

Representatives of Oregon,

I am writing to you to day to urge to vote no on HB 3063, a bill to remove parental rights and intervene in the doctor patient relationship.

We should not be mandating medical procedures on a population level, without consideration for the inherent risk at an individual level.

Parents have been reporting vaccine provoked autism for decades. When a child has a vaccine injury their parents are required to apply to the Department of Health and Human Services through the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program. The Department of Justice assigns one of their attorneys to act in the role of judge as a "Special Master". Department of Justice attorneys also represent HHS against the parents.

To oppose autism claims the DOJ retained the top 2 neurologists in the country from Kennedy Krieger Institute at Johns Hopkins, Dr. Kelley and Dr. Zimmerman. I have recently read sworn legal testimony by these experts that during their time working for the DOJ, after reviewing many children's medical records, and witnessing firsthand a case of vaccine provoked autism in their associate neurologist Dr. John Poling's daughter Hannah, they changed their position and determined that vaccines can and do cause autism in some children.

In these depositions Dr. Zimmerman and Dr. Kelley also disclose that when they told the DOJ lawyers that they were now going to testify that vaccines can cause autism, they were fired. And when Dr. Zimmerman & Dr. Kelley told the DOJ to stop using their prior testimony, they ignored them and continued to use their now reversed positions.

The testimony in these depositions has prompted a petition to the Inspector General of the Department of Justice to investigate the conduct of two DOJ attorneys, Vincent Matanoski and Lynn Ricciardella for fraud and obstruction of justice. This means that at least some of the many thousands of parents who reported vaccine provoked autism were correct. Were they treated properly? I don't think so.

In Dr. Kelley's deposition he is asked if he agrees with the American Academy of Pediatrics position that "vaccines don't cause autism."

Dr. Kelley's answer is 2 part: "I agree with it as a Public Health Measure. I don't agree with it scientifically".

How can denying parent's scientifically accurate reports of vaccine injury function as a Public Health Measure?

Public Health considers high vaccination rates to be critical.

High vaccination rates require that parents perceive that vaccine injury is so rare that, it is only theoretical. Universal vaccination requires that parents never consider risk when presented with vaccine recommendations. Confirming the reality of parent reported vaccine injury could cause parents to avoid some or all vaccinations and reduce vaccination rates. This is how denying parent injury reports and misrepresenting vaccine injury risk can function as a Public Health Measure.

What Dr. Kelley and Dr. Zimmerman are stating is that when the CDC, AAP, etc., say that "vaccines do not cause autism", it is not a scientific statement. It is instead a "Public Health Measure" designed to maintain confidence in the vaccine program.

But these statements do not have a footnote disclosing, "This is not a scientific fact, it is a Public Health Measure to maintain confidence in the vaccine program".

Dr. Kelley was asked if he thought the CDC was involved in a cover up when they deny vaccine provoked autism.

Dr. Kelley answered, "They are clever in how they publish data to avoid public attention that there is an association. But I can understand why they did that. That is a bit of a cover-up. But it was done for a good reason, so to speak."

We have sworn testimony by participants in the NVICP that it is an accepted and acceptable Public Health Measure to deny injury that could reduce confidence in vaccines.

These expert neurologist's testimony documents the institutional gaslighting of parents who are properly and accurately reporting vaccine injury to their children.

The next logical question is what other safety assurances should have that "Public Health Measure Not Science" asterisk next to them? What parent reported injury would not adversely impact vaccination uptake if confirmed by the AAP and CDC?

When the AAP, CDC, etc. say, "Vaccines don't cause ADHD", is that a Public Health Measure, or science?

When the AAP, CDC, etc. say, "Vaccines don't cause acute flaccid myelitis", is that a Public Health Measure, or science?

When the AAP, CDC, etc. say, "Vaccines don't cause SIDS", is that a Public Health Measure, or science?

So,... do the AAP, CDC, etc. tell us, the public, when a safety claim is Public Health Measure or science? Do they tell you, the Representatives of Oregon?

I would appreciate it if you would get back to me at your earliest convenience with an answer to these questions.

Sincerely,

Susie Olson Corgan
206.794.1182