

Chair Wagner, Vice Chair Thompson, members of the education committee. My name is Rob Fullmer; for the past 15 years I have been an IT worker and SEIU 503 represented employee at Portland State University. From 2013 to 2016 it was my honor to serve as the non-faculty employee representative on the Higher Education Coordinating Commission.

I'm here to offer my perspective as someone who served on the HECC in support of house bill 3280 to provide full voting rights to all members of the HECC - including those that are reserved for higher education workers and students.

In my time on the HECC, in addition to participating in full commission activities, I chaired a work group on one of the major topic areas under consideration, known as Pay It Forward. Other commissioners from community colleges and universities have served ably and with full voting rights on the student success and funding and achievement sub-committees for years. There is no functional difference in the kind and quality of work expected from or delivered by campus representatives versus at-large community members.

There is a narrative that such campus-based commissioners represent stakeholder groups focused on advocacy and as such may not always put Oregon's best interests foremost in their considerations. This is always a legitimate concern, but the process vetting candidates for these positions has proven to be strong and the decision on who has an opportunity to serve on the board is made by the Governor in consultation with her staff. I believe you should expect them to continue to do this work well for all nominees.

I remember meeting with Ben Cannon when he was then Governor Kitzhaber's education policy adviser and he specifically focused on the importance of representing the needs of Oregon as a whole over any constituency-based interests. I listened. That's the kind of behavior we've seen from campus-based commissioners and we should expect from all commissioners - whether they're from the business world, under-represented and under-served communities, or the campuses.

Concern has been expressed in the most recent full HECC meeting by the chair that campus representatives would need to recuse themselves from both discussion and votes affecting tuition setting. The Government Ethics Commission settled this question in March of 2017, telling OSU that student board members "will not have conflicts of interest when participating in Board matters to set tuition rates" - I have asked for this ruling to be entered into the record with this written testimony for your reference.

You may be aware most HECC votes are unanimous; the group works together

as a team and strives to hear all perspectives and reach a consensus. In those rare cases where no consensus exists, having all participants who have been equally considering the issue weigh in on the vote is the right way to honor their commitment to the shared goal of all commissioners, which is achieving the best higher education outcomes Oregon can afford.