

To Whom It May Concern:

As the Reps vote on HB 3063, it would be wise to consider the many flaws within the bill that would mess up exponentially more lives than it would help. However, I would hope the intention of this bill IS to help kids, though I don't see how considering even in 100% populations there are still pertussis outbreaks, like we see in CA currently, and even mumps outbreaks. Nature ALWAYS finds a way! We can't play god - nature will prevail, in many unintended ways and consequences if we keep forcing our will over nature...

It seems very rash to pass a bill with as many flaws as this bill, and a bill that will hurt exponentially more kids than it will help...

Other flaws:

— This amendment still doesn't allow exemptions for IEPs and students/families who depend on public school special education programs.

— This amendment still doesn't allow for titers to prove naturally acquired immunity. Why would a child who has already acquired immunity to measles naturally, have to be vaccinated for it?

— This amendment still calls the current status of our state "an emergency" which is unequivocally untrue.

— This amendment doesn't allow children to finish the school year. The trauma of ripping a child from their peers and classroom mere months before the end of the year will be immense. Especially for those in high school preparing to graduate.

Although I am 100% against this bill, as America was built on the premise of freedom, the following amendments would make a bit more sense, such as:

1. Grandfather clause on all Personal Belief Exemptions until end of 2019/2020 school year.
2. Bill is effective start of 2020/21 school year.
3. Medical exemptions shall be made at the full discretion of the child's attending physician and not restricted to the CDC contradictions. Exempt a child from vaccination when the child's physician concludes that there are circumstances, including but not limited to, family medical history, for which the physician does not recommend vaccination.
4. Religious exemptions be retained without a religious exemption needing the approval of a Doctor or Clergy. The morality of being forced to use products that contain aborted fetal cells, as well as animal DNA, should never be mandated. Supreme Court has upheld "sincerely held religious beliefs" to the same scrutiny as any organized religion.
5. Students with Individual Education Programs(IEP) are federally protected; therefore they are EXCLUDED from this bill.
6. Conditional enrollment for children needing to catch up on vaccines. A doctor can write a letter stating the child will be receiving the "needed" vaccines but on a spread out schedule safer for the child.
7. This bill excludes private schools, online schools and homeschooled children.
8. OHA must report the incidence of every disease that must be vaccinated for and indicate vaccine status of the people who contracted the disease.

9. Specify that the requirement applies only to Pertussis and Measles - the others are not public health concerns sufficient to override the rights of school age children to make private medical decisions

10. A child should be able to prove immunity through titers.

Those amendment said, I have a major philosophical objection that may as well be religious, but I don't have a specific religion, therefore my objections are philosophical based, but just as valid as a religious belief.

I AM opposed ethically and morally to injecting aborted fetal cells and animal DNA into my or my children's body. All vaccines contain one of both (sometimes stated as "media and buffer ingredients")

I am even more so opposed because article 13.1 in every insert states the vaccines have not been tested for its mutagenic or carcinogenic effects.

To add to that, here's more links from the FDA and NIH stating vaccines contain the potential to cause cancer and contain retroviruses, which by their very definition mutate DNA :

<https://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/ScienceResearch/BiologicsResearchAreas/ucm127328.htm>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15473315>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/851454>

That was just the top 3 I chose from a google search - I implore you to do your own.

The gov't should in no way, shape or form mandate medical drugs, treatments, or procedures, especially with something that hasn't been tested in the various ways it should be tested (such as the admittance of no testing done for its mutagenic or carcinogenic effects). This needs to be left to the parents and their Drs ONLY.

Please vote no on HB 3063

Sincerely,

Nicole Asprocolas
Portland, Oregon