

Please consider what you are doing with aluminum in vaccines thank you very much. Is what he says at the end of this 3 minute video. It got partly censored\muted for some reason at the end of the video...

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FdpQDcdvwlG>

"Of the 26 vaccines that are administered by 18 months in the CDC vaccine schedule, 61% contain aluminum for a total of 4,925mcg of aluminum. Children receive an aluminum containing vaccine on every vaccine visit until 18 months of age." MCP-1 is upregulated via the MMR Vaccine and this MCP-1 has the ability to summon Macrophages in the body, and macrophages can consume the form of Aluminum, the nanoparticulate form of aluminum actually found in vaccines simply called Aluminum Adjuvant and shuttle it past the blood brain barrier and deposit the aluminum into the brain. [Which can lead to Autism] Whats more although MMR per say does not directly cause Autism as has been indicated by multiple studies in Denmark, it in some cases can be implicated via its role in summoning MCP-1. Children with high levels of MCP-1 are at greater risk especially if for some reason the Blood brain barrier is more permeable for various reasons such as low levels of glutathione. Such individuals would be at greater risk of getting the nanoparticulate form of aluminum in their brains and develop Autism or ASD like symptoms. Most wont have this happen but some will, its these few that need protection. Mothers can even prime their young via MIA, i.e. Mothers Immune Activation, which could leave a child primed for and even cause autism alone via pre-existing levels of inflammation, that would call for assistance from Macrophages, which may carry Aluminum directly into the brains of their young. This will only happen in a small subset of people but can happen and when it does Autism can result. Therein lays the risk, so MMR may not directly cause autism, but in some cases it can aid and assist, and its the few cases that it can hinder that actually matter. So no MMR does not cause Autism directly in its own right per say, but in some cases it can be implicated in a small subset via the upregulation of MCP-1. And if someone has had vaccines prior to MMR that contain aluminum adjuvant this MCP-1 can summon macrophages that can consume this nanoparticulate form of Aluminum Adjuvant and move it past the blood brain barrier and potentiate a possible cause of Autism. This means MMR can act as an accessory to the fact, even though its been shown to not Directly cause autism. Make sense? Its important to note the CDC\FDA use the term aluminum to loosely when they speak of this issue. The safety tests they did are invalid for two very important reasons. The forms of aluminum they tested are the WRONG type, yet they call it ALL Aluminum. They did an apples to oranges comparison, they tested two types of aluminum that CAN'T pass the blood brain barrier. Namely the ionic and citrate forms of aluminum, and they use tests based on ingested ionic aluminum which makes it invalid as it was not the injected nanoparticulate form of aluminum, and simulates nothing. The test they did with injected aluminum were done with the citrate form again not the kind used in todays vaccines which makes their safety tests invalid once again. Further they did not test with brains still in development, this is CRUCIAL, so once more that would further invalidate their claims of aluminum adjuvant safety. To test for safety it is of paramount importance to have tested with the actual kind of aluminum used in actual vaccines, that nanoparticulate form and on brains still developing, which they have not done. HENCE the FDA\CDC claims of safety for Aluminum Adjuvant are simply INVALID, point blank end of story! They need to go back to the drawing board and do the safety tests correctly and compare apples to apples. They need to test the nanoparticulate form of aluminum injected not ingested on brains that are still in developmental stages for an apples to apples comparison. But since they have not again ALL their safety tests are INVALID. I know that is a heavy claim but its true. Therefore I must IMPLORE you to VOTE NO on HB3063! And if you do pass that immoral bill, make sure you exempt people from families that have a history of autoimmune disorders and or diseases at least, make that an amendment to the HB3063 so in case it does pass people that are at risk can be safe, just like kids on chemo or other valid reasons for medical exemptions. Exempt kids with family histories of autoimmune disorder and diseases at the very least or negative reactions to vaccines. As an aside there are other reasons to not allow HB3063 to go forward, namely the law put forth in 1986 that essentially gives vaccine makers a free pass if they cause harm as they have been exonerated and can not suffer liability and be sued. IT would be immorally irreprehensible to both let

this 1986 law exist and yet MANDATE Vaccines! They are not mutually compatible, because what if Science does not have the final answer as is being presumed, what if one day we do discover vaccines do cause harm? Recall cigarettes, and asbestos, among others, these were claimed as safe in the same way vaccines are being claimed safe and these were eventually found to not be safe. What if that happens with vaccines, then what? If you mandate and we can't at the same time sue for liability that is draconian and just plain crazy, Note Washington state just took steps that would ask government to overturn the 1986 law that makes vaccines makers not liable for any harm they cause. Also it must be stated look at how Autism rates have increased in California since mandated vaccines, its not just because were taking better measurements and even it if it were that does not take away from the absolute value of the 17% increase, that just means there were far more cases then we knew about in the first place which means even prior to mandated vaccines the situation was far worse then they thought. Which begs the question even further why? Which I think I have elucidated above in this very short summary of some of the known facts. I have not given references here, but have done so in other emails if you care to search under my name Paul Ramirez in your inbox. Please exercise a little reason and VOTE NO on HB3063 before its too late I IMPLORE you. I have 4 sons, 3 of which developed Autism after MMR. Coincidence you say? Statistically that is not supposed to be possible, but it happened to my family and our 4th son has not been vaccinated fully for fear the same thing may result, please help me protect my last son, we are in an at risk category and have suffered greatly at the hands of vaccines. I wish they were safe, but they have not proven safe for everyone, even if most people turn out ok. We are in the group of people that need to depend on herd immunity, so at least make sure medical exemptions cover cases like mine. We have a history of autoimmune disorders in my family, of Type 1 diabetes, and Type 2, as well as hypothyroidism\Hashimoto's and have one son who is 11 and still can not use the restroom and needs to be changed like a very young child and is not verbal. Before his MMR he was a talkative lad that was full of potential, his vaccine injury stripped all that potential away and we had to watch him regress and it has been absolutely heartbreaking to experience. We can't allow that risk for our last and 4th son who is only 5 years old and is the brightest of all our kids, it would kill us if he were to also develop Autism especially as bad as our 11 year old. This is personal and scientific reasoning, I don't want to have to rest on philosophical or religious beliefs, but if I have to, to protect my young then so be it, so please vote NO on HB3063 and any Bill like it. Paul Ramirez resident of Oregon 1-562-440-2131 << We left my home state of California to protect our 4th son, please don't change the law that brought us to Oregon in the first place, we uprooted our whole life to come here, now were threatened with this. So therefore again please unequivocally vote NO on HB3063!!! I IMPLORE you as a Father protecting his young.