

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Oregon House...

While I understand the intent behind this bill, I do not believe that enough thought and research has gone into this bill. If the intent is to actually protect society (adults & children) from MMR outbreaks, we do not clearly have enough research that indicates the outbreaks are of the same strain that we dealt with in the 50's, 60's, 70's, 80's or even 90's due to the extensive global travel now available to us.

To make a blanket mandatory requirement of receiving vaccinations is political abuse of power when the research does not warrant such actions. To be more effective, it would seem that the bill needs to be tabled, redressed after there is more research and facts instead of over-reacting and reducing our freedoms as individuals and parents.

As an individual who was vaccinated and a parent who vaccinated their children, I want to oppose this bill in its entirety.

Do more research, get more facts, and make it a choice with options if this is about public school attendance and society safeguards.

Sincerely,

Christine Danning, MSL