

Add my name to the list of people living in houses in old neighborhoods who are vehemently opposed to this Bill. In my neighborhood, we have had an old Victorian house built in 1895 ripped down by a developer who put two houses on one lot. Both houses, have a separate apartment unit with a separate address. Both houses have already had quality issues requiring owners to bring in contractors to "fix" issues. The worst aspect however, is that the one home owner decided to move away so he AirBnB'd both the upper house and the lower apartment for over a year. All of us had to suffer through the countless cars and people making noise and coming and going as he short-term rented to all these people. The other house is owned by someone who doesn't live here so he has rented out the apartment long-term but he is AirBnB'ing the upper house regularly. Parking is already an issue in this neighborhood, and all these extra cars make matters worse.

By the way, the developer of these two monstrosities, has built four more of them down on S.E. Ivon, across from New Seasons. These are not "affordable" homes - they sell for close to \$1M each. Their architecture is ungainly and does not blend in with the old feel of the neighborhood. All the new apartment buildings up and down Division from S.E. 12th on up to S.E. 50th - none of these are "affordable" housing units and many of them have no parking options.

Most annoying and distressing of all is that Tina Kotek doesn't live here and we, the people, should be VOTING on something like SB 10 instead of having it quietly passed in Salem and crammed down our throats while we continue to pay property taxes. The developers DO NOT care at all about neighborhood livability - it's all a money game - so who's paying Tina Kotek to play along while those of us who live here can just "lump it"?

Angry regards,
Pamela Burkland
Portland, OR 97202