

I was stunned when I read the amended Bill 978 - 1.

What really frosted my cake was Section 26; for it further restricts CHL folks from going about their business. The CHL licensee also doesn't know how the regulations will be written.

Concealed Handgun License.

Regardless of regulations, who are these CHL people anyway?

Is it the nurse going to work the 11-7 shift? The fellow juggling two jobs going to work at 7-11?

Or me, trying to keep my 75 year old father-in-law in the house he has been around for 75 years in an area that is shakey?

Let's look at it another way. One guy goes to the Sheriff's Department, fills out a request form, signs, gets photographed, has fingerprints taken, information is put into a database and if he passes, is given a CHL license.

Now another person goes to the Sheriff's department, gets fingerprinted and a photo taken on the way to being booked for carrying with no license.

Yet, who is targeted for more restrictions?

Senators, the public has nothing to fear from CHL folks because they keep the law.

I ask you to remove Section 26. It is both the good and right thing to do.

Paul Ashton
Newberg, Oregon.
swimfinn@frontier.com

I can recall...

. . the "slippery slope" arguments that those who own firearms (and keep the law) for decades. Yet, just recently while reading testimony and listening to the Governor, we hear "it is a good first start'.

First "good start to what"? The laws / convictions / prison time isn't enough?

What's next?

Listening to some federal and state legislators, I think we may not know what is next — but what is last.

Legislators, vote NO on this bill and the three amendments.

Paul Ashton

Newberg

Helevetin@aol.com

Comments on the Staff Measure Summary, created 4.2.19 and 4.8.19.

particularly no rules for CHL holders.

One serious problem is that the language is very broad concerning public buildings, ownership, and adjacent grounds. "May adopt ordinances" is very broad.. There is no language specifying the language on whatever notice device is used. No places specified to post information as to where the zones are: public buildings, adjacent, owned by public, rented in part of a public building or part of a private building but a public office in it somewhere!

This checkerboard square makes it almost impossible for a CHL person to navigate their way through the areas mentioned, whether walking or driving.

The measure is dedicated to two Clackamas mall shootings - but which did not occur with a handgun. And I cannot find a handgun multiple shooting from CHL folks, -- gangs, yes; irate relatives yes; fired employees, yes.

CHL people care carefully vetted. They don't go on public / adjacent grounds and cause multiple shootings. Don't worry about them!

Please trike this portion of the language. These are prohibitions just not needed.

Pay more attention of those who DON'T bother getting a CHL or obey the law in general.

That's where the problem lies.

Paul Ashton

Newberg

helevetin@aol.com