

I have a few concerns about this bill.

It seems like Section 1, ii is trying to pull a "fast one".

Protective orders can sometimes come out of nowhere. It seems like this addition is trying to prevent someone from getting adequate legal representation after the initial protective order is signed, sealed and delivered.

I fail to see why it's necessary to reduce the size of the "due process" window.

Since these sort of things happen quickly, and 24 hours are involved, there should be more flexibility with transferring the firearms.

While not apposed to 24 hours to do a transfer. It seems given the speed necessary, it should be codified that one can control the transfer after the initial transfer.

For instance, transfer to the police within 24 hours; but 2 days later have them transferred to a relative or gun dealer. With later transfers a possibility.

In addition, there should be the ability to manage the sale of one or more of them -- such that one could keep the proceeds.

To sum it up, It should be about not letting an individual have physical possession of their property while not denying them reasonable control over their property.

Yost Wikander
Cornelius, OR 97113