

P. Michael Dubinsky
3734 NE Hassalo St
Portland, OR 97232
March 25, 2019

Written Submission Opposing SB 10 & HB 2001

I have read / reviewed both these pieces of legislation as well as many of the already submitted letters, testimony and assessments of them.

I am adding my opposition to both Bills for the following reasons:

1. Neither Bill appears to provide any reasonable rationale for their being introduced in the first place. It seems the authors of the Bills have created poorly thought through and unsupported legislation that is out of their area of responsibility given the Oregon Land Use Planning Goals. Local City and County legislatures have the responsibility for such legislation.
2. If the goal or objective was to start a conversation about the need for additional housing in urban areas of Oregon that conversation began a number of years ago and is well underway in Portland.
3. Housing affordability will not be served by either measure as outlined by another commenter- Rod Merrick, AIA in a submission of 17 March 2019.
4. The proposals appear to completely ignore underlying important considerations such as infrastructure that would need to be addressed if they were implemented.

The Oregon Legislature has many priority matters to address, e.g. education and ought to tend to those priorities and allow local jurisdictions to work within the bounds of the well understood and established boundaries of the Statewide Land Use Planning Goals.

Thank you for adding these points and my opposition to your deliberations on both Bills.

P. Michael Dubinsky *Peter Michael Dubinsky*