

From: [Rodolfo Puente](#)
To: [Kathryn Rosson](#)
Cc: [Rep Power](#); [Rep.TinaKotek@state.or.us](#); [JWMTR Exhibits](#); [rep.kathleentaylor@state.or.us](#)
Subject: Re: HB 2001 Testimony
Date: Thursday, June 6, 2019 10:15:10 AM

I agree, HB 2001 would destroy the fabric of what makes Portland a desirable location to raise a family. The neighborhood of Sellwood, as an example, with the razing of local hangouts and shops to erect multi floor multi family buildings and big box businesses is rapidly losing the charms of a local neighborhood with shops that invite the community to experience the flavor of a small neighborhood community.

Large in-fill projects do not foster community but rather create anonymity among the residents and anonymity supports a lack of accountability and crime.

I am wholly opposed to HB 2001.

Rudy Puente
592 SE Andover Pl
Portland, OR
503.803.4760

On Thu, Jun 6, 2019 at 9:58 AM Kathryn Rosson <krosson@westernes.com> wrote:

Good morning,

I am writing to express my intense opposition to HB 2001.

While I understand the need for affordable housing in the Portland Metropolitan Area, this Bill goes too far and opens old, well established, neighborhoods to in-fill which will destroy the uniqueness of these areas.

One of the characteristics that makes Portland unique are its distinct neighborhoods like Laurelhurst, Grant, Alameda, Garthwick, Ladd's Addition, Goose Hollow and others. This bill would encourage development to significantly alter and/or destroy the unique characteristics found only in these neighborhoods.

In-fill works well in the dilapidated industrial zones – not so much in established neighborhoods.

The Legislature could introduce a grandfather exemption – i.e. those neighborhoods established before 1920? 1930? 1940?

Sincerely,

Kathryn Rosson, MBA, PMP

[629 SE Saint Andrews Drive](#)

[Portland, OR 97202](#)

503 956.2227

--

Sent from Gmail Mobile