is outright lunacy. Why not blame the THIEF for their actions??? Besides, thieves will know \*HOW\* to break a trigger lock, or a cable lock, without damaging the weapon.

6) Jailing individuals, who are law abiding, but had their weapon(s) stolen, would be unconstitutional, on it's face. Again, you are blaming the victim of a crime; rather than going out and arresting the perpetrator of the crime.

Thank you for your time and consideration, in this matter.

Mark C. Ruhland  
Portland, OR