

## Watts Remy

---

**From:** jcl2067@rosenet.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 6, 2019 8:20 AM  
**To:** SENR Exhibits  
**Subject:** NO to SJM 7 support for Green New Deal

No to SJM 7 support for the Green New Deal.

"A federal judge dismissed a climate lawsuit brought in the name of two young Philadelphia boys. One was seven and the other eleven.

This is part of a dangerous new legal tactic being attempted by climate campaigners to use children as props and plaintiffs.

CFACT senior policy analyst Bonner Cohen reports at CFACT.org, that Judge Paul Diamond was having none of it.

"Plaintiffs' disagreement with the defendants is a policy debate best left to the political process," Diamond wrote. "Because I have neither the authority nor the inclination to assume control of the Executive Branch, I will grant defendants' motion."

This decision stands in stark contrast to a recent decision by a judge in Oregon that 21 children had the right to be named plaintiffs in a climate lawsuit.

Bonner Cohen explains that, "in both the Pennsylvania and Oregon cases, children were recruited as plaintiffs to serve the agenda of climate alarmists. Seeing this for the exploitation that it clearly is, Judge Diamond took the trouble to lambaste Judge Aiken's judicial recklessness in allowing the political ploy to serve as the basis of a far-reaching court decision."

Ref.: [cfact.org](http://cfact.org)

I can hardly believe that legislators would even dignify supporting the Green New Deal. It is totally the far out leftist agenda that cannot even be achieved. Beyond that it is destructive to the economy and unnecessary as CO2 is not a pollutant.

Group think on global warming/climate change/climate disruption is mass hysteria. I daresay if one put all the people on earth to the task of changing the climate 24 hours a day they could not do it.

Do not support SJM 7.

Carole Leofsky  
Oakland, Oregon