I am a parent to a soon-to-be kindergartener and local small business owner and I strongly oppose HB3063.

Since measles was the springboard for HB3063, please consider that in the decade prior to the vaccine, the rate of death was 0.0125%, meaning 99.9875% of the population acquired the disease, survived, and acquired life-long immunity, using CDC data of 4 million cases and 500 deaths, respectively. Given that statistic, the fact that there were no Oregon public school or community acquired measles cases, and that our MMR rates are and continue to be high, with only a 3.9% exemption rate for MMR and a 2.6% exemption rate for all vaccines, and that measles has always and will continue to be present in our population (even if it were possible to gain 100% vaccine uptake which is impossible given a 5-10% vaccine nonresponder rate), I fail to see how exemption rates are hinged upon affecting community health, particularly where the vaccine uptake for perceived herd immunity for measles is currently met in our community at 96.1%, higher than the CDC recommendation. There appears to be no public health emergency that would require this mandate. Instead, it would be forcing the use of products (in excess) and which carry inherent risk of injury or death (in some cases greater risk than that of exclusion) and that carry no liability for said risk and being classified by our government as "unavoidably unsafe" on a minority population for no demonstrated or effective gain.

Additionally, there seems to be no cap in place on the expansion of the CDC schedule and with 200+ vaccines currently undergoing patents, a mandate presents an obvious problem. Currently we are 69 doses of 16 vaccines by age 18. What would be an acceptable ceiling before we said that's too many? And how can we decide if we have no choice?

Mandating the use of vaccines only encourages less accountability and demand for safety research and testing for these products in a free market. Vaccines are the only FDA approved products that DO NOT follow the gold standard of safety testing despite the fact that they are administered to 95% of our children. They carry no liability as a result of The National Childhood Vaccine Act of 1986 and \$4 billion of taxpayer money has been paid out to families of vaccine-injured children since via the vaccine injury compensation program. With no liability in place, clearly no accountability as was evidenced by HHS's inability to provide 30 years' worth of mandated safety testing, no push for better safety testing from the mainstream medical industry, little to no market competition, and mandated use, why *would* a company have any incentive on making a better, safer, and more effective product? They wouldn't. The inflated CDC schedule (the most aggressive vaccination schedule in a developed country in the world) is evidence enough of their motives.

The US has the sickest children who have the highest amount of healthcare spending per capita and the highest infant mortality rate (according to the *Child Mortality In The US And 19 OECD Comparator Nations: A 50-Year Time-Trend Analysis study*). "While child mortality progressively declined across all countries, mortality in the US has been higher than in peer nations since the 1980s. From 2001 to 2010 the risk of death in the US was 76 percent greater for infants." With a 1 in 59 autism rate (which is a huge crisis) as compared to the 1 in 2,000 rate in the 1980s,

unprecedented rate of auto-immune and neurological disorders, is it so unreasonable to ask the vaccine manufacturers and the government to look deeper into the science, particularly since the seemingly single most consistent and broad toxin load is the same across 95% of our kids nationwide? It's the one thing we refuse to look at and yet it is the only consistent impact we *can* study. Compare the health record of our kids and the tripling of the CDC schedule and you can seem some obvious trends.

We are among the many families that will not comply with this mandate and will choose to move out of state or country. This will ultimately have a far broader negative economic and health impact than if you choose to do nothing and retain exemptions as we are not the only people to make this choice given a lack of medical choice, as you heard in yesterday's testimony.

It would be grossly irresponsible and unconstitutional to move forward with this bill, regardless of your view on vaccination. Holding education hostage to coerce people into medical interventions that carries the risk of death or injury is so wrong.

Please oppose this bill.

Clara Johnson clara@capandfeather.com 503-593-3794