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## KPM \# Approved Key Performance Measures (KPMs)

Hunting License Purchases - Percent of the license buying population with hunting licenses and/or tags Angling License Purchases - Percent of the license buying population with angling licenses and/or tags.
3 Wildlife Damage - Number of wildlife damage complaints addressed annually.
4 Oregon Species of Concern - Percent of fish species of concern (listed as threatened, endangered, or sensitive) being monitored
5 Oregon Species of Concern Percent of wildlife species of concern (listed as threatened, endangered, or sensitive) being monitored. -
6 Decreasing the Number of Unscreened Water Diversions - Number of unscreened priority water diversions.
 accuracy, helpfulness, expertise and availability of information

Boards and Commissions - Percent of total best practices met by the Department of Fish and Wildlife, State Fish and Wildife Commission


| Performance Summary | Green | Yellow | Red |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | = Target to -5\% | = Target -5\% to - $15 \%$ | = Target $>-15 \%$ |
| Summary Stats: | 62.50\% | 25\% | 12.50\% |

* Upward Trend = positive result

$\square$ actual $\square$ target

| Report Year | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of the License Buying Population Age 12-69 With Hunting Licenses and/or Tags |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actual | 8.40\% | 8.30\% | 8.20\% | 11.03\% | 10.60\% |
| Target | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% |

## How Are We Doing



 fishing privileges, and fuller accounting of Pioneer Combination licenseholders.

## Factors Affecting Results

Many social factors affect the level of participation, such as tastes and preferences and state population demographics. Causes of the variance in participation may include but are not limited to: (1) state population increases are greater in urban than rural areas (rural residents are more likely to hunt), (2) hunter population is aging out of the sport, (3) price increases in hunting licenses and tags in 2004 and 2010, and (4) societal tastes and preferences are changing to favor other forms of recreation. Participation is also influenced by the quality and quantity of hunting opportunity. Populations of some game species have declined due to a variety of factors, such as: (1) landscape scale changes in habitat such as increased control of wildfires and reduced timber harvest on federal lands resulting in less early seral stage habitat, (2) invasive species such as cheatgrass and medusahead outcompeting/replacing native species that provided better forage for wildlife, (3) increased predation resulting from increased protection of bears and cougars, and now the return of wolves, (4) increased human population and development means less habitat for wildife, particularly lower elevation winter range, (5) increased disease issues including two old world louse species causing deer hair loss in western and more recently eastern Oregon. Reduced opportunity due to fewer available animals also contributes to the social factors because limited number of hunting tags means some hunters are not able to hunt their accustomed areas each year which may reduce interest in the sport and affect family hunting.

* Upward Trend = positive result

$\square$ actual $\square$ target

| Report Year | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of the License Buying Population Age 14-69 With Fishing Licenses And/Or Tags |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actual | 17.60\% | 17.80\% | 17\% | 22.76\% | 20.20\% |
| Target | 21.40\% | 21.40\% | 21.40\% | 21.40\% | 21.40\% |

## How Are We Doing

The fishing participation data is for calendar year 2017. In 2017, 20.2\% of the state license-buying population (ages 12 to 69 years) participated in angling in Oregon. Although overall participation is relatively flat in recent years, participation in angling in Oregon has been declining when measured in proportion to the growing state population. For the period of 2000 to 2015 , the participation rate for angling has decreased from $21.7 \%$ to $17.0 \%$ of the state population ages 14 to 69 (or nearly a $20 \%$ decline in the proportion of state's angling population since 2000 ). The 14 to 69 years segment of Oregon's population has grown from 2.45 million in 2000 to 2.90 million in 2015. For 2016 and 2017, the new Youth License expanded the lower limit of the license-buying age to 12 years old from the previous one of 14 years old. This meant both that additional youth anglers could be counted, but also that a somewhat larger proportion of Oregon's overall population would be included in the calculation.

The total number of Oregon resident anglers has been more stable through time compared to the participation rate, showing a decline of less than $5 \%$ since 2000 . Although angling participation rates have been stable over the last six years, they remain below the target level of $21.4 \%$.

## Factors Affecting Results

Many social factors affect the level of angling participation, such as preferences and state population demographics. Causes of the variance in participation may include but are not limited to: (1) the vast majority of state population increases have been in urban rather than rural areas and urban residents are less likely to fish, (2) price increases in angling licenses and tags in 2004 and 2010, and (3) societal tastes and preferences changing in favor other forms of recreation, and (4) complexity of regulations required to provide diverse fishing opportunities compatible with wild fish conservation. In addition, in a national study of recreational fishing conducted for American Sportfishing Association, survey respondents indicated that "not enough time", "takes time away from family", and "health/age" are the main reasons why fishing is no longer a top activity for them. Participation can also be affected by the quality and quantity of fishing opportunities. A key driver is

* Upward Trend = negative result



## How Are We Doing

This data is for calendar year 2017. In 2017, there were 3,679 wildlife damage complaints addressed, which is below the target level. For the 2000-2017 period, the total number of complaints has varied from a high of 5,419 in 2001 to a low of 3,210 in 2013. Annual complaint numbers have tended to be lower in recent years (average of 3,864 for 2008-2016) relative to earlier years (average of 4,906 for 2000-2007) . The number of complaints has been below the target level for each of the last eight years. While there may be a downward trend in complaints since 2000 , environmental factors can cause the number of complaints to vary widely from year to year. For example, bear complaints increased from 365 in 2009 to 921 in 2010, then declined to 457 in 2011 . Future reporting could concentrate on specific categories of damage for consistency, interpretation of variance, and trends.

## Factors Affecting Results

The population levels of wildlife causing damage relative to the location of residences, ranches and farms is a major factor, movement of people from urban to rural areas also creates conflicts as they move into areas historically inhabited by wildlife and create attractive nuisances such gardens, ornamental plants, bird feeders and garbage. Changing land use/land cover can also cause conflicts, such as changing from pastures and forestry to nurseries and vineyards. Environmental factors can cause the number of complaints to vary widely from year to year, for example, (1) in dry years complaints of damage caused by deer and elk increase because animals move to agricultural lands, many of which are irrigated, (2) there is an increase in conflicts with bears reported during years when there are poor wild berry and acorn crops because the bear rely more on foods associated with humans, (3) years with distemper outbreaks result in increased raccoon and fox related complaints.

KPM \#4 Oregon Species of Concern - Percent of fish species of concern (listed as threatened, endangered, or sensitive) being monitored
Data Collection Period: Jan 01 - Jan 01

* Upward Trend = positive result



## How Are We Doing

A large proportion of fish species of concern are currently monitored by ODFW. The percent monitored was $74 \%$ in 2017 (data is for calendar year 2017). Although this value is below the targeted level of $90 \%$, it has remained relatively stable over the past several years. Because of resource constraints, there are uncertainties related to species status. Variation in the types, timeframe, and purposes of monitoring efforts are not reflected in this measure. The level of certainty at the current level of monitoring is another factor that is not considered by this measure. The agency will continue to seek funding sources that will allow for increased monitoring of these fish species. Also in 2017, ODFW began collection of genetic samples to support a comprehensive genetic database of Oregon's fish species. This genetic sequence library will provide a foundation for efficient genetic-based monitoring techniques.

These data are provided by agency personnel from their knowledge of monitoring on an ongoing basis. Lists of threatened and endangered species are updated every five years and an update is in progress. The lists can be found at:

Lists of sensitive species can be found at:
http://www.dfw.state.or.us/wildlife/diversity/species/sensitive_species.asp

Monitoring data for anadromous salmon and steelhead can be found at ODFW's Salmon and Steelhead Recovery Tracker:

## http://www.odfwrecoverytracker.org/

## Factors Affecting Results

The actual level and types of data collected, timeframe, context of threats and species status are factors related to prioritization of monitoring efforts. Given these factors, the actual level of

 Lake Sucker, Alvord Lake Chub Catlow Valley Redband Trout, Lahontan Cutthroat Trout). ODFW has been engaged with a coordinating committee to revise recovery criteria for Lahontan cutthroat trout.

KPM \#5 Oregon Species of Concern Percent of wildlife species of concern (listed as threatened, endangered, or sensitive) being monitored. Data Collection Period: Jan 01 - Jan 01

* Upward Trend = positive result



## How Are We Doing

The percent of wildlife species of concern being monitored was $50 \%$ in 2017 (data is for calendar year 2017), slightly below the target level. In 2016, the department modified the state sensitive species list in order to be consistent with the Oregon Conservation Strategy. The Strategy and the Sensitive species list were approved by the Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in 2016. Ninety-six wildlife listings are maintained as sensitive by the department ( 10 listings were removed and 18 were newly listed in the revision). The level had been $52 \%$ to $54 \%$ for the last five years, all of which were above the target levels. The actual activities such as the associated types of monitoring, timeframe and purpose of monitoring are additional factors not addressed by this measure. Because of resource constraints there are uncertainties related to species' status. The level of certainty at the current level of monitoring is another factor that is not considered by this measure. ODFW continues to promote sustained monitoring efforts within the agency and with our external partners. Monitoring efforts are focused around priority species listed in the 2016 update to the Oregon Conservation Strategy (including Nearshore Strategy component) and, in 2015, the agency completed a prioritized list of the top fifteen species most likely to be impacted by energy development and prioritized the needs for additional research or synthesis of best available science to fill data gaps for each. Efforts to match available resources and partnerships to address the prioritized information needs are ongoing. Few "species of concern" are monitored exclusively by the department. Monitoring and research activities are partnerships with other government agencies, academia, and conservation organizations. ODFW plays various roles in these efforts, from providing the technical expertise to leading larger-scale monitoring efforts. The species monitored and the extent of the effort can vary from year to year. ODFW does not control this level of effort. The agency and conservation partners will continue to seek funding sources that will allow for increased monitoring of these wildife species of concern.

These data are provided by agency personnel from their knowledge of monitoring on an ongoing basis. The lists of threatened and endangered species were updated in 2015 (removal of Gray Wolf) and the list of sensitive species was updated in 2016. The list of species of greatest conservation need identified in the Oregon Conservation Strategy were updated in 2016. These lists can

## be found at:

http://www.dfw.state.or.us/wildlife/diversity/species/threatened_endangered_species.asp
http://www.dfw.state.or.us/wildlife/diversity/species/sensitive species.asp
http://umw.oregonconservationstrategy.org

## Factors Affecting Results

The actual level and types of data collected, timeframe, context of threats and species status are factors that influence the prioritization of monitoring efforts. Given these factors, the actual level of monitoring and dedicated resources could increase without an increase or decrease in number of species monitored. A significant number of species are monitored by ODFW's partner agencies and nongovernmental conservation organizations.

* Upward Trend = negative result


| Report Year | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Unscreened Priority Water Diversions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actual | 1,707 | 1,657 | 1,609 | 1,570 | 1,520 |
| Target | 1,781 | 1,706 | 1,675 | 1,644 | 1,600 |

## How Are We Doing


 on a number of valuable fish passage projects that take staff time and fiscal resources but do not show up on a fish screen report.

ODFW will continue to develop cooperative relationships with water users and other entities to implement fish protection measures at diversions responsible for the loss of fish. Fish screen
 Oregon as required in statute.

## Factors Affecting Results




 under the current trend in funding allocated to this program.


| Report Year | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Availability of Information |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actual | 85.90\% | No Data | 92.60\% | No Data | 89\% |
| Target | 92\% | TBD | 92\% | 0\% | 93\% |
| Helpfulness |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actual | 91.40\% | No Data | 94.20\% | No Data | 90\% |
| Target | 92\% | TBD | 92\% | 0\% | 93\% |
| Expertise |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actual | 89.90\% | No Data | 92.10\% | No Data | 84\% |
| Target | 92\% | TBD | 92\% | 0\% | 93\% |
| Overall |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actual | 88\% | No Data | 94.10\% | No Data | 93\% |
| Target | 92\% | TBD | 92\% | 0\% | 93\% |
| Timeliness |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actual | 90\% | No Data | 94.20\% | No Data | 93\% |
| Target | 92\% | TBD | 92\% | 0\% | 93\% |
| Accuracy |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actual | 90.50\% | No Data | 94\% | No Data | 93\% |
| Target | 92\% | TBD | 92\% | 0\% | 93\% |

How Are We Doing




 highest at $93 \%$ and were the only categories that met or exceeded the target.


 with regulations and mobile version of Oregon Hunting Access Map; increased availability of mandatory hunter education courses during periods of peak demand; development of strategic
 customer interests, attitudes, experiences and expectations.

## Factors Affecting Results






 in 2006 to $28 \%$ in 2014. It is expected that ODFW will have sufficient emails for other customer groups in the future to enable the department to survey those groups online in addition to the

 allows for more responses per year.

KPM \#8 Boards and Commissions - Percent of total best practices met by the Department of Fish and Wildlife, State Fish and Wildlife Commission.
Data Collection Period: Jan 01 - Jan 01

* Upward Trend = positive result



## How Are We Doing

 question survey for the reporting period. The selflassessment process allows the Commission to think about how its activities meet best practices standards. With this information in mind, improvements can be made where they are identified. The current performance level is $100 \%$ of best practices met, which reaches our target goal. There were very few comments from commissioners indicating any issues affecting overall performance.

## Factors Affecting Results

Many of the best practices are met by routine commission activities. Keeping on schedule for these activities will allow the Commission to continue to meet these practices.

