


Dear Senate Rules Committee:

I am dismayed to learn that SB 870 related to the National Popular Vote Interstate Compact is being considered by your committee. This bill would fundamentally change our Presidential election process. Please think about the issues our Founding Fathers debated prior to creating this ingenious process to promote fairness and diversity. What if you were on a committee to create an election process for a world leader... the very same considerations of our Founding Fathers would still apply. The USA must not waiver, the Electoral College is good.



Defend the Electoral College

If we were unifying all the countries of the world under one government...

- **Would you want a direct popular vote to elect the World Leader?**
PROMOTES PARTIALITY! The people of the countries with the greatest population will unite. Together these regions will use their advantage to dominant the selection forever.
- **Would you want to limit the election to the G7 countries, those with advanced economies?**
PROMOTES INEQUALITY! 4% of the world countries will impose their ideologies on the other 96%. All countries must have a voice in a world-wide government.
- **Would you want the election based on each country given an equal vote?**
PROMOTES PREJUDICE! The leaders of countries of similar ideologies will ban together to hand pick a World Leader forever. Their views will be forced upon all other countries.

Instead you would implement an electoral process incorporating the best of all methods, so both the people of the world and each country would have a fair voice. Central to its success would be Free Speech so the people of the world could learn about the political ideologies of each candidate and become knowledgeable prior to voting.

But what to call this think tank.... "Electoral College"

Please vote NO on the NPVIC. The principles of the Electoral College must be defended.

Thank you for your consideration.
Scott Nivens