

Ignoring the fact it has already been ruled unconstitutional to require citizens to lock up their home defense weapons as it violates the right to self defense, I believe the public deserves an explanation as to why you feel it is fair and reasonable to punish the victim of a crime. Your bill holds the victim of theft responsible for what the criminal does with that stolen property for 2 years

Please show me what other victims of crime are held responsible for the actions of the criminal? If someone steals a car and then commits another crime is the owner of the car held responsible? No they are not, so why is it a law abiding gun owner should be held responsible? This is prejudicial and punitive towards law abiding citizens doing nothing more than exercising their Constitutionally protected rights.

This bill also allows corporation to ignore federal discrimination laws in order to refuse an 18 year old to buy a long gun, this includes hunting rifles and shotguns. Again, you are being prejudicial and punitive towards someone exercising their Constitutionally protected rights as well as allowing age discrimination.

This Bill is a violation of the Constitution as well as a clear overreach by the government designed to remove the Constitutionally protected rights of law abiding citizens and should NOT be passed.

Deeply concerned citizen of Oregon
David E West
Sherwood Oregon