

My name is Richard Kirschner, I live in Ashland, Oregon, and I am a bestselling author, speaker, business skills trainer, filmmaker, father and grandfather.

I oppose HB3063.

I was just informed yesterday that the hearing for HB3063 has been scheduled for tomorrow. I wish the wheels of justice turned with such haste. Where's the fire? Surely it's not in the non-epidemic of 4 cases of Measles in the state. This bill acts as if we have experienced some kind of calamity requiring a rapid response, when no such urgency exists! State vaccination rates are at an all time high. The Measles scare so eagerly promoted by the hyperbolic press has raised that level even higher. What this bill proposes to do is not only unnecessary, it is immoral.

I would like to see an investigation of the Oregon Health Authority, which has been promoting false information about exemption rates in order to justify this egregious bill. I also believe an investigation into the fast tracking of this bill is in order.

Make no mistake: HB3063 will harm Oregonians.

In a 2014 analysis in the Oregon Law Review by New York University (NYU) legal scholars Mary Holland and Chase E. Zachary (who also has a Princeton-conferred doctorate in chemistry), the authors show that 60 years of compulsory vaccine policies "have not attained herd immunity for any childhood disease." It is time, they suggest, to cast aside coercion in favor of voluntary choice.

Mandatory vaccination fails every medical ethics test.

Does HB3063 respect the autonomy of the individual? No.

Is the benefit greater than risk? No. Not with a one sized fits all approach.

Is it non-malevolent? No. it is aimed squarely at submission of citizens to a vaccination program that the Supreme Court of the United States says is inherently unsafe. Meanwhile, the constant denial of harm by industry and

public health agencies and now Oregon Legislators introducing the bill has left thousands of Oregon's citizens to deal with vaccine harm.

Is there Justice for those harmed: NO. Most doctors will not report vaccine injury to VAERS, which means most parents of damaged children can't even have their day in court. A Harvard Law review found that only 1% of vaccine injuries are reported to VAERS.

Is mandatory vaccination based on truthfulness and honesty: NO. There has been a coverup of the damage caused by contaminated vaccines. Vaccines have never been tested against placebo. No science has been conducted on the safety of the entire schedule. What we have is rigged science, captured regulators, hidden agendas and corruption in high places driving the push for this bill and similar bills introduced as suddenly across the nation.

Further, mandating more kids to get vaccinated won't even prevent outbreaks of measles. Read about an outbreak in a highly vaccinated community right on the CDC website, by following this link. Measles Outbreak in HIGHLY VACCINATED COMMUNITY (from CDC) <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/67/wr/mm6742a4.htm>

Herd immunity is a scientific impossibility when it comes to vaccines. Kids don't just go to school and stay there. They interact with myriads of people in a wide variety of situations. Here's an article that explains the false rationale of herd immunity being used by lawmakers to push bills like HB3603.

https://medium.com/@WorldMercury/herd-immunity-a-false-rationale-for-vaccine-mandates-10af780f4fe8?fbclid=IwAR1ICQjBGhFSgzZBa_jY42rHeQeHhni9mI_e6sy1bjvSB6zmOZYmuHcCfHg

Meanwhile, there is a subset of children who suffer severe health consequences from vaccination. Most of the cases will not be heard in the VAERS court. That means families will shoulder the liability this law inflicts on their children.

The drug industry refuses to investigate genetic predisposition to harm, because they have zero liability for their faulty products, and doctors typically make no effort to test patients for the potential for vaccine injury. Yet this bill would force these products onto Oregonian families, or they have to give up public education for their kids. How does this even remotely make sense? It doesn't, unless the legislators pushing this represent, not the citizens of our state, but the corrosive and corrupt pharmaceutical industry and their liability free vaccine business.

And mark my words: This bill will have the side effect of undermining support for public education, lowering confidence in our political process, and raising the cost of providing education for the few families that can afford to homeschool in order to protect their children.

Poor families will have no alternative but to submit, so the bill is unfair to the poor as well.

And as families are forced out of the system by an unwillingness to risk their children's health because some legislators decided to override informed consent and help the corrupt pharmaceutical industry increase its liability free profits, you will find many small businesses and many families fleeing the state as has happened in California since it overrode the people's wishes and implemented the deceitful SB277.

As to fast tracking the hearing of this bill:

This is an inadequate amount of time for people to make plans to attend, including many professionals, and you've scheduled it at a time of day when many parents are preparing to pick their kids up from school. The roads are currently impassable in some parts of the state, and there is more winter weather on its way. Scheduling it this way certainly gives the appearance of an effort by powerful people to short circuit public engagement and limit attendance on an important issue. It's anti-Democratic, but then, the pharmaceutical industry is used to getting its way, our rights as citizens of a free nation are irrelevant to them. Are they irrelevant to you? We the people of Oregon are watching to see if our state government is also now captured by industry.

In summary: This is bad legislation, introduced by a legislator who delivered it along with a pack of falsehoods, particularly regarding the risks and dangers of a one size fits all vaccine regime. It was introduced in an anti-democratic way, the people of Oregon have not asked for it and do not want it, and I ask that you oppose it with whatever strength and moral conviction you can muster.

Colorado's government, when faced with a similar effort to undermine the hard earned principle of informed consent that underlies all medical ethics, made a better choice.

"Colorado Gov. Jared Polis(D) on Tuesday defended his state's vaccination exemptions as a measles outbreak has drawn new attention to immunization practices. While Polis said inoculation is important, he argued that the government can't physically force parents to participate.

"It's important that parents vaccinate their children, but you can't do that at the point of a gun," Polis told Hill.TV's Krystal Ball and Buck Sexton during an interview on "Rising."

"When the government tries to force parents to do this, it creates distrust in both vaccinations and distrust in government," added Polis, whose state has one of the lowest vaccination rates in the country."

https://thehill.com/hilltv/rising/431580-colorado-governor-defends-exemptions-states-cant-enforce-vaccines-at-the-point-of-a-gun?fbclid=IwAR2OPhQF6uIRm4dHhZAY7tBTcW5nHojDSVoLhnDFrPaBw_INcUkYAXL7RU

I remain hopeful that our state will not be undermined by hidden agendas that only benefit the drug industry and its agents. I remain hopeful that there are still a few people in politics who are decent human beings able to apply ethics to their decision making instead of the fear, hyperbole and falsehoods promoted by a liability free industry and its agents and enablers.

Sincerely
Richard M Kirschner
Ashland, Oregon