My name is John Woods, I am an Oregonian, a private citizen, a veteran and a law abiding gun owner. I am speaking against bill HB 4005 which is an poorly veiled attempt at an unconstitutional search through legislation, because HB4005 tries to dictate and ascertain how people store their firearms.

If Patrick Henry were alive today, he would nail a copy of the Bill of Rights to the front door of this Capitol building, so you can read it and see that you do not have the power to abolish or rescind any of the the Amendments listed in the Bill of Rights, bottom line YOU DON'T HAVE THE REACH OR THE POWER TO CHANGE THE BILL OF RIGHTS, AND NEVER WILL!

The Supremacy clause in the Constitution overrides and trumps all state laws that try to take the rights from citizens. We knew they couldn't take the rights, now everybody knows why.

The Constitution of the United States clearly spells out property rights and the right to bear arms.

The Second Amendment to the U.S Constitution reads:

A well regulated militia, being necessary to the security of a free state, the right of the people to keep and bear arms, shall not be infringed.

The Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution reads:

The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

From Cornell University Law School article.

When state law and federal law conflict, federal law displaces, or preempts, state law, due to the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution. U.S. Const. art. VI., § 2. Preemption applies regardless of whether the conflicting laws come from legislatures, courts, administrative agencies, or constitutions. For example, the Voting Rights Act, an act of Congress, preempts state constitutions, and FDA regulations may preempt state court judgments in cases involving prescription drugs.

Congress has preempted state regulation in many areas. In some cases, such as medical devices, Congress preempted all state regulation. In others, such as labels on prescription drugs, Congress allowed federal regulatory agencies to set national minimum standards, but did not preempt state regulations imposing more stringent standards than those imposed by federal regulators. Where rules or regulations do not clearly state whether or not preemption should apply, the Supreme Court tries to follow lawmakers' intent, and prefers interpretations that avoid preempting state laws

With these stated rights all I am going to say is "I STORE MY FIREARMS SAFELY You do not, nor will you ever have the right to enter my home, to search or even know what I have in my house, without a warrant. I will not show, report or even answer any questions on how I store my firearms. Your reach ends at my front door because the Fourth Amendment states "the people are safe from unwarranted searches and seizures." The Fifth Amendment states that the people are not required to incriminate themselves.

My final points: Since you do not have the power or the reach to change or abolish the Bill of Rights "I WIIL NOT COMPLY! I WILL NOT PLEAD GUILTY TO SOMETHING I HAVE NOT

DONE, NOR WILL I SURRENDER MY FREEDOM, RIGHTS AND LIBERTIES TO LEGISLATIVE TYRANTS. SINCE THE SUPREMACY CLAUSE TRUMPS ANY AND ALL ATTEMPTS TO DETERMINE HOW CITIZENS STORE THEIR FIREARMS THIS BIIL IS UNENFORCEABLE AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL.

And in Marbury vs Madison there is this quote: "All laws which are repugnant to,the Constitution are Null and Void." Marbury vs Madison

An informed CITIZEN is a grave danger to would be tyrants. And we are informed and understand our rights.

JWoods

JWoods