

To members of the Committee.

We'll keep this very short and simple: Here goes...

Imagine the following 5 test questions on a test in a college level class on ethics and the constitution.

Here are the test results of some Democrat's in Salem:

**Question 1:**

Do you enact laws that would target African American males if a small group of African American males committed crimes?

**Answer:**

NO! It would be reprehensible to do such a thing and a violation of the Constitution.

**Constitutional Scholar and Ethics Professor's comments:**

CORRECT! This would be morally reprehensible and a violation of the Constitution.

**Question 2:**

Do you enact laws that would target gay men if a small group of gay men spread HIV to unsuspecting partners?

**Answer:**

NO! It would be reprehensible to do such a thing and a violation of the Constitution.

**Constitutional Scholar and Ethics Professor's comments:**

CORRECT! This would be morally reprehensible and a violation of the Constitution.

**Question 3:**

Do you enact laws that would target Muslims if a small group of Muslims killed a number of non-believers?

**Answer:**

NO! It would be reprehensible to do such a thing and a violation of the Constitution.

**Constitutional Scholar and Ethics Professor's comments:**

CORRECT! This would be morally reprehensible and a violation of the Constitution.

**Question 4:**

Do you enact laws that would target undocumented immigrants if a small group of undocumented immigrants committed crimes?

**Answer:**

NO! It would be reprehensible to do such a thing and a violation of the Constitution.

**Constitutional Scholar and Ethics Professor's comments:**

CORRECT! This would be morally reprehensible and a violation of the Constitution.

**Question 5:**

Do you enact laws that would target firearms owners if a small group of deranged individuals used a firearm to wound and kill people?

**Answer:**

YES! Firearms owners are essentially reprehensible and they are not truly protected by the Constitution.

**Constitutional Scholar and Ethics Professor's comments:**

?

What do you think the Constitutional Scholar and Ethics Professor's comments would be to question 5 - assuming they are being totally objective and unbiased?

The real question here is:

**Question:**

How on earth could anyone be so biased and bigoted to not see the answer to question 5 is INCORRECT!

Let's be clear. The majority of the firearms related bills introduced in this legislative session show unequivocally that the answer given to question 5 truly reflects the views held by many Democrats in Salem.

Never forget there's thousands upon thousands of decent, responsible law-abiding firearms owners in this State that depend on your consideration and protection just as much as any other minority group. We are your neighbors, friends and coworkers. We care about our State and its people just as much as anyone. We also abhor violence. And we equally abhor any bigotry that would target an entire group of people for criminal prosecution based solely on the actions of a few evil individuals.

Thank you for time and consideration.

Sincerely,

David & Charlotte Kosokowsky  
Lake Oswego, OR