My name is Lennon Clark and I am a Salem Oregon resident.

I oppose HB 3063 and I urge you to oppose it as well.

Our current vaccination schedule has never been safety tested as it stands, to see how multiple vaccines interact with one another. What's more, the majority of vaccines have never safety tested with a placebo, but rather with either an older version of the vaccine or an adjuvant (a vaccine ingredient, usually aluminum salts). This is poor science and wouldn't be acceptable in other fields of testing. I know these statements sounds unbelievable. But they are true. Here is one document that backup these facts: https://icandecide.org/wp-content/uploads/whitepapers/VaccineSafety-Version-1.0-October-2-2017.pdf

On top of the poor testing of vaccines, all vaccines approved for use in children have been liability-free since 1986, so the manufacture isn't even held accountable for its product.

I know that many are concerned with protecting immune compromised children. However, according to the Physicians for Informed Consent, unvaccinated children do not pose a threat to the immune compromised. See the following site with 24 references of studies to support this claim. https://physiciansforinformedconsent.org/immunocompromised-schoolchildren/rgis/

It is unethical and immoral to mandate a product that contains ingredients that go against some people's beliefs. The ingredients for all vaccines can be found on the CDC website here: https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pubs/pinkbook/downloads/appendices/B/excipient-table-2.pdf Multiple vaccines contain aborted fetal cell matter (MRC-5 and WI-38) and while it is true that currently only two aborted fetuses' cellular derivatives are in the vaccines, many many more have been aborted and used in the process of making the vaccines. See the recent video deposition of vaccine researcher Stanley Plotkin January 11, 2018. Other vaccines contain animal DNA. These and other vaccine ingredients have not been tested for carcinogenic effects, something that is states in 13.1 of every vaccine insert.

Parents should have the final choice in deciding what gets injected into their children. This decision is best left between a family and their doctor, on an individual basis, not because of the state's mandate. If you truly want to increase vaccination rates, your focus should be on investigating why parents are declining vaccines.

While it is true that parents who wish to opt out of some or all vaccines could simply homeschool their child, this approach is discriminatory. Many families are single parent households and are unable to homeschool. Others are financially unable to have a stay at home parent to homeschool their children. And excluding children from school creates inequalities for their access to education.

Medical exemptions are extremely hard to obtain in Oregon. The very narrow list of reactions required leaves many children who have adversely reacted to a vaccine without an exemption.

Vaccine reactions are real. It is estimated that vaccine reactions are vastly under reported, with only 1-10% of all reactions being reported. Vaccines are not safe for everyone. This is why we cannot remove non-medical exemptions. Furthermore, it is unethical to state that a child may only (possibly) receive an exemption AFTER they have had a bad reaction. I personally would rather not play Russian roulette with my children.

We do not have a vaccination problem in Oregon. Our state's vaccination rates are very high, with MMR rates for K-12 at 95%, which is high enough for herd immunity. Measles is not a dangerous disease in a developed country. According to the Physicians for Informed Consent,1 in 10,000 or 0.01% of measles cases are fatal. Only in countries with poor sanitation and inadequate nutrition is measles a dangerous disease. https://physiciansforinformedconsent.org/measles/dis/

Thank you and I urge you to oppose HB 3063

Lennon Clark Salem, Oregon

--

~ Lennon Clark