

To: The House Committee on Economic Development

I am encouraged that both the House and Senate have decided to take up the issue of the social consumption of cannabis in Oregon. However, I oppose House Bill 2233 as currently written and support Senate Bill 639 as written because of a key policy difference that I find to be incredibly discriminatory; the Indoor Clean Air Act.

The committee must follow the will of the people! The majority of Oregonians voted yes on measure my 91. With a clear understanding that it would be treated "Like alcohol". The state is covered with bars and clubs where you can consume alcohol indoors. This is exactly what Oregonians expected for Cannabis when we passed measure 91. Cannabis was added to the clean air act after we voted to legalize it for adult use. Cannabis smoke and tobacco are not the same! Adding Cannabis to the clean air act completely ignores science. This policy also discriminates against people who do not own their home. And what about tourism? People travel to Oregon from all over the world to enjoy its natural beauty, our award-winning wines, craft brew and the best cannabis in the world.

Sincerely,

Steve Shumate
Portland, Oregon