

please distribute to members of the committee - thank you.

First of all, SB 1050 was introduced so late in the session that Senator Baertschiger should have declined to act on behalf of the special interest. Do you have nothing better to do? You could address the problem you have with being in contempt of a court order, or with lack of adequate funding for the courts system. Instead you chose to enable a special interests desires

In addition, who would be following the business of Senate Rules Committee, for issues having to do with land use ? Likely no one. This is not how to do the people's business. The Oregon legislature is making me hate this state that I have loved and lived in since 1977.

I strongly object to SB 1050 because it removes the 10-year limitation on subsequent purchasers subdividing or partitioning property or establishing dwellings authorized by a Ballot Measure 49 (2007) final order. bowelmovement 49 is a big enough giveaway without sneaking in an unwarranted loophole.

This bill undermines the compromise that voters supported in Measure 49, by removing 10-year limitation on subsequent purchasers subdividing or partitioning property or establishing dwelling authorized by a Ballot Measure 49 (2007) final order. M49 was suppose to bring fairness to existing property owners, and certainty for farmers and others that there would be a finite amount of dwellings and time during which any additional dwellings on resource lands would be built. This bill undoes that.

Fairness is a misnomer, and you know it. Everyone's mama told them life isn't fair.

Please reject this late session attack on our precious resource lands because of a disgruntled monied interest.

God bless.

Hope Vaccher