

As you well know Unlocked guns are usable and locked guns are not accessible and hence immediately usable.

The law is being proposed in case someone takes something that does not belong to them. There is a law against stealing and breaking into a home.

By locking away a usable tool it renders it unusable for immediate use. Any person who has a tool and can't get to them, will not be able to use the tool.

The cost of securing and the legal liability of this bill is overburdening to the Populus and society and the locking mechanisms can be defeated by other tools and methods. Or even coercion or hacking of the locking mechanism.

The legal liability will be enormous to persons that may not be able to prove how a robber robbed them and acquired their weapon.

Taking away in home freedoms is an overstep to the home, the proverbial castle doctrine and overstepping into someone's home and edictal and reminds us most Middle Eastern countries where they can not own weapons at all.

If there is a voice of reason with the committee please think about how many lives we save by reforming our medical malpractice or auto and transportation-related deaths.

This issue becomes a way of dealing with exceptions and anytime we are moving to exception handling where there are other laws to prevent them we have covered the issue already.

I have friends and family and don't want them hurt by a mentally unstable person driving a car into the mall or firebombing or any other way.

My view is that HB 4005 will not prevent a deranged person from killing others, please use your reasoning and see this as not a preventative measure for someone who has intentions for hurting others.

Please help bring sense to HB 4005 and defeat it.

Thank you,

Sal(Salahedin) Behbehani
Beaverton OR