

To Whom it may Concern,

I am writing this letter to express my opposition to HB2505 that is coming up for discussion. A common thread I am seeing from most gun control measures being is they keep demanding the same actions from law abiding citizens under different titles. Why are the writers casting nets hoping to snag the same result out of one bill when another is demanding the same thing?

I have to oppose HB2505 on the ground that it defeats the purpose of owning a firearm for in home defense. I see a lot of statistics being used in this one but the fact that people who are breaking into our homes are not going to wait for us to work a complicated lock under stress to retrieve our protection. I have a hard enough time when nothing is going on, I wouldn't want to see how I did while it's dark my corrective lenses are out and there is a person intending to do harm to my family in my hallway.

Another section I see cropping up in different bills is being held responsible for actions of others when an item stolen from me is used to hurt others. As I have used in similar arguments it is a hypocritical position to hold that position with a firearm when the same standard is used for a motor vehicle that runs a person over after it has been stolen.

A tone that this bill also sets is that a gun owner might as well assume he is going to be viewed as guilty until he can prove his innocence without due process. As a judiciary committee that should be a slap to the faces of your offices and any other judiciary body.

This bill, like the others, was created without logic and reason being used and are merely "feel good" bills until the next tragedy strikes. It is an attack on law abiding citizens and is a direct violation of the people's freedom and privacy and I sincerely hope you will see the issues with this bill and strike it down.

With Respect,

Bradly Mabie
Sutherlin, Oregon