

From: [alyona G](#)
To: [HHC Exhibits](#)
Subject: opposing Removal of non-medical exemptions
Date: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 2:09:44 PM

Hello My name is Alyona, I live in salem OR. Im opposing the removal of non-medical exemptions. The key points why i oppose are below

-

Mandating Vaccination for ALL students in Oregon for school attendance will [impact 31,521 students](#) that have filed a non-medical exemption, which will impact my children as well.

Exempt in Oregon means the student didn't receive [ALL 31 of 31 required](#) vaccines for school attendance.

Oregon has [extremely high MMR rates](#) across all counties.

[OHA data on high vaccination rates](#) across Oregon.

7.5% of kindergarteners file an exemption form, meaning they could simply be exempt from ONE vaccine and not ALL vaccines.

[Only 2.6% of students](#) in K-12 are 100% UNVACCINATED so that means of the people who filed an exemption, [66% of them partially vaccinated](#) their kids! (Because only 2.6% of the 7.5% of exemptions did

no vaccines, that means 4.9% did vaccinate,
4.9/7.5=65%)

[Exemption rates go up naturally](#) over time as a new vaccine is added to the

These hearings need to be fair, balanced, and include opposing viewpoints. We have many highly qualified individuals to present those viewpoints.

Issues related to vaccines should be governed by the states.

Over \$4 billion has been paid out for vaccine injuries and deaths and HHS estimates less than 1% of adverse events are reported. Mandating any procedure involving such risks is unethical.

HHS has failed in its Congressional directive to study vaccine safety for 30 years.

Mandating liability-free vaccines that have not been properly safety tested is in direct opposition to the first tenet of the Nuremberg Code: ***The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential.***