Watts Remy

From:	Richard Miron <rlmiron43@gmail.com></rlmiron43@gmail.com>
Sent:	Saturday, March 30, 2019 4:17 PM
То:	SENR Exhibits
Cc:	Sen.TimKnopp@state.or.us; Phil.Henderson@deschutes.org; Patti.Adair@deschutes.org;
	Tony.DeBone@deschutes.org
Subject:	Yes on SB 88 & suggested alterations

Dear Chair Dembrow and members of the committee,

I live in Deschutes county near Bend and believe SB 88 could be a valuable step in alleviating the housing shortage we are experiencing in our area. And, I believe this bill could be more usable and valuable with two slight modifications.

First, I suggest that ADU square footage should not be limited to 900 sq ft (Section 2 f). This should be left to the discretion of the county commissioners or at least raised to 1200 or 1500 sq ft. A family typically needs at least 2 bedrooms and 900 sq ft is insufficient space for a 2 BR dwelling to be realistically comfortable. Besides why limit this bill to such a low number. Cost will naturally keep the square footage low.

Secondly, I suggest that ADU maximum distance from the existing single-family dwelling should not be restricted to 100' (Section 2 g). Depending on property size, configuration & terrain, 100' may or may not make sense. This restriction should be raised or eliminated or left to the discretion of the county commissioners. Again why limit this bill to such a low number.

On an additional note, many rural properties already have an existing second dwelling such as a barn. In many cases these second dwellings could be renovated to provide a permitted livable space at a lower cost than a new structure. Also not having to build a new structure might alleviate objections some have with this bill not preserving farm land. Again the 100' distance restriction could be restrictive.

Thank you for your consideration.

Richard Miron Bend OR 97703