### HB 2480 A STAFF MEASURE SUMMARY

# **Senate Committee On Judiciary**

**Action Date:** 05/22/19

**Action:** Do pass the A-Eng bill.

**Vote:** 7-0-0-0

Yeas: 7 - Bentz, Fagan, Gelser, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Prozanski, Thatcher

Fiscal: Has minimal fiscal impact

**Revenue:** No revenue impact **Prepared By:** Gillian Fischer, Counsel

Meeting Dates: 5/22

### WHAT THE MEASURE DOES:

Provides that a statement is not hearsay if it purports to be an interpretation from one language to another of an otherwise admissible statement and the interpreter testifies at trial.

#### **ISSUES DISCUSSED:**

- Barriers in criminal justice system for non-English speaking individuals
- Competency of interpreters
- Constitutional right of confrontation
- Requires interpreter testify at trial for admissibility of statement

### **EFFECT OF AMENDMENT:**

No amendment.

## **BACKGROUND:**

Hearsay is a statement, other than one made by the declarant while testifying at the trial or hearing, offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted. In *State v. Montoya-Franco*, the Court of Appeals of Oregon held that an out-of-court translation of a non-English speaker's statements to a third party constitutes hearsay because the interpreter's translation constitutes an assertion of the English meaning of the original translation. *State v. Montoya-Franco*, 250 Ore. App. 665, (2012).

House Bill 2480 A provides an exception to this rule when the interpreter is present at trial and subject to cross examination, allowing the underlying statement to be introduced as non-hearsay if it was otherwise admissible but for the interpretation of the statement.

Carrier: Sen. Thatcher