

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

Why are we seeking to disarm tax paying, law abiding citizens of their 2nd amendment right to bear arms and defend themselves? These types of laws have done nothing to decrease gun violence and serve only to increase criminal activity in so called "gun free zones". Where else would a gun toting criminal go to commit a crime?

I would NEVER submit to such a anti-constitutional law!

I've spent 23 years in law enforcement and have never handled a case where a law abiding citizen used a gun in a crime or displayed callous behavior with one. I served in a California metropolis and gang infested town.

It's a complete fallacy that well armed, law abiding citizens use these weapons to victimize others.

Criminals yes, but removing said guns from the public access does nothing to deter this. Removing the ability to have access to a gun for home defense only bolsters criminal activity and encourages said behavior. If I'm going to commit a crime and know the victim is armed and capable, willing and able to use a firearm, I'm going to find someone else to victimize, like someone in a "Gun free zone".

Single women have a far greater chance of being victims of violence or sexual assault if unarmed.

Police are reactive and rarely proactive to crimes in progress and even under the very best circumstances, respond only after the crime has been committed. As we allow more and more criminals out of our jails and our penal system, these odds only increase. We need longer and more severe sentences and less leniency towards drug abusers, rapist and murdering gang members and thugs. Not more laws tying the hands of the public. Do the right thing and allow mentality fit and law abiding responsible citizens the right to protect themselves.

Please vote no on the ludicrous measure.

Thomas Wilcoxson
995 Petry Ln
Baker City, Or. 97814