

Date: March 28, 2019

To: The Honorable Floyd Prozanski, Chair; The Honorable Kim Thatcher, Vice-Chair; Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee

From: Babak Zolfaghari-Azar

Re: Sharing support for youth justice reform through SB 1008, SB 966, SB 969, SB 968

Chair Prozanski, Vice-Chair Thatcher, and members of Senate Judiciary Committee:

My name is Babak Zolfaghari-Azar and I'm here today to ask you to support giving Oregon youth a second chance. Two bills that will do this are:

- **SB 966 (Hearing Before Transfer to DOC)** would require an additional review before a youth with a long sentence would be transferred to an adult prison. Currently, Oregon youth who are given long sentences can stay in an OYA youth correctional facility until age twenty-five and are then transferred to an adult prison. This proposal would allow a judge to determine if the twenty-five-year old has been sufficiently rehabilitated to transfer them to community-based supervision, rather than adult prison.
- **SB 1008 (Second Look Hearings)** establishes a process where all youth who are convicted in adult court have access to a "Second Look" hearing half way through their sentence. At that hearing, a judge determines whether the youth has taken responsibility for their crime and been rehabilitated, which would allow the remainder of their sentence to be served under community-based supervision, rather than being incarcerated.

As someone who has worked for 7 years with youth, many of whom have experienced adult sentences under Measure 11, I support all youth reform bills presented today (SB 1008, SB 966, SB 969, and SB 968), but I want to speak specifically to the impact of SB 966 and SB 1008.

I will start by saying youth can, and should be, held accountable for their actions and can safely be rehabilitated in the youth justice system. This perspective aligns with the values of a majority of Oregonians but, as a result of Ballot Measure 11, is not reflected in our current processes.

At a residential treatment center in Washington County 7 years ago, I worked with youth from across Oregon who were placed out of their home in a higher level of care. For 18-24 months, the youth received individual, group, and family counseling services and then practiced their skill development at home during home visits and during outings in the community. They were able to heal from their adverse childhood experiences through trauma informed care and a culture of relationship building that fostered trust, respect, and communication.

After being rehabilitated and taking accountability for their actions, they were prepared for short- and long-term success in the community. In addition, they would not have adult felony convictions lingering into their future as soon as they graduated the program and returned

home. Access to employment, higher education, and housing would be protective factors rather than risk factors. This is not the case for youth who transition home on adult charges.

I currently serve gang-impacted African American youth on probation in Multnomah County as a Family Care Manager. I've been doing this work for 3 years now. All of the youth and families I work with have multiple adverse childhood experiences and present with ongoing vulnerabilities. Recently, two of the 17 year-old youth I work with were charged as adults. One moment in their lives will have lifelong consequences as they are moved through the adult system.

If SB 966 were in place, these youth sentenced as adults would have their cases reviewed at age 25, and if a judge found them to be sufficiently rehabilitated, they could return to their communities and get the supportive care they need to keep on the right path. Research shows that community-based, restorative care helps lower rates of recidivism. Keeping youth on the right path keeps our communities safer and helps our economy by saving taxpayers money and ensuring adults who can contribute to their communities are doing so.

I want to talk briefly about how SB 1008 will also create better avenues for our youth. I am currently working directly with a young man who just finished a 6-year mandatory minimum sentence, an adult sentence made possible by Measure 11. I'll call him James. James was sixteen when he was convicted, and his son was 2-years-old. Now, at 22, James is figuring out how to be a parent to an eight-year-old child he hardly knows. They live with his grandmother due to housing challenges related to his conviction. He is struggling to find work because of his felony conviction and has been adversely impacted by the emotional toll of developing his identity in a correctional facility. If SB 1008 had been in place, James could have served three years of his sentence instead of six, giving him years he will never get back with his son. He could have returned to his community sooner, ensuring his formative years were shaped by neighbors, relatives, and supportive community members, not an institution where he is seen as an inmate with a number, instead of a person with lived experiences.

As other community members, folks with lived experience, and professionals have said before me today, our youth justice system should provide youth with the best chance to repair the damage they've caused, while also helping young people heal from trauma, and getting them the help they need to stay on a path toward success. My hope is that these stories and experiences add to the well-informed decision-making of our legislators during this session.

Thank you for your heartfelt consideration and attention to the values of Oregonians.

Babak Zolfaghari-Azar, Portland