Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this very important bill. I oppose HB 2001 based on the following findings:

1. I agree with the analysis and conclusions provided by Paul T. Conte's written Testimony submitted regarding House Bill 2001 dated Jan. 23, 2019, addressed to "The Honorable Alissa Keny-Guyer, Oregon House of Representatives", https://drive.google.com/file/d/1FhyTtcqSX7RSvFCOWvzO05Q203KJCE69/view?usp=sharing

2. The 2017 RCPNA Neighborhood Survey on Portland's Residential Infill Project proposing up to 3 units on corner lots found 80% opposed this increased density, see:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1betA0MPUZNzbW0DMfoanckvPrORI2c3z/view?usp=sharing
HB 2001 makes no consideration is made for linking this added density to amenities and services. The availability of services to support these additional units including schools, transportation and other infrastructure remains unaddressed, in violation of Goal 11 – Public Facilities and services.

HB2001 proposes to add types of housing but does not make it affordable, where the majority of the housing need resides. Instead, it promotes the development of rental units at the sacrifice of home ownership. No Housing Needs Analysis has been conducted to substantiate that HB2001 will satisfy an identified need, in violation of Goal 10- Housing Public involvement in the development of HB2001 has been non-existent. This flies in the face of the cornerstone of the Oregon Land Use system Goal 1-Citizen Involvement.

Thanks!

Joe Saraceno

RCPNA Board Member

Mobile: 347.232.3436