

Written testimony for hnc.exhibits@oregonlegislature.gov

I, Lisa Pearse, believe the following to be true. I have been looking into the issue of to vaccinate or not to vaccinate my children for 20 years. My oldest child is 16 years old and has a brain injury believed to be by health care professions and educators a result of environmental toxins including those in vaccines. It took me 5 years to understand and process the information I now know. I am a college graduate, I work as a child abuse screener at the new Oregon State Child Abuse Hotline. I have many medical professionals personally whom I have consulted with about my son's injury and also paid to have my son seen. He does not qualify presently for ACIP's approved medical exemption and certainly his siblings do not and will not until harmed. We carry the MTHFR gene mutation using vaccines is really like playing Russian roulette with my children.

Here are some of the reasons I oppose this bill, House bill 3063:

1. I vaccinated my child and saw vaccine injury right before my eyes, twice, and doctors did not hear my concerns. We were home by that point, so it was not in front of the doctors. Before the first shot, health care workers cautioned me in the hallways, outside the legal doors of the exam room; one older public health nurse actually said, "vaccines are to train the parent in the first year of life, they do no benefit to the child." This is true as the baby receives their immune system through the breast milk. "How do they train the parent?" I asked. "In the medical model," she replied and would not say any more. That was in August of 2003 and I wish I could take that day back, my son suffered horrible GI tract issues for 3 weeks and when I called the doctors, they said it was a coincidence. The vaccines did not cause his sudden turn in behavior and gut issues at 23 months of life.

2. Vaccines have never been studied in a double blind, placebo test. Also they have not been studied in the combination given, yet more keep getting added to the schedule.

3. You don't understand vaccine injury until you have lived it, it is then you realize, you are the study. Yet nobody is keeping track of your results, so really there is no study. No way of tracking the damage.

4. I have the right to bodily integrity. This crosses the line. I should not have to inject a pharmaceutical product manufactured in China, let alone domestically, into my body to go to school.

5. My children have the right to a free public school education.

6. I should not have to send my children (3 nonvaccinated, 1 vaccinated) to a doctor to get poisoned, to then possibly fit into a national vaccine injury criteria handed down by ACIP to get a tightly fit medical exemption on a single vaccine, only to turn around and discover the doctor expects me to vaccinate with all the other vaccines.

7. Schools deserve to use their resources to fulfill their mission, not be the vaccine police.

8. Aluminum should never enter the human body.

9. Aborted fetus matter should never enter the human body.

10. Some of us have the MTHFR mutation, it affects about 50% of the population and affects people's ability to clear the toxins from the vaccine and other environmental pollution. In these people, some have 60% capacity to clear the toxins, other 10%, this explains the wide variety of vaccine injury. This also explains how through family culture and oral stories, someone like me can just "know" there are risks. We all have the best intentions for our children, some of us cannot put into words the complicated story of risk we pass to our children.

11. Systems are always 20 years behind the times. There is no way around this fact. We cannot look to a medically trained doctor, who trained in a school funded by pharmaceutical companies, resided in a hospital program funded by pharmaceutical money and receives ongoing training by the same industry

and expect them to know all things. They know sick patients and sick disease best, they know vaccines are "safe and effective" and they never sat and looked objectively at all sides of the issue. There are actually other forms of healthcare, many doctors and nurses utilize alternative care for themselves.

12. A vaccine injury in one child does not exempt the siblings.

13. A mandated vaccine law would violate the Nuremberg code in two ways: lack of freedom of choice and being a guinea pig in a test never consented to.

14. Informed consent is not achieved in routine healthcare.

15. Family cohesion: I know of families holding it together with differing views on vaccines. One parent has learned a tremendous amount of information, the other holds strong to the public view of safe vaccines. These families hold together for the children with the exemption we have now. Intact families are better for Oregon.

16. Each and every vaccine needs to be looked at individually. Yet if a person does not vaccinate with one of the required vaccines, they are counted as undervaccinated.

17. Many doctors have not heard of the gene mutations I speak of or how they affect my body.

18. Many doctors and nurses do not know how to report a vaccine injury. I urge you to do your own research on this one. Walk into a Emergency Department and ask a doctor or nurse what VAERS is.

19. Some issues are so complicated, I must decide for myself.

20. Our VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System) is woefully under-reported to. By it's own experts, it is reported at about 5-10% of what is actual. That means when you use it's numbers you can easily add a zero and get a feel for the real numbers. For example: 445 deaths have been reported to VAERS as a result of the MMR vaccine. It should represent 4,450 deaths, perhaps as much as 9,000 deaths.

These are believable numbers for me, as in my life, I have known of 4 deaths after vaccines that did not get counted in the numbers. Heartbreaking stories are not just 1 in a million, they are everywhere. Just look.

21. In 1986, Reagan signed a law that gave immunity to vaccine producers and those who administer them.

a) This affects informed consent as the health care provider does not have a burden to tell you about all the risks, or even know them.

b) This affects which direction pharmaceutical companies took their development. They put a lot of money and effort into developing drugs (vaccines) with the customers having no retaliation for damages.

c) The only persons who know about this law in the past 30 years are people who sought to sue the vaccine makers, to find retribution for a harm they received.

22. We have to stop taking doctor's word as gold, we are only getting sicker and sicker as a whole by doing this. Their individual intentions are good, but as a whole they promote a sick society.

Submitted by: Lisa Pearse on 2/28/19